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### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Background

1.1.1 Cameron Rose Associates, on behalf of Aldi foodstores, have been asked to provide transport planning and highways advice in order to examine the highway and transportation issues associated with the proposed mixed use development, on land off Ruthin North Link Road in Ruthin. The application will be a hybrid application, with detailed permission sought for an Aldi foodstore and outline permission sought for B2/ B8 Employment.
1.1.2 The proposed Aldi foodstore would be single storey with a gross external area of 1,864 sqm; and will provide 135 car parking spaces (including eight disabled and nine parent and child parking spaces), in addition to six Sheffield type stands for the provision of 12 cycle parking spaces. The B2/ B8 employment element of the development will include the provision of three units with a combined gross external area of 653 sqm ; and will provide 10 car parking spaces including two disabled parking spaces, in addition to three Sheffield type stands for the provision of six cycle parking spaces.
1.1.3 This Transport Assessment has been prepared to support the planning application for the proposed development and includes an analysis of the existing transport provision within the vicinity of the site, including sustainable transport facilities, traffic flows and the operation of the existing highway network. This Assessment considers the adequacy of this existing provision to accommodate the future demands associated with the application proposals.
1.1.4 Details of the proposed pedestrian and vehicular access arrangements, quantum of car and cycle parking and servicing arrangements are set out in this report, together with a detailed assessment of the potential traffic impact of the development proposals on the surrounding local highway network.
1.1.5 This Transport Assessment has been prepared in accordance with the parameters recommended in Planning Policy Wales Technical Advice Note 18. In addition, the specific scope of the report and study area assessed is consistent with that agreed with Denbighshire County Council as local Highway Authority.
1.1.6 This report concludes that the proposed development can be accommodated without detriment to the operational capacity or safety of the local highway network and that it can be readily accessed by sustainable modes.

### 1.2 Structure

1.2.1 The structure of the report herein is set out as follows:

- Section 2.0 considers the location of the development site, the local highway network and the existing infrastructure provision for sustainable modes of transport;
- Section 3.0 sets out the details of the development proposals, site access, parking provision and servicing arrangements;
- Section 4.0 presents the baseline conditions of the local highway network;
- Section 5.0 deals with the potential trip attraction of the proposed development considering the various trip types;
- Section 6.0 considers the operational performance of the local highway network for a future assessment year, with and without the development in operations; and
- Section 7.0 provides a summary and conclusion to the report derived from the analysis presented in the above chapters.
1.2.2 The report has been prepared solely in connection with the proposed development as stated above. As such, no responsibility is accepted to any third party for all or any part of this report, or in connection with any other development


### 2.0 THE DEVELOPMENT SITE

### 2.1 Site Location and Surrounding Area

2.1.1 The development site (Aldi and B2/ B8 Employment) has an overall site area of 8.97 acres. The undeveloped site is located in the northwest of Ruthin, on land off the A525 Lon Gwernydd. The site lies to the south of Lon Gwernydd, to the north of the Ruthin Livestock Market and to the east of the A525 Lon Gwernydd.
2.1.2 The location of the site in relation to the local highway network is illustrated in Figure 2-1.


Figure 2-1: Site Location

### 2.2 Local Highway Network

2.2.1 As requested by the Local Highway Authority this Transport Assessment considers the following junctions.

- A525 Lon Gwernydd/ site access - priority controlled junction; and
- A525 Lon Gwernydd/ Ruthin North Link Road/ Denbigh Road - priority controlled roundabout junction.
2.2.2 The A525 Lon Gwernydd is a single carriageway road that bounds the site to the west and provides the proposed site access location. The road is subject to a 30 mph speed limit from the A525 Lon Gwernydd/ Ruthin North Link Road/ Denbigh Road roundabout for approximately 95 metres before a national speed limit restriction commences. A shared pedestrian/ cycle footway is present on the eastern side (site access side) of the carriageway.
2.2.3 The A525 Lon Gwernydd meets Ruthin North Link Road at a priority controlled roundabout junction. The junction flares to a two lane approach on all arms.
2.2.4 There is a shared pedestrian/ cycleway provided on both sides of the carriageway on Denbigh Road. On the western side of the carriageway the shared pedestrian/ cycleway extends from the roundabout to the petrol filling station. Along the frontage of the petrol filling station there is no footway present. The shared pedestrian/ cycleway then continue to the junction with Llain Goch. On the eastern side of the carriageway the shared pedestrian/ cycleway extends for approximately 110 metres from the roundabout, before cyclists are required to re-join the main carriageway. Denbigh Road is subject to a 30 mph speed limit.
2.2.5 Ruthin North Link Road is a single carriageway road subject to a 30 mph speed limit. Footways are present on the northern side of the carriageway. A shared pedestrian/ cycleway is present on the southern side of the carriageway, which continues from the roundabout to the residential development access, a distance of approximately 270 metres.


### 2.3 Accessibility by Sustainable Modes

2.3.1 This section provides an appraisal of the existing sustainable transport networks surrounding the proposed site, with due regard to the following:

- walking and cycling network; and
- public transport network.


## Walking

2.3.2 The Institution of Highway and Transportation (IHT) document entitled 'Guidance for Journeys of Foot' (2000) suggests 'acceptable' walking distances for different journey purposes. They suggest that walking distances for pedestrians without mobility impairment, for commuting and education, are up to 500 metres as a desirable distance, up to 1,000 metres as an acceptable distance and 2,000 metres as the preferred maximum. The document recognises that:
'... that it is not always possible to achieve ideal results in all situations due to site constrains, costs or other practicalities and that compromises must sometimes, rightly, be made'.
2.3.3 The document goes on to advise that some $80 \%$ of walking journeys in urban areas are less than 1.0 mile long and that the average length is 1.0 kilometres ( 0.6 miles) and that this differs little by age or sex.
2.3.4 Figure 2-2 indicates a two kilometre walking catchment from the development site. The catchment encompasses the majority of residential areas within Ruthin. This will make journeys on foot between local residential areas and the site a viable option. The proximity of these areas to the site also make commuter based walking trips to and from the site a realistic option.


Figure 2-2: Walking Catchment
2.3.5 The site is served by an extensive pedestrian network, with footpaths provided along the eastern side of the A525 Lon Gwernydd, along the frontage of the site in the vicinity of the site and along both side of the carriageway on Ruthin North Link Road and Denbigh Road.
2.3.6 Dropped kerbs and tactile paving is provided to aid pedestrians crossing at the junction of the A525 Lon Gwernydd/ Ruthin North Link Road/ Denbigh Road.

### 2.4 Cycling

2.4.1 An acceptable cycle distance is considered to be up to five kilometres. PPG13 notes that:
'Cycling also has the potential to substitute for short car trips, particularly those under 5km and to form part of a longer journey by public transport.'
2.4.2 The Department for Transport (DfT) Local Transport Note 2/08 also states that many utility cycle journeys are under three miles, although for commuters, a trip distance of over five miles is not uncommon.
2.4.3 Figure 2-3 illustrates a five kilometre cycle catchment, which equates to around a 25 minute journey, travelling at a leisurely cycle speed of 12 kilometres per hour. The catchment illustrates that the whole of Ruthin and a number of surrounding villages are accessible.
2.4.4 This catchment indicates that cycling could be seen as a viable form of commutable transport for those working on-site and living in the surrounding residential areas. Thus the location of the proposed development would provide the opportunity for employees and visitors/ customers to access the site by bicycle.


Figure 2-3: Cycling Catchment
2.4.5 A shared pedestrian/ cycle footway is present on the eastern side (site access side) of the carriageway on the A525 Lon Gwernydd.
2.4.6 There is a shared pedestrian/ cycleway provided on both sides of the carriageway on Denbigh Road. On the western side of the carriageway the shared pedestrian/ cycleway extends from the roundabout to the petrol filling station. Along the frontage of the petrol filling station there is no footway present. The shared pedestrian/ cycleway then continue to the junction with Llain Goch. On the eastern side of the carriageway the shared pedestrian/ cycleway extends for approximately 110 metres from the roundabout, before cyclists are required to re-join the main carriageway.
2.4.7 A shared pedestrian/ cycleway is present on the southern side of Ruthin North Link Road, which continues from the roundabout to the residential development access, a distance of approximately 270 metres.

## Public Transport

## Bus Services

2.4.8 The nearest bus stop to the proposed store is located on Ruthin North Link Road, approximately 600 metres from the site entrance. Further stops are accessible within an approximate 650 metre walk on Denbigh Road.
2.4.9 The A525 Lon Gwernydd and Denbigh Road are both allocated as a main bus route by Denbighshire County Council. Ruthin North Link Road is allocated as a route variation or infrequent service route.
2.4.10 The routes and frequencies of the bus services operating in close proximity of the site are summarised in Table 2-1 with a route map illustrated in Figure 2-
4.

Table 2-1: Bus Services and Headways

| Service | Destination | Bus Headways (minutes) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mon - Fri | Saturday | Sunday |  |
| 75 | Rhuthun/Ruthin - Corwen - <br> Llangollen - Wrecsam/Wrexham | $60-120$ | $60-120$ | - |
| 76 | Corwen - Cerrigydrudion <br> Rhuthun/Ruthin - <br> Dinbych/Denbigh | One <br> Friday <br> Service <br> Only |  |  |
| X51 | Dinbych/Denbigh - Llandyrnog - <br> Rhuthun/Ruthin - Graigfechan | 120 | 120 | - |
| Dinbych/Denbigh - <br> Wrecsam/Wrexham | 60 | 60 | $60-120$ |  |



Figure 2-4: Ruthin Bus Services
2.4.11 The above table demonstrates that there are a variety of local destinations that can be reached via the bus services operating in the direct vicinity of the site.
2.4.12 The Travel Plan for the Aldi foodstore would include measures to encourage staff and customers to use public transport. A Framework Travel Plan is included in Appendix C.

### 2.5 Summary

2.5.1 Overall it is evident that the site is accessible to pedestrians, cyclists and users of public transport. The proposed development will include measures to promote the use of such sustainable modes of transport.

## Aldi Stores Limited Ruthin North Link Road, Ruthin Transport Assessment

### 3.0 THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

### 3.1 Overview

3.1.1 The application will be a hybrid application, with detailed permission sought for an Aldi foodstore and outline permission sought for B2/ B8 Employment.
3.1.2 The proposed Aldi foodstore would be single storey with a gross external area of 1,864 sqm; and will provide 135 car parking spaces (including eight disabled and nine parent and child parking spaces); in addition to six Sheffield type stands for the provision of 12 cycle parking spaces.
3.1.3 The B2/ B8 employment element of the development will include the provision of three units with a combined gross external area of 653 sqm. Unit 1A will have a gross external area of 281 sqm, Unit 1B and Unit 1C will have a gross external area of 186 sqm . The employment element will provide 10 car parking spaces including two disabled parking spaces, in addition to three Sheffield type stands for the provision of six cycle parking spaces.
3.1.4 The site layout for the Aldi foodstore is included in Appendix A.

### 3.2 Proposed Means of Access

3.2.1 Vehicular access to the site is proposed off the A525 Lon Gwernydd via a newly formed ghost island priority controlled T-junction. A general access arrangement plan is illustrated in drawing no. 324-01/GA-01 contained in Appendix B.

### 3.3 Accessibility Appraisal

3.3.1 As discussed earlier in the report, the proposal site offers a good level of accessibility by sustainable modes of transport, namely by walking, cycling, and public transport; for instance:

- The proposed development would provide retail opportunity within a reasonable walking and cycling distance of existing residential areas, reducing the need for these residents to travel further for their food shopping needs;
- Frequent bus services are accessible within an acceptable walking distance. The use of public transport will be promoted through the travel plan to employees at the site;
- The proposed scheme would incorporate facilities to encourage sustainable trip movements, including on site cycle parking and changing and locker facilities for staff.


### 3.4 Parking

Aldi Foodstore
3.4.1 The Aldi foodstore would be supported by the provision of 135 car parking spaces, including 17 accessible spaces comprising eight disabled and nine parent and child car parking spaces.
3.4.2 Denbighshire County Council's Parking Standards are defined within the Supplementary Planning Guidance Note: Parking Requirements in New Developments (October 2014). The town of Ruthin, within which the development site falls, are defined as Zone 1 for parking. Towns within Zone 1 are regarded by local people as their destination for most activities which are not met within their own settlement. The area has a full range of retail activity, doctor's surgery and many commercial businesses, all within walking distance. Built density is high with little private car parking.
3.4.3 The car parking standards for shops and small supermarkets with a GFA of between 1,000-2,000 sqm, within which the proposed development falls, are defined as one space per 40 sqm, i.e. 45 spaces. This is not considered adequate to meet the operational requirements of the foodstore. Therefore the parking standards for supermarkets and superstores in excess of 2,000 sqm have been applied. These standards state a requirement of one space per 14 sqm i.e. 126 spaces. The proposed development is in line with policy standards providing 135 car parking spaces.
3.4.4 In addition to car parking provision the guidance also states that:

- $6 \%$ of total capacity should be allocated for disabled parking provision i.e. eight spaces based on 135 car parking spaces. This level of provision has been incorporated into the design; and
- $5 \%$ of total capacity should be allocated for motorcycle parking provision. Eight spaces have been incorporated into the design


## B2/ B8 Employment

3.4.5 The employment element will provide 10 car parking spaces including two disabled parking spaces, in addition to three Sheffield type stands for the provision of six cycle parking spaces.
3.4.6 The parking standards for industry are one space per 120 sqm non operation spaces and one per 85 sqm for operational spaces i.e. 13 spaces. The proposed development is in line with policy standards providing 10 car parking spaces.
3.4.7 In addition to car parking provision the guidance also states that:

- $5 \%$ of total capacity should be allocated for disabled parking provision i.e. one space based on 10 car parking spaces. This level of provision is in line with policy standards; and
- $5 \%$ of total capacity should be allocated for motorcycle parking provision i.e. one space based on 10 car parking spaces. This level of provision has been incorporated into the design


### 3.5 Cycle Parking

3.5.1 Based upon the standards contained within the Supplementary Planning Guidance Note: Parking Requirements in New Developments document, cycle parking provision should be provided at a level of one space per 150 sqm for the Aldi foodstore i.e. 12 cycle parking spaces; and one space per 500 sqm for the employment element i.e. one cycle parking space.
3.5.2 Covered cycle parking for up to 12 bicycles, in the form of six "Sheffield" type bicycle stands will be provided along the south eastern boundary of the Aldi foodstore. The level of parking proposed is anticipated to increase the attractiveness of cycling as a mode of transport to customers and employees of the site. Secure staff lockers will also be provided.
3.5.3 Six bicycles, in the form of three "Sheffield" type bicycle stands will be provided along the northern boundary of the employment element.
3.5.4 The location of cycle parking is illustrated in the site layout plan contained in Appendix A. The cycle parking benefits from being under the building canopy. The location of the cycle parking also benefits from natural surveillance afforded by its location alongside a glazed section of the proposed store. This is therefore an ideal location for customer cycle parking.

### 3.6 Servicing

3.6.1 The service vehicle access to the proposed foodstore will be located via the customer access off the A525 Lon Gwernydd.
3.6.2 Approximately four 16.5 metre articulated service vehicles would access the site per day, in association with the proposed discount foodstore. In addition to a daily milk delivery and bin collection via rigid vehicle.
3.6.3 A track plot analysis of a 16.5 metre refrigerated articulated vehicle has been undertaken using AutoTrack, a specialist computer package that allows designers to assess the swept path of different vehicles as they negotiate path alignments. The swept path of these vehicles to and from the site service ramp is satisfactory, as demonstrated in drawing 324-01/ATR-01 attached in Appendix B.

Aldi Stores Limited

## Ruthin North Link Road, Ruthin Transport Assessment

### 4.0 BASELINE TRAFFIC CONDITIONS

### 4.1 Introduction

4.1.1 This section provides an appraisal of the transport network surrounding the proposed development site, including the baseline traffic flows on the study area network and an analysis of accident records for the local highway network.
4.1.2 The study area, which was agreed with the local highway authority during preapplication discussions, includes the following junctions surrounding the site:

- A525 Lon Gwernydd / Site Access - priority controlled junction; and
- A525 Lon Gwernydd/ Ruthin North Link Road/ Denbigh Road roundabout.
4.1.3 The following sections therefore present the methodology adopted to establish baseline conditions within the agreed study area.


### 4.2 Baseline Traffic Flows

4.2.1 Peak hour traffic flows have been derived from independent manual turning counts undertaken by PCC Traffic Information Consultancy on Friday 4 and Saturday 5 March 2016 at the junctions detailed above:
4.2.2 Surveys were undertaken for a Weekday AM (0800 - 1000), PM (1530 1030) and Saturday (1000 - 1600) peak periods. Analysis of the data has determined that the peak hours are 0800 - 0900 during the AM peak, 1545 1645 during the Weekday PM peak and 1130-1230 during the Saturday peak. The full survey results are attached in Appendix D. The resulting turning flows at the junction are illustrated in Figure 4-1.

### 4.3 Assessment Years

4.3.1 The base traffic has been growthed for assessment to a design year five years after application registration, 2024.
4.3.2 The TEMPRO database will be interrogated to obtain growth factors for Denbighshire 014 (W020000055) - Urban All, using the default planning assumptions. The resulting growth factors for the AM, PM and Saturday peak periods are shown in Table 4-3.

Table 4-3: Growth Factors - Denbighshire 014 (W020000055)

| Growth <br> Period | AM Peak <br> Hour | PM Peak <br> Hour | Saturday Peak <br> Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2016-2024$ | 1.0930 | 1.0915 | 1.0966 |

4.3.3 The growth factors presented above, have been applied to the surveyed traffic flows. The resulting 2024 (design year) baseline traffic flows are illustrated in Figure 4-2.

### 4.4 Personal Injury Accident Data

4.4.1 Personal Injury Accident data has been obtained from Denbighshire County Council for the proposed study area for the most recent five year period for which data is complete (from the time of ordering).
4.4.2 The personal injury accident data would suggest that there is no particular trend or pattern of road accidents in the vicinity of the site resulting from any deficiencies in the local road network, or the operation of the site.

### 5.0 DEVELOPMENT TRIP ATTRACTION, ASSIGNMENT AND DISTRIBUTION

### 5.1 Proposed Aldi Food Store Trip Attraction

5.1.1 The traffic attraction of the proposed foodstore has been estimated on the basis of comparable survey data contained within the TRICS database. Survey data for discount foodstores has been used to assess the likely traffic attraction of the proposed development.
5.1.2 The trip rates presented below consider the traffic attraction of the proposed store before the effects of pass-by, transferred or linked trips are taken into consideration.
5.1.3 The trip rates are summarised below in Table 5-1, the full calculation and output from TRICS is attached in Appendix F.

Table 5-1: Discount Foodstore Trip Rates per 100 sqm GFA

| Peak Period | Arrivals | Departures | Two-Way |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AM Peak <br> $(0800-0900)$ | 1.062 | 0.616 | 1.678 |
| PM Peak <br> $(1600-1700)$ | 3.566 | 3.672 | 7.238 |
| Saturday Peak <br> $(1100-1200)$ | 6.983 | 6.779 | 13.762 |

5.1.4 The quantum of traffic attracted by the 1,864 sqm GEA Aldi foodstore, based on these trip rates, is summarised in Table 5-2.

Table 5-2: Discount Foodstore Vehicular Trip Attraction

| Peak Period | Arrivals | Departures | Two-Way |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AM Peak <br> $(0800-0900)$ | 20 | 11 | 31 |
| PM Peak <br> $(1600-1700)$ | 66 | 68 | 135 |
| Saturday Peak <br> $(1100-1200)$ | 130 | 126 | 257 |

5.1.5 The trip attraction of the proposed foodstore is anticipated to be 31 two-way trips in the Weekday AM peak hour, 135 two-way trips in the Weekday PM peak hour and 257 in the Saturday peak hour.

## Trip Types

5.1.6 It is widely accepted that, the total number of trips attracted to a new retail development are not comprised wholly of new trips to the local highway network. Many of the trips may in fact already exist on the network, albeit at another location, or where a visit to the store will be incorporated into an existing pattern of travel behaviour. The following vehicular trip types have been identified in association with new retail developments (Guidance on Transport Assessment, DfT, 2007):

- New Trips: Trips that do not appear anywhere on the road network prior to the opening of the development.
- Pass-by Trips: Trips which are already present on the road network directly adjacent to the point of access to the site, which will turn into the site.
- Linked Trips: Trips that will have multiple destinations either within the proposed development site, between both the development site and existing adjacent sites, or between the development site and an established town centre.
- Diverted Trips: Trips which are already present on the local road network but not the road from which the site access is taken and will divert from their existing use to access the site.
- Transferred Trips: Trips which are already present on the local road network, accessing similar sites in close proximity to the proposed development. Slightly different from diverted trips, these wholly transfer from using an existing development to a new one, i.e. shoppers switching to a new foodstore that is more conveniently located for them.
5.1.7 The importance of non-primary trips, i.e. Pass-by, Linked, Diverted and Transferred trips are emphasised by retailers who suggest that they rely heavily on these trip types in order to survive.
5.1.8 The premise of non-primary trips is one that is particularly true in locations where the highway network experiences peak hour congestion as customers are unlikely to embark on a single purpose home based trip to undertake food shopping at these times. During the hours of peak traffic demand on the local highway network, it is likely that the majority of customers to the proposed foodstore, who travel by car, would have already been present on the local highway network.
5.1.9 The TRICS Research Report 14/1: Pass-By \& Diverted Trips presents updated research on the proportion of trip types. Those relevant to the proposed Aldi foodstore include:
- Commercial research carried out by Somerfield and Tesco and reiterated in the TRICS research paper 14-1, have presented a correlation between the proximity of a store to a town centre and the propensity for store customers to visit other shops within a town centre;
- TRICS research paper 14-1 also states that as a stores proximity to a town centre increase, the potential percentage of pass-by trips also increase; and
- Stores with a GFA of 4,000 sqm or less are more likely to act as convenience stores, whilst those with a GFA higher than 4,000 sqm are more likely to act as comparison stores. Convenience stores are more likely to produce pass-by trips.
5.1.10 The research goes on to state that having given due consideration to these parameters, the development should develop an appropriate percentage of pass-by and diverted trips, following usual best practice.
5.1.11 Best practice research presented within TRICS Report $95 / 2$ suggests the following peak hour proportions of retail trip types.

Table 5-3: Foodstore Trip Types

| Trip Type | Weekday Peak Hour <br> Proportions | Saturday Peak <br> Hour Proportions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New/ Transferred Trips | $25-60 \%$ | $50-90 \%$ |
| Pass-By Trips | $5-30 \%$ | $5-10 \%$ |
| Diverted Trips | $20-45 \%$ | $5-40 \%$ |

5.1.12 The proportion of pass-by and diverted trips is influenced by the local network and thus for the purpose of this analysis, pass-by trips are considered to be those trips which are already travelling on the A525 Lon Gwernydd and diverted trips are those on Ruthin North Link Road and Denbigh Road.
5.1.13 In terms of the TRICS Research Report 14/1, the proposed store is classed as a convenience store and the proximity to the town centre of the proposed foodstore, will offer the opportunity for Pass-By trips to be made to the foodstore.
5.1.14 Based on the information presented above, the following proportions of each trip type have been adopted in this analysis for both the Weekday and Saturday scenarios.

Table 5-4: Foodstore Trip Types Used in Analysis

| Trip Type | Weekday Peak Hour <br> Proportions | Saturday Peak <br> Hour Proportions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New/ Transferred Trips | $55 \%$ | $75 \%$ |
| Pass-By Trips | $15 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| Diverted Trips | $30 \%$ | $20 \%$ |

5.1.15 The resulting trip attraction for each trip type are summarised in Table 5-5.

Table 5-5: Vehicle Trip Attraction by Trip Type - Average Trip Rates

| Peak | Trip Type | Proportion | Trip Attraction (Average) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Arrivals | Departures | Two-Way |
| AM Peak | New/ <br> Transferred | 55\% | 11 | 6 | 17 |
|  | Pass-by | 15\% | 3 | 2 | 5 |
|  | Diverted | 30\% | 6 | 3 | 9 |
|  | Total | 100\% | 20 | 11 | 31 |
| PM Peak | New/ <br> Transferred | 55\% | 37 | 38 | 74 |
|  | Pass-by | 15\% | 10 | 10 | 20 |
|  | Diverted | 30\% | 20 | 21 | 40 |
|  | Total | 100\% | 66 | 68 | 135 |
| Saturday Peak | New/ <br> Transferred | 75\% | 98 | 95 | 192 |
|  | Pass-by | 5\% | 7 | 6 | 13 |
|  | Diverted | 20\% | 26 | 25 | 51 |
|  | Total | 100\% | 130 | 126 | 257 |

5.1.16 However, in order to present a robust assessment it has been assumed that $100 \%$ of trips to the site are New to the study area.

## Trip Distribution and Assignment

5.1.17 Based on the catchment area of the site and the location of existing/ consented Aldi foodstores, it has been assumed that $90 \%$ of trips will turn left out of the development towards Ruthin and that $10 \%$ of trips will turn right and travel towards Denbighshire.
5.1.18 At the junction of the A525 Lon Gwernydd/ Ruthin North Link Road/ Denbigh Road, trips will be distribution based on existing turning proportions, based on the surveyed traffic flows.
5.1.19 The distribution of the Aldi development traffic flows is illustrated in Figure 51 and the assignment of traffic is illustrated in Figure 5-2.

### 5.2 Employment Use Proposed Use Trip Attraction

5.2.1 The traffic attraction of the proposed employment use will be estimated on the basis of comparable survey data contained within the TRICS database. Survey data for Employment - Industrial Estate will be used to assess the likely traffic attraction of the proposed development.
5.2.2 The average trip rates are summarised below in Table 5-6, the full calculation and output from TRICS is attached in Appendix F. No trip rates are provided for the Saturday peak period. It has therefore been assumed for robustness that the Saturday trip rates will equate to $50 \%$ of the average of the AM and PM peak trip rates.

Table 5-6: Employment - Industrial Estate Trip Rates per 100 sqm GFA

| Peak Period | Arrivals | Departures | Two-Way |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AM Peak <br> $(0800-0900)$ | 0.438 | 0.216 | 0.654 |
| PM Peak <br> $(1600-1700)$ | 0.230 | 0.379 | 0.609 |
| Saturday Peak <br> $(1100-1200)$ | 0.167 | 0.149 | 0.316 |

5.2.3 The quantum of traffic attracted by the 653 sqm GEA employment element, based on these trip rates, is summarised in Table 5-7.

Table 5-7: Employment - Industrial Estate Vehicular Trip Attraction

| Peak Period | Arrivals | Departures | Two-Way |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AM Peak <br> $(0800-0900)$ | 3 | 1 | 4 |
| PM Peak <br> $(1600-1700)$ | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| Saturday Peak <br> $(1100-1200)$ | 1 | 1 | 2 |

5.2.4 The trip attraction of the proposed employment land use is anticipated to be four two-way trips in the Weekday AM peak hour, four two-way trips in the Weekday PM peak hour and two in the Saturday peak hour.

## Trip Distribution and Assignment

5.2.5 The distribution of new trips on the local highway network will be based on existing turning proportions, based on the surveyed traffic flows. The distribution of the employment development traffic flows is illustrated in Figure 5-3.

Summary
5.2.6 The total development traffic flows are illustrated in Figure 5-4. The 2024 Base plus Development traffic flows are illustrated in Figure 5-5.

### 6.0 IMPACT OF DEVELOPMENT PROPOSALS ON THE OPERATIONAL PERFORMANCE OF THE LOCAL HIGHWAY NETWORK

### 6.1 Introduction

6.1.1 The following capacity assessments will demonstrate that the impact of this level of traffic would not be material on the operational performance of the local highway network.

### 6.2 Junction Capacity Assessments

6.2.1 Capacity assessments have been undertaken for a Weekday AM, PM and Saturday peak period. Assessments have been undertaken for the following junctions, as requested by highway officers during pre-application discussions, using the software noted:

- A525 Lon Gwernydd / Site Access - PICADY; and
- A525 Lon Gwernydd/ Ruthin North Link Road/ Denbigh Road ARCADY.


## A525 Lon Gwernydd/ Site Access

6.2.2 The results of the PICADY assessment are set out in Appendix $\mathbf{G}$ and summarised in Table 6-1.

Table 6-1: A525 Lon Gwernydd/ Site Access - PICADY Results

| Scenario | Arm | AM Peak <br> Hour |  | PM Peak <br> Hour |  | Saturday Peak <br> Hour |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | RFC | Q | RFC | Q | RFC | Q |  |
| 2024 Base plus <br> Development | Site Access | 0.03 | 0 | 0.16 | 0 | 0.27 | 0 |
|  | Lon Gwernydd <br> (n) | 0.04 | 0 | 0.13 | 0 | 0.24 | 0 |

6.2.3 The junction will operate well within acceptable capacity limits during each of the peak periods surveyed. The maximum RFC occurs during the Saturday peak period of 0.27 , with no associated queue, on the site access arm.
6.2.4 It is therefore considered that the proposed site access is sufficient to accommodate the development proposals.

## A525 Lon Gwernydd/ Ruthin North Link Road/ Denbigh Road

6.2.5 The results of the ARCADY assessment are set out in Appendix $\mathbf{H}$ and summarised in Table 6-2.

Table 6-2: A525 Lon Gwernydd/ Ruthin North Link Road/ Denbigh Road ARCADY Results

| Scenario | Arm | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  | Saturday Peak Hour |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | RFC | Q | RFC | Q | RFC | Q |
| 2016 Survey | Lon Gwernydd | 0.37 | 1 | 0.32 | 1 | 0.24 | 0 |
|  | Ruthin North Link Road | 0.26 | 0 | 0.27 | 0 | 0.20 | 0 |
|  | Denbigh Road | 0.28 | 0 | 0.22 | 0 | 0.20 | 0 |
| 2024 Base | Lon Gwernydd | 0.41 | 1 | 0.35 | 1 | 0.26 | 0 |
|  | Ruthin North Link Road | 0.28 | 0 | 0.29 | 0 | 0.23 | 0 |
|  | Denbigh Road | 0.31 | 1 | 0.24 | 0 | 0.22 | 0 |
| 2024 Base plus Development | Lon Gwernydd | 0.41 | 1 | 0.39 | 1 | 0.33 | 1 |
|  | Ruthin North Link Road | 0.29 | 0 | 0.32 | 1 | 0.28 | 0 |
|  | Denbigh Road | 0.32 | 1 | 0.27 | 0 | 0.27 | 0 |

6.2.6 The junction will operate within acceptable capacity limits during each of the peak periods surveyed. The maximum RFC occurs during the AM peak period of 0.41 , with an associated queue of one pcu on Lon Gwernydd. There is no increase in queue compared to the 2024 base scenario.
6.2.7 It is therefore considered that the proposed development will not have a material impact on the junction's performance.

### 6.3 Summary

6.3.1 It is therefore considered that in operational capacity terms, the proposed development will not have a material impact on the operational performance of the local highway network.

### 7.0 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

### 7.1 Summary

7.1.1 Cameron Rose Associates, on behalf of Aldi foodstores, have been asked to provide transport planning and highways advice in order to examine the highway and transportation issues associated with the proposed mixed use development, on land off Ruthin North Link Road in Ruthin. The application will be a hybrid application, with detailed permission sought for an Aldi foodstore and outline permission sought for B2/ B8 Employment.
7.1.2 The proposed Aldi foodstore would be single storey with a gross external area of $1,864 \mathrm{sqm}$; and will provide 135 car parking spaces (including eight disabled and nine parent and child parking spaces), in addition to six Sheffield type stands for the provision of 12 cycle parking spaces. The B2/ B8 employment element of the development will include the provision of three units with a combined gross external area of 653 sqm; and will provide 10 car parking spaces including two disabled parking spaces, in addition to three Sheffield type stands for the provision of six cycle parking spaces.
7.1.3 The proposed Aldi store will provide local residents with a discount food retail store, enhancing the community's existing food retail choices and reducing the need to travel for food shopping. The proposed development will reduce the need to travel, especially by car, by providing retail opportunities within a reasonable walking and cycling distance of residential areas.
7.1.4 The proposed scheme would influence travel behaviour by incorporating facilities to encourage sustainable trip movements, including on site cycle parking and changing and locker facilities for staff. A Framework Travel Plan has been developed for the site which describes the strategy through which initiatives will be adopted in order to encourage the use of sustainable modes of transport to the site.
7.1.5 The impacts of the proposals have been assessed across an agreed study area network which includes the junctions of:

- A525 Lon Gwernydd / Site Access - priority controlled junction; and
- A525 Lon Gwernydd/ Ruthin North Link Road/ Denbigh Road roundabout.
7.1.6 The report includes an assessment of the operational performance of the local highway network, with the addition of development traffic. The junction capacity assessments undertaken indicate that the proposed development would not have a material impact on the junctions concerned.
7.1.7 The results of the assessment demonstrate that the proposed development will not have a material impact on the operational performance of the junctions concerned.


### 7.2 Conclusions

7.2.1 This report has demonstrated how the proposed development promotes accessibility by all modes of travel, in particular public transport, cycling and walking by virtue of its sustainable location and the physical infrastructure that would be put in place. Measures include cycle parking, pedestrian connectivity, accessibility to bus stops as well as the Travel Plan which would be used to influence travel behaviour.
7.2.2 It has also been demonstrated how the development would reduce the need to travel, especially by car with regard to the element of pass-by, diverted and transferred trips - i.e. the majority of vehicular trips to the proposed development would not be new trips on the network and may well be shorter given the more convenient location of the development to the catchment.
7.2.3 The impacts of residual trips from the proposed development have been assessed and it is evident that these would not have a significant impact on the operational performance and safety of the local highway network.
7.2.4 The impact of the proposed development would not have a severe impact on the operational performance of the local highway network.
7.2.5 It is concluded that there are no overriding reasons preventing the Local Planning Authority from recognising that the proposal is acceptable with regard to the local highway network.
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## Aldi Stores Limited Ruthin North Link Road, Ruthin Framework Travel Plan

### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1.1 This Travel Plan Framework has been produced by Cameron Rose Associates on behalf of Aldi Stores Limited, in support of their application for the proposed mixed use development, on land off Ruthin North Link Road in Ruthin. The application will be a hybrid application, with detailed permission sought for an Aldi foodstore and outline permission sought for B2/ B8 Employment. This document is relevant to both staff and customers of the proposed development and will suggest initiatives to maximise the sustainable transport opportunities of the site and will, prior to trading, be developed as a stand-alone document.
1.1.2 This Framework Travel Plan sets out the overall outcomes, targets and indicators for the site. Aldi will administer the Plan centrally. The Travel Plan will be consistent with the wider targets and requirements set out in the Framework Travel Plan. The Travel Plan will be completed within six months of occupation of the site, to allow time for travel characteristic surveys to be undertaken and suitable consultation with Denbighshire County Council.

### 2.0 DEVELOPMENT PROPOSALS

2.1.1 The application will be a hybrid application, with detailed permission sought for an Aldi foodstore and outline permission sought for B2/ B8 Employment.
2.1.2 The proposed Aldi foodstore would be single storey with a gross external area of 1,864 sqm; and will provide 135 car parking spaces (including eight disabled and nine parent and child parking spaces), in addition to six Sheffield type stands for the provision of 12 cycle parking spaces. The B2/ B8 employment element of the development will include the provision of three units with a combined gross external area of 653 sqm; and will provide 10 car parking spaces including two disabled parking spaces, in addition to three Sheffield type stands for the provision of six cycle parking spaces.
2.1.3 The proposed site layout is included as Appendix A to the Transport Assessment (TA).
2.1.4 The existing highway infrastructure has been discussed in Section 3.0 of the TA and the full details of the development proposal in Section 4.0. The development proposal includes provision for on-site cycle parking for staff and customers, changing and locker facilities will also be provided for staff.
2.1.5 The proposed development would provide retail opportunity within a reasonable walking and cycling distance of a large residential catchment, reducing the need for these residents to travel further for their food shopping needs. Frequent bus services to a range of local destinations can be accessed within a short walk of the site, with services operating from both the Ruthin North Link Road and Denbighshire Road.

### 3.0 PROPOSED TRAVEL PLAN INITIATIVES

3.1.1 The primary source of traffic generation and therefore greatest opportunity for modal shift is customers. It is clear however, that the end users cannot dictate their customers' choice of transport but can seek to influence it by provision of adequate facilities and information.
3.1.2 Features of the development proposal that would encourage non-car trips to the site include:

- Frequent bus services to a number of local destinations are available within a short walking distance of the site;
- The Aldi will provide 12 cycle parking spaces, through the provision of six Sheffield loop stands;
- The employment land use will provide six cycle parking spaces, through the provision of three Sheffield loop stands;
- Changing and locker facilities would be provided for staff; and
- Pedestrian and cycle links from the store to the local highway network.


### 3.2 Other Initiatives

3.2.1 Staff and customers will be encouraged to use sustainable forms of transport such as walking, cycling and bus travel to access the store by the provision of appropriate facilities and providing the relevant information on-site.
3.2.2 To further encourage travel to the site by modes other than the private car, Aldi will consider other modal initiatives including:

### 3.3 Cycling

3.3.1 Cycling is a key mode of sustainable transport and it is therefore important to encourage cycling as part of the site's Travel Plan; this will be achieved by implementing the initiatives detailed below;

- The provision of safe and convenient cycle parking facilities for shoppers and employees as described above;
- Provision for in-store cycle equipment storage facilities for employees; and
- Bicycles and cycling equipment are regularly available as 'special purchases' within Aldi stores. This provides a good opportunity for staff and customers alike to purchase bicycles at greatly discounted rates thus encouraging this mode of transport.


### 3.4 Walking

3.4.1 The pedestrian environment has to be such that it provides pedestrians with safe and convenient routes to and from their origin/ destinations. To encourage this mode of transport, Aldi will provide the following:

- Direct pedestrian links within the site by means of suitable footpaths and pedestrian crossings; and
- The provision of adequate street lighting and lighting within the site to provide pedestrians with a well-lit environment hence enhancing safety and encouraging pedestrian movements.


### 3.5 Car Sharing Scheme

3.5.1 The availability of car sharing schemes is limited in the case of food retail, as the stores cannot dictate car sharing among customers and employee numbers are small. Nevertheless employees from the store will be supported and encouraged to car-share if another member of staff lives close by.
3.5.2 The Travel Plan Co-ordinator will promote the use of car sharing amongst employees and will promote national car sharing schemes such as Lift Share (www.liftshare.com). These schemes will be promoted to employees upon commencement of employment and continually promoted through promotional material displayed on notice boards. This information will be provided by the Travel Plan Co-ordinator within three months of the stores opening and continually monitored to ensure the information provided is up to date.

### 3.6 Servicing

3.6.1 As is common practice in Aldi foodstores and in line with the current servicing arrangement of the store, service vehicles would access the store via the customer access off the A525 Lon Gwernydd, before entering the dedicated service road.
3.6.2 Aldi service deliveries are carried out in such a way as to minimise vehicle kilometres travelled. Each store receives an average of four deliveries by articulated lorry per day, in addition to a milk delivery and bin collection via rigid vehicle. This is substantially lower than the delivery pattern associated with larger food superstores.
3.6.3 The articulated vehicles operate from a central distribution centre. Each lorry delivers to a number of stores in a specific circuit and in this way minimises vehicle kilometres and therefore reduces emissions.
3.6.4 Deliveries to the store will aim to arrive outside of the established highway peak periods.

### 3.7 Provision of Information

3.7.1 Each new member of staff will be briefed on all aspects of the Travel Plan as part of their staff induction. In this way, each new member of staff will be aware of the advantages, accessibility and convenience of non-car modes of transport to and from the site, given its location and therefore abundance of public transport alternatives.
3.7.2 If the message is to be portrayed to staff and customers that sustainable forms of transport are preferable to the private car, then it is essential that adequate information is available; to this end:

- Bus stop location, timetable information and route plans will be provided;
- The above information will be provided to new employees as part of the staff induction process;
- Information on the beneficial effects of cycling on both health and the environment will be provided in the form of leaflets to all staff; and
- Copies of relevant cycle maps will be provided, thus encouraging sustainable forms of transport.
3.7.3 The Travel Plan Co-ordinator will be responsible for co-ordinating the Travel Plan across the site and ensuring that the information is up to date and located in the appropriate location.


### 4.0 IMPLEMENTATION AND REVIEW

4.1.1 In order to establish an effective Travel Plan, a coherent understanding of staff travel patterns and attitudes to travel will need to be collected. A Travel Plan Co-ordinator will be appointed who will be responsible for on-going monitoring and annual surveys. Information gathered will be submitted to Denbighshire County Council.
4.1.2 A Travel Plan Co-ordinator will be appointed prior to the opening of the store, to implement the Travel Plan and to promote the aims and objectives of the Plan amongst employees and visitors of the site. The Travel Plan Coordinator will play a key role in the promotion of the Plan across the site and in the delivery of the Plan measures.
4.1.3 The Final Travel Plan will set out specific details on the role of the Travel Plan Co-ordinator.
4.1.4 The Travel Plan Co-ordinator will oversee the overall operation of the Travel Plan and be responsible for monitoring the effectiveness of the Plan and liaising with Denbighshire County Council.
4.1.5 The Travel Plan Co-ordinator will be responsible for the preparation of the Final Travel Plan and will be required to develop and implement the Travel Plan and to monitor the effectiveness of the Plan.
4.1.6 Denbighshire County Council will be notified of the name of the Travel Plan Co-ordinator upon their appointment and similarly the Travel Plan Coordinator will be advised of the names of the relevant contact details at the various organisations with whom they will be required to consult, including Denbighshire County Council's Travel Planning officers, public transport operators and other key stakeholders.
4.1.7 It is envisaged that the Travel Plan Co-ordinators role will be fulfilled by the Store Manager. The contact details of the Store Manager will be provided to Denbighshire County Council, prior to the stores opening.
4.1.8 The Travel Plan Co-ordinator will be the first point of contact for employees, visitors and other outside organisations in all matters regarding the detailed Travel Plan that will be developed.

### 4.1.9 The general responsibilities of the Travel Plan Co-ordinator will include:

- Implementing Travel Plan measures across the site and for ensuring that these measures are realistic and achievable, through continued review and assessment of their success;
- Developing, managing and implementing the Travel Plan strategy so that effective sustainable transport solutions can be achieved;
- On-going review and assessment of the Travel Plan to determine if objectives are being achieved and initiating new measures when required. The Travel Plan Co-ordinator will also be expected to update the Travel Plan to ensure their success;
- Ensuring that all employees and visitors have good travel information and are made aware of all of the travel choices they have available to them, to promote sustainable travel;
- To use effective marketing and awareness-raising schemes to assist in the promotion of the Travel Plan and sustainable travel across the site; and
- To work together with the local highway authority to ensure that the management and monitoring of the Travel Plan is efficiently and effectively undertaken and that the Travel Plan measures are being delivered.
4.1.10 The Travel Plan will be implemented and monitored as set below:
- Prior to development occupation a final travel plan and staff travel survey pro-forma will be agreed;
- Three months after occupation the initial staff travel survey will be undertaken and reported to Denbighshire County Council within three months (this information will be gathered after this time to ensure representative data once staff have established themselves into their new travel routine); and
- Annually thereafter for a period of five year after occupation the staff travel survey will be undertaken and reported to Denbighshire County Council within three months of survey completion.


### 4.2 Summary of Framework for Implementation

4.2.1 There are a number of elements of the Travel Plan which will need to be submitted, agreed and implemented at different timescales.
4.2.2 The following table therefore summarises the key areas of implementation and sets the framework which will form the basis of the agreement between Aldi and Denbighshire County Council.

Table 4.1: Framework for Implementation
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline \text { Item/Measure } & \text { Timescale } \\
\hline \text { Agreement of Framework Travel } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Prior to issue of planning } \\
\text { plarmission }\end{array} \\
\hline \text { Undertake staff travel surveys } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Within 3 months of opening of } \\
\text { food store. Then annually for } \\
\text { a period of five years. }\end{array} \\
\hline \begin{array}{c}\text { Issue Travel Plan with staff travel } \\
\text { patterns and set targets }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Within 3 months of } \\
\text { undertaking surveys }\end{array} \\
\hline \begin{array}{c}\text { Infrastructure measures } \\
\text { (pedestrian/ cycle access, cycle } \\
\text { parking) to be implemented }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Prior to occupation of the } \\
\text { development }\end{array} \\
\hline \begin{array}{c}\text { Appointment of Travel Plan Co- } \\
\text { ordinator }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { 3 months prior to occupation } \\
\text { of the development }\end{array} \\
\hline \begin{array}{c}\text { Issue 'Employee Travel Packs' to } \\
\text { all employees }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { At commencement of } \\
\text { employment }\end{array} \\
\hline \text { Develop/ promote car-share } \\
\text { scheme } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Within travel packs \& on } \\
\text { notice boards. }\end{array}
$$ <br>
\hline Period of formal monitoring of \& 5 years from Occupation of <br>

the Development\end{array}\right]\)| Travel Plan by the Developer |
| :---: |

### 5.0 TARGETS - STAFF

5.1.1 Travel Plan targets will be formally set following the initial employee surveys and updated annually. The Travel Plan Co-ordinator will liaise with the Council to set suitable targets.
5.1.2 Travel Plans evolve over time and adapt to changing conditions. As the staff travel patterns may be liable to change over time, it will be necessary to carry out reviews of staff travel behaviour. The results from these reviews will enable the Travel Plan initiatives to be adapted as necessary.
5.1.3 It should be recognised that a genuine modal shift ultimately relates to an individual choosing an alternative means of travel to the private car rather than any apparent modal shifts caused by staff turnover (i.e. a cyclist replaced by a car driver or vice versa). Specific circumstances will be taken into account at the time of the annual reviews.

### 6.0 CONCLUSIONS

6.1.1 To achieve the target set out within this Travel Plan, Aldi will encourage its employees and customers alike, to take into account the benefits of sustainable forms of transport that are available to them given the highly accessible location of the site.
6.1.2 Aldi will undertake local infrastructure improvements to further enhance sustainable transport options in the vicinity of the site. This, allied with progressive management practices and the provision of adequate information, will influence and encourage staff and customers to choose sustainable transport options in preference to the private car.
6.1.3 The Travel Plan will seek to achieve significant reductions in car usage for journeys to and from the store. This will produce resultant benefits in terms of air quality and emissions and will also significantly reduce car parking demand and traffic generation associated with the development.
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## APPENDIX D

TRAFFIC COUNT DATA

## Ruthin ATC, A525

Produced by PCC Traffic Information Consultancy Ltd.

Channel 1 - Northbound
Vehicle Flow
Week 1

|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 03 / 03 / 2016 \\ \text { Thursday } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 04/03/2016 } \\ \text { Friday } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 05/03/2016 } \\ \text { Saturday } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 06 / 03 / 2016 \\ \text { Sunday } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 07 / 03 / 2016 \\ \text { Monday } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 08/03/2016 } \\ \text { Tuesday } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 09 / 03 / 2016 \\ & \text { Wednesday } \end{aligned}$ | 5 Day Ave 7 Day Ave |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hr Ending |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 6 | 13 | 31 | 52 | 8 | 13 | 9 | 10 | 19 |
| 2 | 2 | 4 | 13 | 20 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 6 |
| 3 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 10 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 4 |
| 4 | 8 | 6 | 5 | 10 | 11 | 10 | 7 | 8 | 8 |
| 5 | 7 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 8 | 6 | 14 | 9 | 11 |
| 6 | 47 | 48 | 24 | 13 | 41 | 45 | 48 | 46 | 38 |
| 7 | 115 | 112 | 62 | 31 | 100 | 120 | 117 | 113 | 94 |
| 8 | 402 | 373 | 100 | 54 | 413 | 412 | 383 | 397 | 305 |
| 9 | 623 | 586 | 155 | 77 | 596 | 606 | 626 | 607 | 467 |
| 10 | 336 | 359 | 270 | 141 | 387 | 357 | 353 | 358 | 315 |
| 11 | 324 | 340 | 335 | 230 | 284 | 323 | 309 | 316 | 306 |
| 12 | 345 | 362 | 417 | 311 | 331 | 347 | 322 | 341 | 348 |
| 13 | 388 | 389 | 405 | 378 | 338 | 343 | 327 | 357 | 367 |
| 14 | 399 | 380 | 324 | 308 | 322 | 387 | 312 | 360 | 347 |
| 15 | 452 | 377 | 379 | 299 | 370 | 373 | 374 | 389 | 375 |
| 16 | 470 | 467 | 344 | 287 | 446 | 483 | 458 | 465 | 422 |
| 17 | 514 | 506 | 347 | 264 | 497 | 492 | 466 | 495 | 441 |
| 18 | 580 | 476 | 299 | 233 | 558 | 575 | 570 | 552 | 470 |
| 19 | 343 | 316 | 220 | 192 | 289 | 311 | 356 | 323 | 290 |
| 20 | 216 | 191 | 167 | 149 | 186 | 241 | 201 | 207 | 193 |
| 21 | 138 | 117 | 122 | 99 | 134 | 132 | 141 | 132 | 126 |
| 22 | 137 | 93 | 88 | 71 | 90 | 94 | 97 | 102 | 96 |
| 23 | 67 | 79 | 80 | 56 | 58 | 57 | 55 | 63 | 65 |
| 24 | 34 | 45 | 73 | 20 | 20 | 23 | 16 | 28 | 33 |


| $7-19$ | 5176 | 4931 | 3595 | 2774 | 4831 | 5009 | 4856 | 4961 | 4453 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $6-22$ | 5782 | 5444 | 4034 | 3124 | 5341 | 5596 | 5412 | 5515 | 4962 |
| $6-24$ | 5883 | 5568 | 4187 | 3200 | 5419 | 5676 | 5483 | 5606 | 5059 |
| $0-24$ | 5954 | 5654 | 4280 | 3319 | 5490 | 5756 | 5563 | 5683 | 5145 |



## Ruthin ATC, A525

Produced by PCC Traffic Information Consultancy Ltd.

Channel 1 - Northbound
Average Speed
Week 1

| O3/03/2016 <br> Thursday | 04/03/2016 <br> Friday | 05/03/2016 <br> Saturday | $06 / 03 / 2016$ <br> Sunday | $07 / 03 / 2016$ <br> Monday | $08 / 03 / 2016$ <br> Tuesday | $09 / 03 / 2016$ <br> Wednesday |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 36.8 | 41.1 | 39.7 | 39.8 | 41.1 | 35.7 | 38.0 |
| 2 | 31.8 | 36.1 | 38.0 | 39.0 | 33.0 | 44.7 | 31.8 |
| 3 | 25.5 | 35.5 | 36.9 | 42.0 | 29.2 | 33.8 | - |
| 4 | 41.1 | 41.3 | 36.5 | 40.8 | 36.2 | 35.2 | 42.3 |
| 5 | 43.0 | 37.2 | 38.2 | 38.2 | 40.2 | 41.3 | 40.7 |
| 6 | 37.7 | 36.3 | 37.5 | 36.5 | 37.8 | 40.8 | 38.7 |
| 7 | 37.8 | 37.2 | 41.0 | 39.3 | 38.9 | 37.2 | 38.0 |
| 8 | 35.7 | 35.9 | 37.4 | 40.3 | 35.7 | 35.5 | 35.6 |
| 9 | 34.2 | 34.6 | 38.7 | 38.8 | 35.1 | 34.9 | 35.1 |
| 10 | 36.1 | 35.9 | 36.3 | 38.0 | 35.5 | 34.5 | 35.0 |
| 11 | 34.3 | 34.9 | 36.4 | 37.6 | 35.5 | 34.2 | 34.9 |
| 12 | 34.6 | 34.5 | 34.8 | 36.2 | 36.2 | 33.2 | 33.4 |
| 13 | 35.2 | 34.4 | 35.5 | 36.7 | 35.7 | 34.3 | 35.7 |
| 14 | 34.4 | 34.4 | 36.0 | 37.4 | 35.7 | 32.8 | 34.7 |
| 15 | 33.9 | 35.2 | 36.3 | 37.9 | 35.7 | 33.0 | 34.2 |
| 16 | 33.8 | 34.3 | 36.6 | 37.2 | 35.6 | 34.1 | 34.2 |
| 17 | 35.2 | 34.2 | 37.6 | 37.0 | 36.0 | 35.2 | 35.3 |
| 18 | 36.2 | 35.6 | 38.8 | 37.5 | 36.4 | 36.1 | 35.6 |
| 19 | 36.6 | 36.1 | 37.5 | 38.7 | 37.1 | 37.1 | 36.8 |
| 20 | 39.0 | 37.6 | 39.6 | 39.1 | 39.2 | 38.1 | 37.5 |
| 21 | 38.9 | 39.6 | 38.9 | 40.7 | 39.8 | 39.5 | 38.1 |
| 22 | 37.6 | 39.3 | 39.2 | 40.0 | 39.3 | 39.3 | 39.3 |
| 23 | 38.7 | 38.8 | 40.4 | 40.9 | 39.2 | 39.8 | 39.9 |
| 24 | 38.5 | 40.4 | 40.6 | 38.0 | 38.5 | 39.6 | 40.2 |


| $10-12$ | 34.4 | 34.7 | 35.5 | 36.8 | 35.9 | 33.7 | 34.2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $14-16$ | 33.9 | 34.7 | 36.4 | 37.6 | 35.7 | 33.6 | 34.2 |
| $0-24$ | 35.4 | 35.4 | 37.1 | 37.8 | 36.2 | 35.2 | 35.5 |

Channel 1 - Northbound

| $03 / 03 / 2016$ <br> Thursday | $04 / 03 / 2016$ <br> Friday | $05 / 03 / 2016$ <br> Saturday | $06 / 03 / 2016$ <br> Sunday | $07 / 03 / 2016$ <br> Monday | $08 / 03 / 2016$ <br> Tuesday | $09 / 03 / 2016$ <br> Wednesday |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 48.7 | 53.6 | 44.0 | 48.7 | 43.9 | 48.7 | 43.2 |
| 2 | 38.5 | 48.3 | 43.4 | 43.9 | - | 53.9 | 38.5 |
| 3 | - | 43.3 | 43.3 | 48.8 | 33.5 | 38.5 | - |
| 4 | 43.3 | 48.8 | 43.2 | 48.0 | 38.4 | 43.1 | 48.3 |
| 5 | 53.3 | 43.8 | 48.2 | 43.5 | 48.1 | 48.8 | 53.3 |
| 6 | 48.8 | 43.6 | 43.6 | 43.9 | 43.8 | 48.4 | 43.0 |
| 7 | 43.0 | 49.0 | 48.4 | 43.4 | 43.5 | 43.5 | 43.5 |
| 8 | 43.8 | 43.9 | 43.4 | 48.7 | 43.8 | 43.5 | 43.2 |
| 9 | 38.8 | 38.2 | 43.7 | 48.5 | 38.6 | 38.2 | 38.9 |
| 10 | 43.7 | 43.7 | 43.3 | 48.5 | 38.8 | 38.3 | 38.6 |
| 11 | 38.0 | 39.0 | 43.6 | 43.5 | 38.0 | 38.1 | 38.8 |
| 12 | 38.4 | 38.2 | 43.2 | 43.4 | 43.2 | 38.6 | 38.9 |
| 13 | 43.9 | 38.5 | 43.2 | 43.4 | 43.1 | 38.2 | 43.3 |
| 14 | 38.8 | 38.1 | 43.6 | 43.3 | 43.1 | 38.9 | 38.5 |
| 15 | 38.4 | 39.0 | 43.1 | 43.1 | 43.3 | 38.1 | 38.1 |
| 16 | 39.0 | 38.7 | 43.5 | 43.2 | 43.1 | 38.4 | 38.6 |
| 17 | 43.9 | 38.0 | 43.9 | 44.0 | 43.0 | 38.3 | 43.4 |
| 18 | 43.1 | 38.6 | 43.3 | 43.1 | 43.5 | 43.9 | 39.0 |
| 19 | 43.9 | 43.1 | 43.8 | 43.4 | 43.7 | 43.8 | 43.1 |
| 20 | 43.4 | 43.1 | 48.4 | 48.4 | 43.5 | 43.3 | 43.9 |
| 21 | 48.5 | 48.8 | 43.3 | 48.5 | 43.8 | 48.7 | 43.6 |
| 22 | 43.8 | 48.3 | 43.9 | 43.2 | 43.1 | 48.3 | 48.3 |
| 23 | 43.1 | 43.0 | 48.6 | 48.5 | 43.2 | 48.1 | 48.1 |
| 24 | 48.6 | 48.3 | 48.6 | 43.3 | 48.7 | 43.0 | 48.5 |


| $10-12$ | 38.5 | 38.4 | 43.4 | 43.6 | 43.5 | 38.3 | 38.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $14-16$ | 38.3 | 38.3 | 43.1 | 43.5 | 43.4 | 38.8 | 39.0 |
| $0-24$ | 43.6 | 43.9 | 43.6 | 43.2 | 43.1 | 43.3 | 43.1 |

Ruthin ATC, A525
Produced by PCC Traffic Information Consultancy Ltd.

Channel 1 - Northbound
Speed Summary
Week 1

| Speed (MP | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 03/03/2016 } \\ \text { Thursday } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 04/03/2016 } \\ \text { Friday } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 05/03/2016 } \\ \text { Saturday } \end{gathered}$ | 06/03/2016 Sunday | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 07/03/2016 } \\ \text { Monday } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 08/03/2016 } \\ \text { Tuesday } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 09/03/2016 <br> Wednesday |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-30 | 881 | 753 | 380 | 206 | 515 | 897 | 734 |
| 31-45 | 4840 | 4703 | 3583 | 2807 | 4757 | 4661 | 4619 |
| 46-60 | 230 | 196 | 311 | 301 | 216 | 194 | 208 |
| 61- | 3 | 2 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 2 |
|                  <br> TOTAL 5954 5654 4280 3319 5490 5756 5563          |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Ruthin ATC, A525
Produced by PCC Traffic Information Consultancy Ltd.

Channel 1 - Northbound
Vehicle Class
Week 1

| Day / Time Classes | Car / LGV / Caravan - 1 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { OGV1 / Bus } \\ -2,3,5,6,7,12 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { OGV2 } \\ -4,8,9,10,11,13 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { TOTAL } \\ -1-13 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 03/03/2016 |  |  |  |  |
| 7-19 | 年 4868 | 243 | - 65 | - 5176 |
| 6-22 | 5440 | 267 | 75 | 5782 |
| 6-24 | 5538 | 270 | 75 | 5883 |
| 0-24 | 5599 | 278 | 77 | 5954 |
| 04/03/2016 |  |  |  |  |
| 7-19 | 4633 | 219 | - 79 | - 4931 |
| 6-22 | 5117 | 239 | 88 | 5444 |
| 6-24 | 5239 | 241 | 88 | 5568 |
| 0-24 | 5317 | 247 | 90 | 5654 |
| 05/03/2016 |  |  |  |  |
| 7-19 | 3467 | 105 | 23 | 3595 |
| 6-22 | 3894 | 116 | 24 | 4034 |
| 6-24 | 4043 | 120 | 24 | 4187 |
| 0-24 | 4129 | 126 | 25 | 4280 |
| 06/03/2016 |  |  |  |  |
| 7-19 | 2722 | 40 | 12 | 2774 |
| 6-22 | 3064 | 47 | 13 | 3124 |
| 6-24 | 3137 | 50 | 13 | 3200 |
| 0-24 | 3252 | 54 | 13 | 3319 |
| 07/03/2016 | WUWUUWUVUUW | WUUWUUWUWUUT | WWUWUWUWUWUTO | OWWUWUWUWUWU |
| 7-19 | 4571 | 217 | 43 | 4831 |
| 6-22 | 5060 | 234 | 47 | 5341 |
| 6-24 | 5136 | 236 | 47 | 5419 |
| 0-24 | 5200 | 240 | 50 | 5490 |
| 08/03/2016 |  |  |  |  |
| 7-19 | 4743 | 208 | 58 | 5009 |
| 6-22 | 5305 | 223 | 68 | 5596 |
| 6-24 | 5385 | 223 | 68 | 5676 |
| 0-24 | 5457 | 226 | 73 | 5756 |
| 09/03/2016 |  |  |  |  |
| 7-19 | 4588 | 210 | 58 | 4856 |
| 6-22 | 5120 | 229 | 63 | 5412 |
| 6-24 | 5186 | 231 | 66 | 5483 |
| 0-24 | 5258 | 236 | 69 | 5563 |


| Average |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7-19 | 4227 | 177 | 48 | 4453 |
| 6-22 | 4714 | 194 | 54 | 4962 |
| 6-24 | 4809 | 196 | 54 | 5059 |
| 0-24 | 4887 | 201 | 57 | 5145 |

Total Vehicle Class Distribution


## Ruthin ATC, A525

Produced by PCC Traffic Information Consultancy Ltd.

Channel 2 - Southbound
Vehicle Flow
Week 1

|  | $03 / 03 / 2016$ | $04 / 03 / 2016$ <br> Friday | $05 / 03 / 2016$ <br> Saturday | $\begin{gathered} \hline 06 / 03 / 2016 \\ \text { Sunday } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 07/03/2016 <br> Monday | 08/03/2016 <br> Tuesday | $09 / 03 / 2016$ <br> Wednesday |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{\text { Hr Ending }}{}$ | Thursday | Friday | $\frac{\text { Saturday }}{23}$ | Sunday | Monday | $\frac{\text { Tuesday }}{7}$ | Wednesday | 5 Day Ave | $\frac{7 \text { Day Ave }}{15}$ |
| 2 | 2 | 7 | 15 | 25 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 8 |
| 3 | 2 | 4 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 5 |
| 4 | 6 | 7 | 11 | 13 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 7 |
| 5 | 23 | 15 | 12 | 5 | 16 | 11 | 11 | 15 | 13 |
| 6 | 47 | 44 | 22 | 15 | 43 | 46 | 45 | 45 | 37 |
| 7 | 93 | 92 | 48 | 22 | 103 | 125 | 108 | 104 | 84 |
| 8 | 301 | 267 | 104 | 48 | 301 | 315 | 319 | 301 | 236 |
| 9 | 634 | 602 | 180 | 111 | 634 | 623 | 593 | 617 | 482 |
| 10 | 409 | 438 | 282 | 160 | 360 | 421 | 397 | 405 | 352 |
| 11 | 356 | 327 | 367 | 228 | 309 | 312 | 328 | 326 | 318 |
| 12 | 359 | 339 | 390 | 311 | 273 | 369 | 290 | 326 | 333 |
| 13 | 394 | 413 | 376 | 320 | 368 | 387 | 339 | 380 | 371 |
| 14 | 382 | 390 | 352 | 286 | 359 | 362 | 346 | 368 | 354 |
| 15 | 377 | 395 | 381 | 305 | 369 | 399 | 361 | 380 | 370 |
| 16 | 524 | 506 | 360 | 333 | 466 | 451 | 485 | 486 | 446 |
| 17 | 536 | 549 | 290 | 307 | 513 | 510 | 534 | 528 | 463 |
| 18 | 551 | 478 | 295 | 263 | 549 | 608 | 580 | 553 | 475 |
| 19 | 384 | 296 | 268 | 211 | 335 | 349 | 333 | 339 | 311 |
| 20 | 220 | 171 | 165 | 129 | 186 | 222 | 204 | 201 | 185 |
| 21 | 155 | 127 | 102 | 94 | 113 | 123 | 165 | 137 | 126 |
| 22 | 108 | 98 | 97 | 74 | 91 | 101 | 111 | 102 | 97 |
| 23 | 61 | 65 | 92 | 52 | 57 | 53 | 51 | 57 | 62 |
| 24 | 28 | 44 | 58 | 20 | 21 | 27 | 32 | 30 | 33 |


| $7-19$ | 5207 | 5000 | 3645 | 2883 | 4836 | 5106 | 4905 | 5011 | 4512 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $6-22$ | 5783 | 5488 | 4057 | 3202 | 5329 | 5677 | 5493 | 5554 | 5004 |
| $6-24$ | 5872 | 5597 | 4207 | 3274 | 5407 | 5757 | 5576 | 5642 | 5099 |
| $0-24$ | 5961 | 5682 | 4299 | 3381 | 5490 | 5831 | 5650 | 5723 | 5185 |



## Ruthin ATC, A525

Produced by PCC Traffic Information Consultancy Ltd.

Channel 2 - Southbound
Average Speed
Week 1

| O3/03/2016 <br> Thursday | $04 / 03 / 2016$ <br> Friday | $05 / 03 / 2016$ <br> Saturday | $06 / 03 / 2016$ <br> Sunday | $07 / 03 / 2016$ <br> Monday | $08 / 03 / 2016$ <br> Tuesday | $09 / 03 / 2016$ <br> Wednesday |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 33.8 | 33.6 | 35.0 | 36.8 | 36.6 | 27.6 | 33.9 |
| 2 | 33.0 | 32.6 | 38.2 | 35.3 | 30.5 | 29.2 | 33.8 |
| 3 | 38.0 | 27.4 | 34.1 | 37.1 | 28.7 | 25.5 | 24.7 |
| 4 | 33.4 | 31.2 | 38.0 | 37.0 | 29.5 | 36.5 | 39.2 |
| 5 | 34.8 | 31.5 | 33.8 | 33.5 | 33.8 | 36.2 | 32.8 |
| 6 | 36.1 | 31.0 | 36.8 | 38.5 | 35.4 | 34.5 | 37.3 |
| 7 | 36.0 | 34.2 | 36.8 | 41.1 | 36.0 | 34.5 | 36.2 |
| 8 | 34.5 | 34.1 | 38.6 | 38.6 | 33.5 | 33.6 | 34.6 |
| 9 | 28.3 | 31.7 | 36.9 | 37.2 | 31.5 | 30.9 | 31.6 |
| 10 | 32.0 | 32.0 | 34.1 | 35.6 | 32.8 | 30.9 | 31.3 |
| 11 | 31.9 | 31.5 | 33.7 | 34.6 | 32.4 | 31.7 | 31.0 |
| 12 | 31.0 | 32.2 | 33.1 | 34.3 | 32.4 | 30.1 | 32.3 |
| 13 | 32.6 | 31.3 | 32.4 | 33.0 | 33.2 | 30.6 | 32.8 |
| 14 | 30.9 | 31.7 | 32.8 | 33.7 | 33.3 | 30.7 | 32.7 |
| 15 | 31.7 | 32.0 | 33.4 | 33.2 | 33.2 | 29.0 | 31.7 |
| 16 | 30.8 | 31.4 | 33.4 | 32.0 | 34.1 | 30.8 | 31.7 |
| 17 | 31.9 | 31.9 | 34.6 | 33.6 | 32.7 | 31.9 | 31.8 |
| 18 | 32.3 | 31.7 | 35.0 | 33.8 | 32.6 | 32.2 | 31.6 |
| 19 | 30.8 | 31.0 | 33.3 | 32.5 | 33.1 | 33.0 | 32.2 |
| 20 | 32.2 | 32.2 | 34.2 | 33.0 | 33.3 | 33.1 | 32.2 |
| 21 | 31.8 | 31.8 | 34.0 | 32.7 | 35.2 | 35.4 | 31.5 |
| 22 | 31.2 | 31.8 | 35.3 | 36.0 | 34.5 | 32.6 | 35.9 |
| 23 | 33.5 | 34.5 | 34.9 | 32.6 | 35.4 | 34.9 | 35.7 |
| 24 | 34.5 | 35.4 | 35.1 | 33.2 | 37.0 | 35.6 | 36.5 |


| $10-12$ | 31.5 | 31.9 | 33.4 | 34.4 | 32.4 | 30.8 | 31.6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $14-16$ | 31.2 | 31.7 | 33.4 | 32.6 | 33.7 | 30.0 | 31.7 |
| $0-24$ | 31.6 | 31.9 | 34.0 | 33.8 | 33.0 | 31.6 | 32.3 |

Channel 2 - Southbound
85th Percentile

| O3/03/2016 <br> Thursday | 04/03/2016 <br> Friday | $05 / 03 / 2016$ <br> Saturday | $06 / 03 / 2016$ <br> Sunday | $07 / 03 / 2016$ <br> Monday | $08 / 03 / 2016$ <br> Tuesday | $09 / 03 / 2016$ <br> Wednesday |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 43.0 | 38.4 | 43.0 | 43.1 | 43.5 | 33.3 | 38.7 |
| 2 | 33.3 | 33.3 | 43.7 | 43.6 | 33.2 | 33.6 | 43.8 |
| 3 | 38.5 | 33.3 | 48.5 | 43.3 | 33.2 | - | 33.3 |
| 4 | 38.9 | 43.2 | 43.6 | 48.1 | 38.3 | 48.5 | 43.7 |
| 5 | 43.5 | 43.5 | 43.2 | 38.2 | 43.8 | 43.2 | 43.4 |
| 6 | 43.4 | 38.2 | 43.5 | 43.1 | 43.1 | 43.9 | 43.8 |
| 7 | 43.8 | 43.6 | 43.7 | 48.4 | 43.5 | 38.4 | 43.7 |
| 8 | 38.8 | 38.4 | 43.8 | 44.0 | 38.8 | 38.3 | 38.4 |
| 9 | 33.7 | 38.9 | 43.4 | 43.5 | 38.8 | 38.8 | 38.1 |
| 10 | 38.7 | 38.5 | 38.9 | 43.5 | 38.3 | 38.2 | 38.4 |
| 11 | 39.0 | 38.2 | 38.7 | 39.0 | 39.0 | 38.4 | 38.3 |
| 12 | 38.3 | 38.7 | 38.1 | 38.2 | 38.8 | 33.2 | 38.7 |
| 13 | 38.5 | 38.7 | 38.6 | 38.4 | 38.7 | 38.9 | 38.3 |
| 14 | 38.4 | 38.6 | 38.7 | 38.4 | 38.9 | 38.6 | 38.8 |
| 15 | 38.7 | 38.8 | 38.0 | 38.3 | 38.9 | 33.4 | 38.2 |
| 16 | 38.2 | 38.2 | 38.4 | 38.5 | 38.4 | 33.4 | 38.6 |
| 17 | 38.4 | 38.8 | 38.4 | 38.1 | 38.1 | 38.9 | 39.0 |
| 18 | 38.5 | 38.2 | 38.3 | 38.5 | 39.0 | 38.6 | 38.2 |
| 19 | 38.8 | 39.0 | 39.0 | 39.0 | 38.8 | 38.9 | 38.9 |
| 20 | 38.5 | 38.1 | 43.8 | 38.6 | 38.7 | 38.5 | 38.1 |
| 21 | 38.4 | 38.1 | 38.7 | 38.9 | 38.4 | 43.3 | 39.0 |
| 22 | 38.5 | 38.8 | 43.4 | 43.7 | 38.0 | 38.9 | 43.6 |
| 23 | 38.2 | 38.4 | 43.7 | 38.4 | 43.2 | 43.3 | 43.6 |
| 24 | 38.6 | 38.5 | 43.3 | 38.7 | 43.2 | 38.3 | 43.9 |


| $10-12$ | 38.5 | 38.1 | 38.4 | 38.1 | 38.5 | 38.2 | 38.6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $14-16$ | 38.7 | 38.1 | 38.4 | 38.8 | 38.4 | 33.3 | 38.2 |
| $0-24$ | 38.9 | 38.2 | 38.9 | 38.7 | 38.1 | 38.0 | 38.9 |

Ruthin ATC, A525
Produced by PCC Traffic Information Consultancy Ltd.

Channel 2 - Southbound
Speed Summary
Week 1

| Speed (MPH) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 03/03/2016 } \\ \text { Thursday } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 04/03/2016 } \\ \text { Friday } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 05/03/2016 } \\ \text { Saturday } \end{gathered}$ | 06/03/2016 <br> Sunday | $07 / 03 / 2016$ <br> Monday | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 08/03/2016 } \\ \text { Tuesday } \end{gathered}$ | 09/03/2016 Wednesday |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-30 | 2071 | 1866 | 898 | 749 | 1377 | 2107 | 1771 |
| 31-45 | 3857 | 3788 | 3323 | 2571 | 4061 | 3679 | 3830 |
| 46-60 | 33 | 27 | 77 | 61 | 52 | 45 | 49 |
| 61- | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 5961 | 5682 | 4299 | 3381 | 5490 | 5831 | 5650 |

Speed Summary (MPH)

$\square$

Ruthin ATC, A525
Produced by PCC Traffic Information Consultancy Ltd.

Channel 2 - Southbound
Vehicle Class
Week 1

| Day / Time Classes | Car / LGV / Caravan-1 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { OGV1 / Bus } \\ -2,3,5,6,7,12 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { OGV2 } \\ -4,8,9,10,11,13 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { TOTAL } \\ -1-13 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 03/03/2016 |  |  |  |  |
| 7-19 | 4880 | 252 | 75 | 5207 |
| 6-22 | 5424 | 275 | 84 | 5783 |
| 6-24 | 5508 | 280 | 84 | 5872 |
| 0-24 | 5580 | 287 | 94 | 5961 |
| 04/03/2016 |  |  |  |  |
| 7-19 | 4699 | 220 | 81 | 5000 |
| 6-22 | 5164 | 237 | 87 | 5488 |
| 6-24 | 5270 | 240 | 87 | 5597 |
| 0-24 | 5337 | 248 | 97 | 5682 |
| 05/03/2016 |  |  |  |  |
| 7-19 | 3529 | 98 | 18 | 3645 |
| 6-22 | 3931 | 107 | 19 | 4057 |
| 6-24 | 4077 | 111 | 19 | 4207 |
| 0-24 | 4160 | 116 | 23 | 4299 |
| 06/03/2016 |  |  |  |  |
| 7-19 | 2812 | 49 | 22 | 2883 |
| 6-22 | 3122 | 56 | 24 | 3202 |
| 6-24 | 3193 | 57 | 24 | 3274 |
| 0-24 | 3291 | 62 | 28 | 3381 |
| 07/03/2016 |  |  |  |  |
| 7-19 | 4551 | 226 | 59 | 4836 |
| 6-22 | 5023 | 243 | 63 | 5329 |
| 6-24 | 5097 | 247 | 63 | 5407 |
| 0-24 | 5164 | 254 | 72 | 5490 |
| 08/03/2016 |  |  |  |  |
| 7-19 | 4807 | 234 | 65 | 5106 |
| 6-22 | 5356 | 249 | 72 | 5677 |
| 6-24 | 5434 | 251 | 72 | 5757 |
| 0-24 | 5494 | 255 | 82 | 5831 |
| 09/03/2016 |  |  |  |  |
| 7-19 | 4624 | 211 | - 70 | 4905 |
| 6-22 | 5188 | 227 | 78 | 5493 |
| 6-24 | 5268 | 230 | 78 | 5576 |
| 0-24 | 5330 | 236 | 84 | 5650 |


| Average | OUVOUVOUVOUVO | WOUOWOUOUVOUW |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7-19 | 4272 | 184 | 56 | 4512 |
| 6-22 | 4744 | 199 | 61 | 5004 |
| 6-24 | 4835 | 202 | 61 | 5099 |
| 0-24 | 4908 | 208 | 69 | 5185 |

Total Vehicle Class Distribution


Ruthin - Manual Traffic Survey, Friday 4th March 2016

Junction: (1) A525 / Denbigh Road
Approach: A525 (North)

|  | Left to A525 (East) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ahead to Denbigh Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TIME | P/CYCLE | M/CYCLE | CAR | LGV | OGV1 | OGV2 | BUS | TOTAL | P/CYCLE | M/CYCLE | CAR | LGV | OGV1 | OGV2 | BUS | TOTAL |
| 0730-0745 | 1 | 1 | 30 | 8 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 19 |
| 0745-0800 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 68 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 29 |
| Hourly Total | 1 | 1 | 85 | 20 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 111 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 48 |
| 0800-0815 | 0 | 0 | 71 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 83 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 46 |
| 0815-0830 | 0 | 0 | 87 | 8 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 104 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 19 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 59 |
| 0830-0845 | 0 | 0 | 88 | 15 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 106 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 16 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 67 |
| 0845-0900 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 13 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 88 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 10 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 53 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 316 | 43 | 11 | 5 | 6 | 381 | 0 | 0 | 158 | 55 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 225 |
| 0900-0915 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 14 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 73 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 12 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 63 |
| 0915-0930 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 9 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 49 |
| 0930-0945 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 42 |
| 0945-1000 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 12 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 42 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 186 | 42 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 248 | 0 | 0 | 154 | 32 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 196 |



| 1530-1545 | 0 | 0 | 59 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 66 | 0 | 1 | 54 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 62 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1545-1600 | 0 | 0 | 66 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 76 | 0 | 0 | 52 | 13 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 66 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 125 | 12 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 142 | 0 | 1 | 106 | 18 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 128 |
| 1600-1615 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 14 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 72 |
| 1615-1630 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 13 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 58 | 8 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 69 |
| 1630-1645 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 14 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 58 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 70 |
| 1645-1700 | 0 | 0 | 58 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 74 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 86 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 190 | 47 | 4 | 7 | 5 | 253 | 0 | 0 | 255 | 31 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 297 |
| 1700-1715 | 0 | 0 | 63 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 74 | 0 | 1 | 50 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 64 |
| 1715-1730 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 58 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 68 |
| 1730-1745 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 57 |
| 1745-1800 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 55 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 210 | 19 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 237 | 0 | 1 | 205 | 33 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 244 |
| 1800-1815 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 52 |
| 1815-1830 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 64 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 86 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 99 |
| Session Total | 0 | 0 | 589 | 81 | 6 | 13 | 14 | 703 | 0 | 2 | 652 | 94 | 7 | 5 | 8 | 768 |

Ruthin - Manual Traffic Survey, Friday 4th March 2016

Junction: (1) A525 / Denbigh Road
Approach: A525 (East)

|  | Left to Denbigh Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Right to A525 (North) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TIME | P/CYCLE | M/CYCLE | CAR | LGV | OGV1 | OGV2 | BUS | TOTAL | P/CYCLE | M/CYCLE | CAR | LGV | OGV1 | OGV2 | BUS | TOTAL |
| 0730-0745 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 12 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 63 |
| 0745-0800 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 11 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 61 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 10 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 88 | 23 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 124 |
| 0800-0815 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 9 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 62 |
| 0815-0830 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 12 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 77 |
| 0830-0845 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 77 |
| 0845-0900 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 9 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 72 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 29 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 226 | 37 | 14 | 5 | 6 | 288 |
| 0900-0915 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 8 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 49 |
| 0915-0930 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 14 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 61 |
| 0930-0945 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 34 |
| 0945-1000 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 32 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 45 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 21 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 1 | 140 | 37 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 189 |



| 1530-1545 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 10 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 63 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1545-1600 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 10 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 73 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 29 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 107 | 20 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 136 |
| 1600-1615 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 9 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 73 |
| 1615-1630 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 12 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 71 |
| 1630-1645 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 74 |
| 1645-1700 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 59 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 66 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 41 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 1 | 243 | 32 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 284 |
| 1700-1715 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 89 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 105 |
| 1715-1730 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 63 |
| 1730-1745 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 64 |
| 1745-1800 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 1 | 40 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 235 | 29 | 1 | 6 | 4 | 275 |
| 1800-1815 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 7 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 61 |
| 1815-1830 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 21 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 81 | 12 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 97 |
| Session Total | 0 | 1 | 131 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 147 | 0 | 1 | 666 | 93 | 3 | 21 | 8 | 792 |

Ruthin - Manual Traffic Survey, Friday 4th March 2016

Junction: (1) A525 / Denbigh Road
Approach: Denbigh Road

|  | Ahead to A525 (North) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Right to A525 (East) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TIME | P/CYCLE | M/CYCLE | CAR | LGV | OGV1 | OGV2 | BUS | TOTAL | P/CYCLE | M/CYCLE | CAR | LGV | OGV1 | OGV2 | BUS | TOTAL |
| 0730-0745 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 0745-0800 | 0 | 0 | 61 | 17 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 82 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 102 | 31 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 137 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 21 |
| 0800-0815 | 0 | 0 | 69 | 12 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 17 |
| 0815-0830 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 76 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 14 |
| 0830-0845 | 0 | 0 | 64 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 76 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 0845-0900 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 254 | 38 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 304 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 8 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 57 |
| 0900-0915 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| 0915-0930 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 0930-0945 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 0945-1000 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 136 | 26 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 174 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 40 |



| 1530-1545 | 0 | 1 | 38 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1545-1600 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 10 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 1 | 93 | 13 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 115 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 18 |
| 1600-1615 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 13 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 68 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 1615-1630 | 0 | 0 | 52 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| 1630-1645 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 11 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| 1645-1700 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 11 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 167 | 40 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 217 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 32 |
| 1700-1715 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 56 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 1715-1730 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| 1730-1745 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 1745-1800 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 168 | 29 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 206 | 0 | 1 | 32 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 |
| 1800-1815 | 0 | 1 | 41 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 1815-1830 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 1 | 69 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 76 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| Session Total | 0 | 2 | 497 | 86 | 12 | 6 | 11 | 614 | 0 | 1 | 87 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 104 |

Ruthin - Manual Traffic Survey, Saturday 5th March 2016

Junction: (1) A525 / Denbigh Road
Approach: A525 (North)

|  | Left to A525 (East) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ahead to Denbigh Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TIME | P/CYCLE | M/CYCLE | CAR | LGV | OGV1 | OGV2 | BUS | TOTAL | P/CYCLE | M/CYCLE | CAR | LGV | OGV1 | OGV2 | BUS | TOTAL |
| 1000-1015 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 42 |
| 1015-1030 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 2 | 41 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 49 |
| 1030-1045 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 47 | 2 | 0 | 36 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 43 |
| 1045-1100 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 4 | 0 | 55 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 64 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 151 | 20 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 178 | 6 | 2 | 166 | 19 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 198 |
| 1100-1115 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 59 |
| 1115-1130 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 40 | 3 | 0 | 53 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 64 |
| 1130-1145 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 2 | 48 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 56 |
| 1145-1200 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 31 | 1 | 0 | 41 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 51 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 150 | 16 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 171 | 4 | 2 | 191 | 24 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 230 |
| 1200-1215 | 0 | 1 | 35 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 58 |
| 1215-1230 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 61 |
| 1230-1245 | 1 | 0 | 36 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 52 |
| 1245-1300 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 53 |
| Hourly Total | 1 | 1 | 138 | 16 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 161 | 0 | 0 | 194 | 21 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 224 |
| 1300-1315 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 58 |
| 1315-1330 | 0 | 1 | 28 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 53 |
| 1330-1345 | 1 | 1 | 29 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 2 | 37 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 44 |
| 1345-1400 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 1 | 44 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 48 |
| Hourly Total | 1 | 2 | 122 | 14 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 142 | 0 | 3 | 179 | 14 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 203 |
| 1400-1415 | 0 | 1 | 41 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 46 |
| 1415-1430 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 60 |
| 1430-1445 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 64 |
| 1445-1500 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 52 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 59 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 1 | 143 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 156 | 0 | 0 | 207 | 20 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 229 |
| 1500-1515 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 40 | 0 | 1 | 42 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 48 |
| 1515-1530 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 38 |
| 1530-1545 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 1 | 47 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 53 |
| 1545-1600 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 161 | 13 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 177 | 0 | 2 | 166 | 14 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 187 |

Junction: (1) A525 / Denbigh Road
Approach: A525 (East)

|  | Left to Denbigh Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Right to A525 (North) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TIME | P/CYCLE | M/CYCLE | CAR | LGV | OGV1 | OGV2 | BUS | TOTAL | P/CYCLE | M/CYCLE | CAR | LGV | OGV1 | OGV2 | BUS | TOTAL |
| 1000-1015 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 24 |
| 1015-1030 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 1 | 29 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 |
| 1030-1045 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 2 | 0 | 37 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 |
| 1045-1100 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 2 | 36 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 48 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 49 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 2 | 3 | 122 | 17 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 148 |
| 1100-1115 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 44 |
| 1115-1130 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 51 |
| 1130-1145 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 63 |
| 1145-1200 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 55 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 49 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 181 | 26 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 213 |
| 1200-1215 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 54 |
| 1215-1230 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 50 |
| 1230-1245 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 39 |
| 1245-1300 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 52 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 1 | 52 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 163 | 23 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 195 |
| 1300-1315 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 |
| 1315-1330 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 39 |
| 1330-1345 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 24 |
| 1345-1400 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 36 |
| Hourly Total | 1 | 1 | 38 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 122 | 14 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 139 |
| 1400-1415 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 |
| 1415-1430 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51 |
| 1430-1445 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 40 |
| 1445-1500 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 1 | 28 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 155 | 12 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 171 |
| 1500-1515 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 2 | 30 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 |
| 1515-1530 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 |
| 1530-1545 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 27 |
| 1545-1600 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 40 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 1 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 2 | 125 | 11 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 141 |


| 5 | 868 | 103 | 10 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Junction: (1) A525 / Denbigh Road
Approach: Denbigh Road

|  | Ahead to A525 (North) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Right to A525 (East) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TIME | P/CYCLE | M/CYCLE | CAR | LGV | OGV1 | OGV2 | BUS | TOTAL | P/CYCLE | M/CYCLE | CAR | LGV | OGV1 | OGV2 | BUS | TOTAL |
| 1000-1015 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 1015-1030 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 14 |
| 1030-1045 | 1 | 0 | 49 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| 1045-1100 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Hourly Total | 1 | 0 | 167 | 20 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 195 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 42 |
| 1100-1115 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| 1115-1130 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 15 |
| 1130-1145 | 0 | 2 | 52 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 |
| 1145-1200 | 5 | 1 | 38 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 52 | 5 | 1 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| Hourly Total | 5 | 3 | 169 | 20 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 203 | 5 | 1 | 52 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 65 |
| 1200-1215 | 0 | 2 | 51 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 1215-1230 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| 1230-1245 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 1245-1300 | 0 | 3 | 44 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 5 | 189 | 20 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 220 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 |
| 1300-1315 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| 1315-1330 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| 1330-1345 | 0 | 1 | 43 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 10 |
| 1345-1400 | 5 | 0 | 37 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| Hourly Total | 5 | 1 | 164 | 18 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 192 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 41 |
| 1400-1415 | 0 | 1 | 47 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| 1415-1430 | 1 | 1 | 54 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| 1430-1445 | 0 | 1 | 42 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 53 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 1445-1500 | 0 | 1 | 42 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| Hourly Total | 1 | 4 | 185 | 16 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 216 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49 |
| 1500-1515 | 0 | 1 | 50 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| 1515-1530 | 0 | 3 | 47 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 1530-1545 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| 1545-1600 | 0 | 2 | 53 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 6 | 194 | 25 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 230 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 |



## C $\triangle$ <br> CAMERON ROSE

## APPENDIX E

TEMPRO GROWTH FACTORS

## TEMPRO GROWTH FACTORS

| Dataset Version: | 72 |
| :---: | :---: |
| NTM Dataset | AF15 |
| Results Type: | Trip ends by time period |
| Base Year: | 2016 |
| Future Year: | 2024 |
| Trip Purpose Group: | All purposes |
| Time Period: | Weekday AM peak period (0700-0959) |
| Trip End Type: | Origin/ Destinations |
| Alternative Assumptions Applied: | No |
| Area: | Urban |
| Road Type: | All |
| Level Area | Local Growth Factor |
| Authority $\quad$ Denbighshire 014 <br> (W020000055)  | 1.0930 |
| Dataset Version: | 72 |
| NTM Dataset | AF15 |
| Results Type: | Trip ends by time period |
| Base Year: | 2016 |
| Future Year: | 2024 |
| Trip Purpose Group: | All purposes |
| Time Period: | Weekday PM peak period (1600-1859) |
| Trip End Type: | Origin/ Destinations |
| Alternative Assumptions Applied: | No |
| Area: | Urban |
| Road Type: | All |
| Level Area | Local Growth Factor |
| Authority $\quad$ Denbighshire 014 (W020000055) | 1.0915 |
| Dataset Version: | 72 |
| NTM Dataset | AF15 |
| Results Type: | Trip ends by time period |
| Base Year: | 2016 |
| Future Year: | 2024 |
| Trip Purpose Group: | All purposes |
| Time Period: | Saturday |
| Trip End Type: | Origin/ Destinations |
| Alternative Assumptions Applied: | No |
| Area: | Urban |
| Road Type: | All |
| Level Area | Local Growth Factor |
| Authority $\quad$ Denbighshire 014 <br> (W020000055)  | 1.0966 |

## C. $\triangle$ <br> CAMERON ROSE

## APPENDIX F

TRICS DATA

```
Land Use : 01-RETAIL
Category : C-DISCOUNT FOOD STORES
```


## VEHICLES

| Selected regions and areas: |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{0 2}$ | SOUTH EAST <br>  <br> KC KENT |  |
| $\mathbf{0 3}$ | SOUTH WEST | 1 days |
|  | DC DORSET |  |
| $\mathbf{0 5}$ | EAST MI DLANDS |  |
|  | NR NORTHAMPTONSHIRE | 1 days |
| $\mathbf{0 6}$ | WEST MI DLANDS |  |
|  | SH SHROPSHIRE |  |
| $\mathbf{0 7}$ | YORKSHI RE \& NORTH LI NCOLNSHI RE | 1 days |
|  | NY NORTH YORKSHIRE |  |
| $\mathbf{0 8}$ | NORTH WEST | 1 days |
|  | MS MERSEYSIDE | 2 days |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | WALES |  |
|  | GW GWYNEDD | 1 days |
|  | PS POWYS | 1 days |

This section displays the number of survey days per TRICS ${ }^{\circledR}$ sub-region in the selected set

## Filtering Stage $\mathbf{2}$ selection:

This data displays the chosen trip rate parameter and its selected range. Only sites that fall within the parameter range are included in the trip rate calculation.

| Parameter: | Gross floor area |
| :--- | :--- |
| Actual Range: | 1150 to 1900 (units: sqm) |
| Range Selected by User: | 865 to 1900 (units: sqm ) |

Public Transport Provision:
Selection by:
Include all surveys
Date Range: $\quad 01 / 01 / 07$ to $27 / 11 / 12$
This data displays the range of survey dates selected. Only surveys that were conducted within this date range are included in the trip rate calculation.

Selected survey days:

| Monday | 2 days |
| :--- | :--- |
| Tuesday | 4 days |
| Wednesday | 2 days |
| Friday | 1 days |

This data displays the number of selected surveys by day of the week.
Selected survey types:

| Manual count | 9 days |
| :--- | :--- |
| Directional ATC Count | 0 days |

This data displays the number of manual classified surveys and the number of unclassified ATC surveys, the total adding up to the overall number of surveys in the selected set. Manual surveys are undertaken using staff, whilst ATC surveys are undertaking using machines.

| Selected Locations: | 4 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Edge of Town Centre | 3 |
| Suburban Area (PPS6 Out of Centre) | 1 |
| Edge of Town | 1 |

This data displays the number of surveys per main location category within the selected set. The main location categories consist of Free Standing, Edge of Town, Suburban Area, Neighbourhood Centre, Edge of Town Centre, Town Centre and Not Known.

Selected Location Sub Categories:

This data displays the number of surveys per location sub-category within the selected set. The location sub-categories consist of Commercial Zone, Industrial Zone, Development Zone, Residential Zone, Retail Zone, Built-Up Zone, Village, Out of Town, High Street and No Sub Category.

## Filtering Stage $\mathbf{3}$ selection:

Use Class:

| Not Known | 1 days |
| :--- | :--- |
| A1 | 8 days |

This data displays the number of surveys per Use Class classification within the selected set. The Use Classes Order 2005 has been used for this purpose, which can be found within the Library module of TRICS®.

Population within 1 mile:

| 5,001 to 10,000 | 2 days |
| :--- | :--- |
| 10,001 to 15,000 | 2 days |
| 15,001 to 20,000 | 1 days |
| 25,001 to 50,000 | 4 days |

This data displays the number of selected surveys within stated 1-mile radii of population.
Population within 5 miles:

| 5,001 to 25,000 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| 25,001 to 50,000 |  |
| 50,001 days |  |
| 100,001 to 125,000 | 1 days |
| 125,001 to 250,000 | 1 days |
| 250,001 to 500,000 | 1 days |
| 500,001 or More | 1 days |
|  | 2 days |

This data displays the number of selected surveys within stated 5 -mile radii of population.
Car ownership within 5 miles:

| 0.6 to 1.0 | 2 days |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1.1 to 1.5 | 7 days |

This data displays the number of selected surveys within stated ranges of average cars owned per residential dwelling, within a radius of 5-miles of selected survey sites.

Petrol filling station:
Included in the survey count 0 days
Excluded from count or no filling station 9 days

This data displays the number of surveys within the selected set that include petrol filling station activity, and the number of surveys that do not.

Travel Plan:

| Yes 1 days |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| No | 8 days |

This data displays the number of surveys within the selected set that were undertaken at sites with Travel Plans in place, and the number of surveys that were undertaken at sites without Travel Plans.
BRANKSOME
BOURNEMOUTH
Suburban Area (PPS6 Out of Centre)
Commercial Zone
Total Gross floor area: 1334 sqm
Survey date: TUESDAY 15/07/08
2 GW-01-C-01 LIDL
HIGH STREET
BANGOR
Edge of Town Centre
No Sub Category
Total Gross floor area: 1310 sqm
Survey date: FRIDAY 10/07/09
3 KC-01-C-02 ALDI
WELL ROAD
MAIDSTONE
Edge of Town Centre
Built-Up Zone
Total Gross floor area: 1407 sqm
Survey date: TUESDAY 27/11/12
4 MS-01-C-02 ALDI
SMITHDOWN ROAD
WAVERTREE
LIVERPOOL
Neighbourhood Centre (PPS6 Local Centre)
Residential Zone
Total Gross floor area: 1200 sqm
Survey date: MONDAY 18/06/07
5 MS-01-C-03 ALDI
LAUREL ROAD
ELM PARK
LIVERPOOL
Suburban Area (PPS6 Out of Centre)
Residential Zone
Total Gross floor area: 1165 sqm
Survey date: WEDNESDAY 20/06/07
6 NR-01-C-01 ALDI
DALTON ROAD
CORBY
Edge of Town
Industrial Zone
Total Gross floor area: 1345 sqm
Survey date: WEDNESDAY 19/11/08
7 NY-01-C-02 LIDL
STATION ROAD
THIRSK
Edge of Town Centre
No Sub Category
Total Gross floor area:
Survey date: TUESDAY
1527 sqm
11/10/11

| 8 | PS-01-C-01 ALDI RICH WAY |  | POWYS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BRECON |  |  |
|  | Edge of Town Centre |  |  |
|  | No Sub Category |  |  |
|  | Total Gross floor area: | 1150 sqm |  |
|  | Survey date: MONDAY | 15/09/08 | Survey Type: MANUAL |
| 9 | SH-01-C-01 LIDL |  | SHROPSHIRE |
|  | CASTLE STREET |  |  |
|  | HADLEY |  |  |
|  | TELFORD |  |  |
|  | Suburban Area (PPS6 Out of Centre) |  |  |
|  | No Sub Category |  |  |
|  | Total Gross floor area: | 1900 sqm |  |
|  | Survey date: TUESDAY | 16/06/09 | Survey Type: MANUAL |

This section provides a list of all survey sites and days in the selected set. For each individual survey site, it displays a unique site reference code and site address, the selected trip rate calculation parameter and its value, the day of the week and date of each survey, and whether the survey was a manual classified count or an ATC count.

TRIP RATE for Land Use 01 - RETAIL/C - DISCOUNT FOOD STORES
VEHICLES
Calculation factor: $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ sqm
BOLD print indicates peak (busiest) period

| Time Range | ARRIVALS |  |  | DEPARTURES |  |  | TOTALS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. Days | Ave. GFA | Trip Rate | No. Days | Ave. GFA | Trip Rate | No. Days | Ave. GFA | Trip Rate |
| 00:00-01:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01:00-02:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 02:00-03:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03:00-04:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:00-05:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:00-06:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06:00-07:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:00-08:00 | 5 | 1430 | 0.294 | 5 | 1430 | 0.084 | 5 | 1430 | 0.378 |
| 08:00-09:00 | 9 | 1371 | 1.062 | 9 | 1371 | 0.616 | 9 | 1371 | 1.678 |
| 09:00-10:00 | 9 | 1371 | 2.586 | 9 | 1371 | 1.986 | 9 | 1371 | 4.572 |
| 10:00-11:00 | 9 | 1371 | 3.720 | 9 | 1371 | 3.218 | 9 | 1371 | 6.938 |
| 11:00-12:00 | 9 | 1371 | 4.036 | 9 | 1371 | 3.769 | 9 | 1371 | 7.805 |
| 12:00-13:00 | 9 | 1371 | 3.858 | 9 | 1371 | 4.125 | 9 | 1371 | 7.983 |
| 13:00-14:00 | 9 | 1371 | 3.453 | 9 | 1371 | 3.599 | 9 | 1371 | 7.052 |
| 14:00-15:00 | 9 | 1371 | 4.036 | 9 | 1371 | 3.736 | 9 | 1371 | 7.772 |
| 15:00-16:00 | 9 | 1371 | 3.801 | 9 | 1371 | 3.842 | 9 | 1371 | 7.643 |
| 16:00-17:00 | 9 | 1371 | 3.566 | 9 | 1371 | 3.672 | 9 | 1371 | 7.238 |
| 17:00-18:00 | 9 | 1371 | 3.169 | 9 | 1371 | 3.720 | 9 | 1371 | 6.889 |
| 18:00-19:00 | 9 | 1371 | 2.594 | 9 | 1371 | 2.942 | 9 | 1371 | 5.536 |
| 19:00-20:00 | 9 | 1371 | 1.094 | 9 | 1371 | 1.653 | 9 | 1371 | 2.747 |
| 20:00-21:00 | 4 | 1395 | 0.484 | 4 | 1395 | 0.735 | 4 | 1395 | 1.219 |
| 21:00-22:00 | 1 | 1407 | 0.142 | 1 | 1407 | 0.498 | 1 | 1407 | 0.640 |
| 22:00-23:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23:00-24:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Rates: |  |  | 37.895 |  |  | 38.195 |  |  | 76.090 |

This section displays the trip rate results based on the selected set of surveys and the selected count type (shown just above the table). It is split by three main columns, representing arrivals trips, departures trips, and total trips (arrivals plus departures). Within each of these main columns are three sub-columns. These display the number of survey days where count data is included (per time period), the average value of the selected trip rate calculation parameter (per time period), and the trip rate result (per time period). Total trip rates (the sum of the column) are also displayed at the foot of the table.

To obtain a trip rate, the average (mean) trip rate parameter value (TRP) is first calculated for all selected survey days that have count data available for the stated time period. The average (mean) number of arrivals, departures or totals (whichever applies) is also calculated (COUNT) for all selected survey days that have count data available for the stated time period. Then, the average count is divided by the average trip rate parameter value, and multiplied by the stated calculation factor (shown just above the table and abbreviated here as FACT). So, the method is: COUNT/TRP*FACT. Trip rates are then rounded to 3 decimal places.

## Parameter summary

Trip rate parameter range selected:
Survey date date range:
Number of weekdays (Monday-Friday):
Number of Saturdays:
Number of Sundays:
Surveys manually removed from selection:

```
1150-1900 (units: sqm)
01/01/07-27/11/12
9
0
0
0
```

This section displays a quick summary of some of the data filtering selections made by the TRICS ${ }^{\circledR}$ user. The trip rate calculation parameter range of all selected surveys is displayed first, followed by the range of minimum and maximum survey dates selected by the user. Then, the total number of selected weekdays and weekend days in the selected set of surveys are show. Finally, the number of survey days that have been manually removed from the selected set outside of the standard filtering procedure are displayed.

```
Land Use : 01-RETAIL
Category : C-DISCOUNT FOOD STORES
```


## VEHICLES

## Selected regions and areas:

## 03 SOUTH WEST

BR BRISTOL CITY 1 days
06 WEST MI DLANDS
HE HEREFORDSHIRE 1 days
09 NORTH
CB CUMBRIA 1 days
10 WALES
SW SWANSEA 1 days
This section displays the number of survey days per TRICS ${ }^{\circledR}$ sub-region in the selected set

## Filtering Stage $\mathbf{2}$ selection:

This data displays the chosen trip rate parameter and its selected range. Only sites that fall within the parameter range are included in the trip rate calculation.
Parameter: Gross floor area

Actual Range: $\quad 969$ to 1219 (units: sqm)
Range Selected by User: 865 to 1900 (units: sqm)

## Public Transport Provision:

Selection by: Include all surveys
Date Range: $\quad 01 / 01 / 02$ to $27 / 11 / 12$
This data displays the range of survey dates selected. Only surveys that were conducted within this date range are included in the trip rate calculation.

Selected survey days:
Saturday 4 days
This data displays the number of selected surveys by day of the week.
Selected survey types:

| Manual count | 4 days |
| :--- | :--- |
| Directional ATC Count | 0 days |

This data displays the number of manual classified surveys and the number of unclassified ATC surveys, the total adding up to the overall number of surveys in the selected set. Manual surveys are undertaken using staff, whilst ATC surveys are undertaking using machines.

Selected Locations:
Edge of Town Centre 1
Suburban Area (PPS6 Out of Centre) 3
This data displays the number of surveys per main location category within the selected set. The main location categories consist of Free Standing, Edge of Town, Suburban Area, Neighbourhood Centre, Edge of Town Centre, Town Centre and Not Known.

Selected Location Sub Categories:

## Industrial Zone 1

Residential Zone 2
Built-Up Zone 1
This data displays the number of surveys per location sub-category within the selected set. The location sub-categories consist of Commercial Zone, Industrial Zone, Development Zone, Residential Zone, Retail Zone, Built-Up Zone, Village, Out of Town, High Street and No Sub Category.

## Use Class:

A1
This data displays the number of surveys per Use Class classification within the selected set. The Use Classes Order 2005 has been used for this purpose, which can be found within the Library module of TRICS ${ }^{\circledR}$.

Population within 1 mile:

| 10,001 to 15,000 | 1 days |
| :--- | :--- |
| 20,001 to 25,000 | 1 days |
| 25,001 to 50,000 | 2 days |

This data displays the number of selected surveys within stated 1-mile radii of population.

| Population within 5 miles: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| 50,001 to 75,000 | 1 days |
| 75,001 to 100,000 | 1 days |
| 125,001 to 250,000 | 1 days |
| 250,001 to 500,000 | 1 days |

This data displays the number of selected surveys within stated 5 -mile radii of population.
Car ownership within 5 miles:

| 0.6 to 1.0 | 3 days |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1.1 to 1.5 | 1 days |

1.1 to 1.5

1 days
This data displays the number of selected surveys within stated ranges of average cars owned per residential dwelling, within a radius of 5 -miles of selected survey sites.

Petrol filling station:
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Included in the survey count } & 0 \text { days } \\ \text { Excluded from count or no filling station } & 4 \text { days }\end{array}$
This data displays the number of surveys within the selected set that include petrol filling station activity, and the number of surveys that do not.

Travel Plan:
No 4 days
This data displays the number of surveys within the selected set that were undertaken at sites with Travel Plans in place, and the number of surveys that were undertaken at sites without Travel Plans.

| 1 | BR-01-C-01 LIDL |  | BRISTOL CITY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LAWRENCE HILL LAWRENCE HILL |  |  |
|  | BRISTOL |  |  |
|  | Suburban Area (PPS6 Out of Centre) |  |  |
|  | Industrial Zone |  |  |
|  | Total Gross floor area: | 1007 sqm |  |
|  | Survey date: SATURDAY | 17/05/03 | Survey Type: MANUAL |
| 2 | CB-01-C-01 ALDI |  | CUMBRIA |
|  | KINGSTOWN ROAD |  |  |
|  | KINGSTOWN |  |  |
|  | CARLISLE |  |  |
|  | Suburban Area (PPS6 Out of Centre) |  |  |
|  | Residential Zone |  |  |
|  | Total Gross floor area: | 1216 sqm |  |
|  | Survey date: SATURDAY | 07/09/02 | Survey Type: MANUAL |
| 3 | HE-01-C-01 ALDI |  | HEREFORDSHIRE |
|  | EIGN STREET |  |  |
|  | HEREFORD |  |  |
|  | Edge of Town Centre |  |  |
|  | Built-Up Zone |  |  |
|  | Total Gross floor area: | 1219 sqm |  |
|  | Survey date: SATURDAY | 04/03/06 | Survey Type: MANUAL |
| 4 | SW-01-C-01 LIDL |  | SWANSEA |
|  | PENTREGETHIN ROAD |  |  |
|  | PEN-LAN |  |  |
|  | SWANSEA |  |  |
|  | Suburban Area (PPS6 Out of Centre) |  |  |
|  | Residential Zone |  |  |
|  | Total Gross floor area: | 969 sqm |  |
|  | Survey date: SATURDAY | 14/09/02 | Survey Type: MANUAL |

This section provides a list of all survey sites and days in the selected set. For each individual survey site, it displays a unique site reference code and site address, the selected trip rate calculation parameter and its value, the day of the week and date of each survey, and whether the survey was a manual classified count or an ATC count.

MANUALLY DESELECTED SITES

| Site Ref |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| CP-01-C-01 | KWIK SAVE |
| NY-01-C-01 | NETTO |
| NY-01-C-01 | NETTO |

TRIP RATE for Land Use 01 - RETAIL/C - DISCOUNT FOOD STORES
VEHI CLES
Calculation factor: $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ sqm
BOLD print indicates peak (busiest) period

|  | ARRIVALS |  |  | DEPARTURES |  |  | TOTALS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time Range | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. } \\ & \text { Days } \end{aligned}$ | Ave. GFA | Trip Rate | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. } \\ & \text { Days } \end{aligned}$ | Ave. GFA | Trip Rate | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. } \\ & \text { Days } \end{aligned}$ | Ave. GFA | Trip Rate |
| 00:00-01:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01:00-02:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 02:00-03:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03:00-04:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:00-05:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:00-06:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06:00-07:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:00-08:00 | 3 | 1147 | 0.261 | 3 | 1147 | 0.116 | 3 | 1147 | 0.377 |
| 08:00-09:00 | 4 | 1103 | 1.791 | 4 | 1103 | 0.839 | 4 | 1103 | 2.630 |
| 09:00-10:00 | 4 | 1103 | 4.942 | 4 | 1103 | 4.171 | 4 | 1103 | 9.113 |
| 10:00-11:00 | 4 | 1103 | 6.416 | 4 | 1103 | 5.668 | 4 | 1103 | 12.084 |
| 11:00-12:00 | 4 | 1103 | 6.983 | 4 | 1103 | 6.779 | 4 | 1103 | 13.762 |
| 12:00-13:00 | 4 | 1103 | 6.801 | 4 | 1103 | 6.642 | 4 | 1103 | 13.443 |
| 13:00-14:00 | 4 | 1103 | 6.642 | 4 | 1103 | 6.983 | 4 | 1103 | 13.625 |
| 14:00-15:00 | 4 | 1103 | 6.824 | 4 | 1103 | 6.506 | 4 | 1103 | 13.330 |
| 15:00-16:00 | 4 | 1103 | 5.622 | 4 | 1103 | 6.348 | 4 | 1103 | 11.970 |
| 16:00-17:00 | 4 | 1103 | 4.829 | 4 | 1103 | 5.214 | 4 | 1103 | 10.043 |
| 17:00-18:00 | 4 | 1103 | 3.174 | 4 | 1103 | 4.353 | 4 | 1103 | 7.527 |
| 18:00-19:00 | 4 | 1103 | 0.998 | 4 | 1103 | 1.474 | 4 | 1103 | 2.472 |
| 19:00-20:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20:00-21:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21:00-22:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22:00-23:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23:00-24:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Rates: |  |  | 55.283 |  |  | 55.093 |  |  | 110.376 |

This section displays the trip rate results based on the selected set of surveys and the selected count type (shown just above the table). It is split by three main columns, representing arrivals trips, departures trips, and total trips (arrivals plus departures). Within each of these main columns are three sub-columns. These display the number of survey days where count data is included (per time period), the average value of the selected trip rate calculation parameter (per time period), and the trip rate result (per time period). Total trip rates (the sum of the column) are also displayed at the foot of the table.

To obtain a trip rate, the average (mean) trip rate parameter value (TRP) is first calculated for all selected survey days that have count data available for the stated time period. The average (mean) number of arrivals, departures or totals (whichever applies) is also calculated (COUNT) for all selected survey days that have count data available for the stated time period. Then, the average count is divided by the average trip rate parameter value, and multiplied by the stated calculation factor (shown just above the table and abbreviated here as FACT). So, the method is: COUNT/TRP*FACT. Trip rates are then rounded to 3 decimal places.

## Parameter summary

Trip rate parameter range selected:
Survey date date range:
Number of weekdays (Monday-Friday):
Number of Saturdays:
Number of Sundays:
Surveys manually removed from selection:

```
969-1219 (units: sqm)
01/01/02-27/11/12
0
4
0
2
```

This section displays a quick summary of some of the data filtering selections made by the TRICS ${ }^{\circledR}$ user. The trip rate calculation parameter range of all selected surveys is displayed first, followed by the range of minimum and maximum survey dates selected by the user. Then, the total number of selected weekdays and weekend days in the selected set of surveys are show. Finally, the number of survey days that have been manually removed from the selected set outside of the standard filtering procedure are displayed.

```
Land Use : 02-EMPLOYMENT
Category : D - INDUSTRIAL ESTATE
VEHI CLES
```

Selected regions and areas:
02 SOUTH EAST
ES EAST SUSSEX 2 days

EX ESSEX 1 days
KC KENT 1 days
WG WOKINGHAM 1 days
03 SOUTH WEST
BR BRISTOL CITY 2 days
CW CORNWALL 2 days
DC DORSET 1 days
DV DEVON 1 days
04 EAST ANGLIA
CA CAMBRIDGESHIRE 4 days
NF NORFOLK 1 days
SF SUFFOLK 1 days
05 EAST MI DLANDS
LN LINCOLNSHIRE 1 days
NR NORTHAMPTONSHIRE 1 days
06 WEST MI DLANDS
HE HEREFORDSHIRE 1 days
WM WEST MIDLANDS 1 days
WO WORCESTERSHIRE 1 days
07 YORKSHI RE \& NORTH LI NCOLNSHI RE
WY WEST YORKSHIRE 1 days
08 NORTH WEST
CH CHESHIRE 1 days
MS MERSEYSIDE 1 days
09 NORTH
CB CUMBRIA
NB NORTHUMBERLAND 1 days
TW TYNE \& WEAR 1 days
11 SCOTLAND
AG ANGUS 1 days
FA FALKIRK 1 days
FI FIFE 1 days
HI HIGHLAND 1 days
This section displays the number of survey days per TRICS ${ }^{\circledR}$ sub-region in the selected set

## Filtering Stage $\mathbf{2}$ selection:

This data displays the chosen trip rate parameter and its selected range. Only sites that fall within the parameter range are included in the trip rate calculation.

| Parameter: | Gross floor area |
| :--- | :--- |
| Actual Range: | 1775 to 102000 (units: sqm) |
| Range Selected by User: | 552 to 234115 (units: sqm) |

Public Transport Provision:
Selection by: Include all surveys
Date Range: $\quad 01 / 01 / 07$ to $21 / 05 / 15$
This data displays the range of survey dates selected. Only surveys that were conducted within this date range are included in the trip rate calculation.

| Selected survey days: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Monday | 6 days |
| Tuesday | 7 days |
| Wednesday | 3 days |
| Thursday | 7 days |
| Friday | 9 days |

This data displays the number of selected surveys by day of the week.
Selected survey types:

| Manual count | 32 days |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directional ATC Count | 0 days |

This data displays the number of manual classified surveys and the number of unclassified ATC surveys, the total adding up to the overall number of surveys in the selected set. Manual surveys are undertaken using staff, whilst ATC surveys are undertaking using machines.

## Selected Locations:

Suburban Area (PPS6 Out of Centre) 11
Edge of Town 16
Neighbourhood Centre (PPS6 Local Centre) 3
Free Standing (PPS6 Out of Town) 2
This data displays the number of surveys per main location category within the selected set. The main location categories consist of Free Standing, Edge of Town, Suburban Area, Neighbourhood Centre, Edge of Town Centre, Town Centre and Not Known.

## Selected Location Sub Categories:

Industrial Zone 14

Commercial Zone 1
Residential Zone 8
Built-Up Zone 1
Village 2
Out of Town 2
No Sub Category 4
This data displays the number of surveys per location sub-category within the selected set. The location sub-categories consist of Commercial Zone, Industrial Zone, Development Zone, Residential Zone, Retail Zone, Built-Up Zone, Village, Out of Town, High Street and No Sub Category.

## Filtering Stage $\mathbf{3}$ selection:

## Use Class:

| B1 | 10 days |
| :--- | ---: |
| B2 | 17 days |
| B8 | 2 days |

This data displays the number of surveys per Use Class classification within the selected set. The Use Classes Order 2005 has been used for this purpose, which can be found within the Library module of TRICS®.

## Filtering Stage $\mathbf{3}$ selection (Cont.):

Population within 1 mile:

| 1,000 or Less | 4 days |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1,001 to 5,000 | 2 days |
| 5,001 to 10,000 | 5 days |
| 10,001 to 15,000 | 2 days |
| 15,001 to 20,000 | 5 days |
| 20,001 to 25,000 | 4 days |
| 25,001 to 50,000 | 9 days |
| 50,001 to 100,000 | 1 days |

This data displays the number of selected surveys within stated 1-mile radii of population.
Population within 5 miles:
5,001 to $25,000 \quad 3$ days

25,001 to $50,000 \quad 4$ days
50,001 to 75,000 3 days
75,001 to 100,000 2 days
100,001 to 125,000
2 days
125,001 to 250,000
10 days
250,001 to 500,000
5 days
500,001 or More
3 days
This data displays the number of selected surveys within stated 5 -mile radii of population.
Car ownership within 5 miles:

| 0.5 or Less | 1 days |
| :--- | ---: |
| 0.6 to 1.0 | 12 days |
| 1.1 to 1.5 | 17 days |
| 1.6 to 2.0 | 2 days |

This data displays the number of selected surveys within stated ranges of average cars owned per residential dwelling, within a radius of 5 -miles of selected survey sites.

Travel Plan:
No
32 days
This data displays the number of surveys within the selected set that were undertaken at sites with Travel Plans in place, and the number of surveys that were undertaken at sites without Travel Plans.

1 AG-02-D-01 INDUSTRIAL EST
WESTWAY
ARBROATH
Edge of Town
Residential Zone
Total Gross floor area: 64889 sqm
Survey date: FRIDAY 25/05/12
2 BR-02-D-04 I NDUSTRI AL ESTATE
CROFTS END ROAD
SPEEDWELL
BRISTOL
Suburban Area (PPS6 Out of Centre)
Industrial Zone
Total Gross floor area: 18018 sqm
Survey date: FRIDAY 29/11/13
3 BR-02-D-05 I NDUSTRI AL ESTATE
NOVERS HILL
BEDMINSTER
BRISTOL
Suburban Area (PPS6 Out of Centre)
Industrial Zone
Total Gross floor area: 18128 sqm
Survey date: FRIDAY 29/11/13
4 CA-02-D-01 I ND. ESTATE
STURROCK WAY
BRETTON
PETERBOROUGH
Suburban Area (PPS6 Out of Centre)
Industrial Zone
Total Gross floor area: 4300 sqm
Survey date: TUESDAY 13/05/08
5 CA-02-D-02 I ND. ESTATE
COLDHAM'S ROAD
COLDHAM'S COMMON
CAMBRIDGE
Edge of Town
Industrial Zone
Total Gross floor area: 2063 sqm
Survey date: MONDAY 19/10/09
6 CA-02-D-03 I ND. ESTATE
SAVILLE ROAD
WESTWOOD
PETERBOROUGH
Suburban Area (PPS6 Out of Centre)
No Sub Category
Total Gross floor area: 4425 sqm
Survey date: THURSDAY 22/10/09
7 CA-02-D-04 I NDUSTRIAL ESTATE
LINCOLN ROAD
PETERBOROUGH
Suburban Area (PPS6 Out of Centre)
No Sub Category
Total Gross floor area: 4133 sqm Survey date: TUESDAY 02/12/14

ANGUS

Survey Type: MANUAL BRISTOL CITY

Survey Type: MANUAL

## BRISTOL CITY

Survey Type: MANUAL

## CAMBRI DGESHIRE

Survey Type: MANUAL

## CAMBRI DGESHIRE

Survey Type: MANUAL

Survey Type: MANUAL

## CAMBRIDGESHIRE

Survey Type: MANUAL


15 ES-02-D-07 INDUSTRI AL ESTATE
HUGHES ROAD

## BRIGHTON

Suburban Area (PPS6 Out of Centre)
Industrial Zone
Total Gross floor area: 6625 sqm
Survey date: THURSDAY 16/10/14
16 EX-02-D-01 I NDUSTRI AL ESTATE
OAKWOOD HILL
LOUGHTON
Edge of Town
Industrial Zone
Total Gross floor area: 27687 sqm Survey date: THURSDAY 22/11/07
17 FA-02-D-02 INDUSTRI AL ESTATE
MAIN STREET
GRAHAMSTON
FALKIRK
Suburban Area (PPS6 Out of Centre)
Residential Zone
Total Gross floor area: 21250 sqm
Survey date: THURSDAY 30/05/13
18 FI-02-D-01 I NDUSTRI AL ESTATE
DICKSON STREET
DUNFERMLINE
Edge of Town
Residential Zone
Total Gross floor area: 7850 sqm
Survey date: THURSDAY 21/05/15
19 HE-02-D-02 BUSI NESS PARK
BURCOTT ROAD
HEREFORD
Suburban Area (PPS6 Out of Centre)
Industrial Zone
Total Gross floor area: 5214 sqm
Survey date: TUESDAY 22/10/13
$20 \mathrm{HI}-02-\mathrm{D}-03 \quad$ IND. ESTATE \& BUS. PARK
NORTH ROAD
INVERLOCHY
FORT WILLIAM
Edge of Town
No Sub Category
Total Gross floor area: 35000 sqm
Survey date: MONDAY 18/05/09
21 KC-02-D-02 INDUSTRI AL ESTATE
SOUTHWELL ROAD
DEAL
Edge of Town
Residential Zone
Total Gross floor area: 10715 sqm
Survey date: WEDNESDAY 28/11/12
22 LN-02-D-02 INDUSTRI AL ESTATE
STATION ROAD
SWINESHEAD
NEAR BOSTON
Neighbourhood Centre (PPS6 Local Centre)
Village
Total Gross floor area: 4600 sqm
Survey date: TUESDAY 11/12/12
Survey Type: MANUAL

## KENT

Survey Type: MANUAL

23 MS-02-D-06
I NDUSTRI AL EST.
BOALER STREET
LIVERPOOL
Neighbourhood Centre (PPS6 Local Centre)
Industrial Zone
Total Gross floor area: 4800 sqm
Survey date: THURSDAY 09/09/10
24 NB-02-D-02 I NDUSTRIAL ESTATE
OLDSTONE ROAD
EAST CRAMLINGTON
NEAR CRAMLINGTON
Free Standing (PPS6 Out of Town)
Out of Town
Total Gross floor area: 5500 sqm
Survey date: FRIDAY 16/11/12
25 NF-02-D-03 I NDUSTRI AL ESTATE
BIDEWELL CLOSE
NORWICH
Edge of Town
Residential Zone
Total Gross floor area: 6000 sqm
Survey date: MONDAY 08/10/12
26 NR-02-D-01 I NDUSTRI AL ESTATE
ROBINSON WAY
KETTERING
Edge of Town
Industrial Zone
Total Gross floor area: 12900 sqm
Survey date: THURSDAY 23/10/14
27 SF-02-D-02 INDUSTRIAL ESTATE
HADLEIGH ROAD
WESTBOURNE
IPSWICH
Suburban Area (PPS6 Out of Centre)
Built-Up Zone
Total Gross floor area: 102000 sqm
Survey date: TUESDAY 22/05/07
28 TW-02-D-07
SWALWELL BANK
WHICKHAM
GATESHEAD
Edge of Town
Residential Zone
Total Gross floor area: 6800 sqm
Survey date: FRIDAY 04/10/13
29 WG-02-D-01 INDUSTRIAL ESTATE
FISHPONDS ROAD

## WOKINGHAM

Suburban Area (PPS6 Out of Centre)
Industrial Zone
Total Gross floor area: 3800 sqm
Survey date: TUESDAY
20/11/12

MERSEYSI DE

Survey Type: MANUAL NORTHUMBERLAND

Survey Type: MANUAL NORFOLK

Survey Type: MANUAL NORTHAMPTONSHI RE

Survey Type: MANUAL

## SUFFOLK

Survey Type: MANUAL

Survey Type: MANUAL WOKI NGHAM

Survey Type: MANUAL

| 30 | WM-02-D-02 I 02 DUNLOP WAY | WEST MI DLANDS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BIRMINGHAM |  |
|  | Edge of Town |  |
|  | Residential Zone |  |
|  | Total Gross floor area: 23480 sqm |  |
|  | Survey date: WEDNESDAY 07/11/12 | Survey Type: MANUAL |
| 31 | WO-02-D-01 INDUSTRIAL ESTATE SANDY LANE | WORCESTERSHI RE |
|  | STOURPORT-ON-SEVERN |  |
|  | Edge of Town |  |
|  | Commercial Zone |  |
|  | Total Gross floor area: 2758 sqm |  |
|  | Survey date: FRIDAY 23/05/14 | Survey Type: MANUAL |
| 32 | WY-02-D-03 INDUSTRIAL ESTATE ARMLEY ROAD | WEST YORKSHI RE |
|  | LEEDS |  |
|  | Suburban Area (PPS6 Out of Centre) |  |
|  | Industrial Zone |  |
|  | Total Gross floor area: 24980 sqm |  |
|  | Survey date: FRIDAY 20/09/13 | Survey Type: MANUAL |

This section provides a list of all survey sites and days in the selected set. For each individual survey site, it displays a unique site reference code and site address, the selected trip rate calculation parameter and its value, the day of the week and date of each survey, and whether the survey was a manual classified count or an ATC count.

## TRIP RATE for Land Use 02 - EMPLOYMENT/D - INDUSTRIAL ESTATE

## VEHI CLES

Calculation factor: 100 sqm
BOLD print indicates peak (busiest) period

|  | ARRIVALS |  |  | DEPARTURES |  |  | TOTALS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time Range | No. Days | Ave. GFA | Trip Rate | No. Days | Ave. GFA | Trip Rate | No. Days | Ave. GFA | Trip Rate |
| 00:00-00:30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 00:30-01:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01:00-01:30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01:30-02:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 02:00-02:30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 02:30-03:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03:00-03:30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03:30-04:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:00-04:30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:30-05:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:00-05:30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:30-06:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06:00-06:30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06:30-07:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:00-07:30 | 32 | 18436 | 0.122 | 32 | 18436 | 0.039 | 32 | 18436 | 0.161 |
| 07:30-08:00 | 32 | 18436 | 0.240 | 32 | 18436 | 0.069 | 32 | 18436 | 0.309 |
| 08:00-08:30 | 32 | 18436 | 0.226 | 32 | 18436 | 0.105 | 32 | 18436 | 0.331 |
| 08:30-09:00 | 32 | 18436 | 0.212 | 32 | 18436 | 0.111 | 32 | 18436 | 0.323 |
| 09:00-09:30 | 32 | 18436 | 0.166 | 32 | 18436 | 0.121 | 32 | 18436 | 0.287 |
| 09:30-10:00 | 32 | 18436 | 0.142 | 32 | 18436 | 0.116 | 32 | 18436 | 0.258 |
| 10:00-10:30 | 32 | 18436 | 0.131 | 32 | 18436 | 0.127 | 32 | 18436 | 0.258 |
| 10:30-11:00 | 32 | 18436 | 0.123 | 32 | 18436 | 0.114 | 32 | 18436 | 0.237 |
| 11:00-11:30 | 32 | 18436 | 0.122 | 32 | 18436 | 0.122 | 32 | 18436 | 0.244 |
| 11:30-12:00 | 32 | 18436 | 0.127 | 32 | 18436 | 0.140 | 32 | 18436 | 0.267 |
| 12:00-12:30 | 32 | 18436 | 0.130 | 32 | 18436 | 0.142 | 32 | 18436 | 0.272 |
| 12:30-13:00 | 32 | 18436 | 0.138 | 32 | 18436 | 0.145 | 32 | 18436 | 0.283 |
| 13:00-13:30 | 32 | 18436 | 0.136 | 32 | 18436 | 0.155 | 32 | 18436 | 0.291 |
| 13:30-14:00 | 32 | 18436 | 0.148 | 32 | 18436 | 0.126 | 32 | 18436 | 0.274 |
| 14:00-14:30 | 32 | 18436 | 0.129 | 32 | 18436 | 0.126 | 32 | 18436 | 0.255 |
| 14:30-15:00 | 32 | 18436 | 0.119 | 32 | 18436 | 0.123 | 32 | 18436 | 0.242 |
| 15:00-15:30 | 32 | 18436 | 0.119 | 32 | 18436 | 0.141 | 32 | 18436 | 0.260 |
| 15:30-16:00 | 32 | 18436 | 0.106 | 32 | 18436 | 0.147 | 32 | 18436 | 0.253 |
| 16:00-16:30 | 32 | 18436 | 0.113 | 32 | 18436 | 0.181 | 32 | 18436 | 0.294 |
| 16:30-17:00 | 32 | 18436 | 0.117 | 32 | 18436 | 0.198 | 32 | 18436 | 0.315 |
| 17:00-17:30 | 32 | 18436 | 0.062 | 32 | 18436 | 0.236 | 32 | 18436 | 0.298 |
| 17:30-18:00 | 32 | 18436 | 0.042 | 32 | 18436 | 0.154 | 32 | 18436 | 0.196 |
| 18:00-18:30 | 32 | 18436 | 0.035 | 32 | 18436 | 0.084 | 32 | 18436 | 0.119 |
| 18:30-19:00 | 32 | 18436 | 0.027 | 32 | 18436 | 0.052 | 32 | 18436 | 0.079 |
| 19:00-19:30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19:30-20:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20:00-20:30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20:30-21:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21:00-21:30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21:30-22:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22:00-22:30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22:30-23:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23:00-23:30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23:30-24:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Rates: |  |  | 3.032 |  |  | 3.074 |  |  | 6.106 |

This section displays the trip rate results based on the selected set of surveys and the selected count type (shown just above the table). It is split by three main columns, representing arrivals trips, departures trips, and total trips (arrivals plus departures). Within each of these main columns are three sub-columns. These display the number of survey days where count data is included (per time period), the average value of the selected trip rate calculation parameter (per time period), and the trip rate result (per time period). Total trip rates (the sum of the column) are also displayed at the foot of the table.

To obtain a trip rate, the average (mean) trip rate parameter value (TRP) is first calculated for all selected survey days that have count data available for the stated time period. The average (mean) number of arrivals, departures or totals (whichever applies) is also calculated (COUNT) for all selected survey days that have count data available for the stated time period. Then, the average count is divided by the average trip rate parameter value, and multiplied by the stated calculation factor (shown just above the table and abbreviated here as FACT). So, the method is: COUNT/TRP*FACT. Trip rates are then rounded to 3 decimal places.

## Parameter summary

Trip rate parameter range selected:
Survey date date range:
Number of weekdays (Monday-Friday):
Number of Saturdays:
Number of Sundays:
Surveys manually removed from selection:

1775-102000 (units: sqm)
01/01/07-21/05/15
32
0
0
4

This section displays a quick summary of some of the data filtering selections made by the TRICS ${ }^{\circledR}$ user. The trip rate calculation parameter range of all selected surveys is displayed first, followed by the range of minimum and maximum survey dates selected by the user. Then, the total number of selected weekdays and weekend days in the selected set of surveys are show. Finally, the number of survey days that have been manually removed from the selected set outside of the standard filtering procedure are displayed.

## C. $\triangle$ <br> CAMERON ROSE

## APPENDIX G

A525 LON GWERNYDD/ SITE ACCESS - MODEL OUTPUTS

## Junctions 8

PICADY 8 - Priority Intersection Module
Version: 8.0.2.316 [14 Feb 2013 ]
O Copyright TRL Limited
For sales and distribution information, program advice and maintenance, contact TRL
$\begin{aligned} & \text { For sales and distribution information, program advice and maintenance, contact TRL: } \\ & \text { Tel: }+44(0) 1344770758 \text { E-mail: software@trl.co.uk }\end{aligned}$ Web: hatp://www.trisoftware.co.uk on of an engineering problem
correctness of the solution
Filename: Ruthin N Link Rd_Site Access.arc8
Path: D:ICameron Rose Associates\Projects 1324 _Ruthin N Link Rd, Ruthin\ANALYSISIPICADY
Report generation date: $31 / 01 / 201909: 36: 38$

## File summary

File Description

| Title | Ruthin $N$ Link Road/ Site Access |
| :--- | :---: |
| Location | Ruthin |
| Site Number |  |
| Date | $03 / 03 / 2016$ |
| Version |  |
| Status |  |
| Identifier |  |
| Client | Aldi Food Stores |
| Jobnumber | 324 |
| Enumerator | Cameron Rose Associates |
| Description |  |


| Analysis Options |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vehicle Length (m) | Do Queue Variations | Calculate Residual Capacity |  | Residual Capacity Criteria Type |  | $\underset{\substack{\text { RFC } \\ \text { Threshold }}}{\text { and }}$ | Average Delay Threshold (s) | Queue Threshold (PCU) |
| 5.75 |  |  |  | N/A |  | 0.85 | 36.00 | 20.00 |
| Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Distance Units | Speed Units | Traffic Units Input | Traffic Units Results |  | Flow Units | Average Delay Units | Total Delay Units | Rate Of Delay Units |
| m | kph | PCU |  |  | perHour | s | -Min | perMin |

## - 2024 Base plus Development, AM

Data Errors and Warnings
No errors or warnings
Analysis Set Details

| Name | Description | Locked | Network Flow Scaling Factor (\%) | Reason For Scaling Factors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 100.000 |  |

Demand Set Details

| Name | Scenario <br> Name | Time <br> Period <br> Name | Description | Traffic <br> Profile <br> Type | Model <br> Start Time <br> (HH:mm) | Model <br> Finish <br> Time <br> $(H H: m m)$ | Model <br> Time <br> Period <br> Length <br> (min) | Time <br> Segment <br> Length <br> $($ min $)$ | Single <br> Time <br> Segment <br> Only | Locked |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

024 Base plus

## Junction Network

Junctions

| Name | Junction Type | Major Road Direction | Arm Order | Junction Delay (s) | Junction Los |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (untitled) | T-Junction | Two-way | A,B,C | 7.83 | A |

## Junction Network Options

| Driving Side | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown |

## Arms

Arms

| Arm | Name | Description | Arm Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | Ruthin $N$ Link Road (n) |  | Major |
| B | Site Access |  | Minor |
| C | Ruthin $N$ Link Road (s) |  | Major |


| Major Arm Geometry |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arm | Width of carriageway (m) | Has kerbed central reserve | Width of kerbed central reserve (m) | Has right turn bay | Width For Right Turn <br> (m) | Visibility For Right Turn (m) | Blocks? | Blocking Queue (PCU) |
| c | 10.00 |  | 0.00 | $\checkmark$ | 3.00 | 70.00 | $\checkmark$ | 2.00 |

Minor Arm Geometry

| Arm | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Minor } \\ & \text { Arm } \\ & \text { Type } \end{aligned}$ | Lane Width (m) | Lane Width (Left) (m) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Lane } \\ \text { Width } \\ \text { (Right) } \\ (\mathrm{m}) \end{gathered}$ | Width at giveway (m) | Width at 5 m (m) | Width at 10 m (m) | Width <br> at 15 m <br> (m) | Width at 20 m <br> (m) | Estimate Flare Length | Flare Length (PCU) | Visibility To Left (m) | Visibility To Right (m) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B | One lane | 3.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 70 | 70 |

Pedestrian Crossings

| Arm | Crossing Type |
| :---: | :---: |
| A | None |
| B | None |
| C | None |

Slope / Intercept / Capacity
Priority Intersection Slopes and Intercepts

| Junction | Stream | Intercept <br> (PCU/hr) $)$ | Sope <br> for <br> A-B | Slope <br> for <br> f-C | Sope <br> for <br> C-A | Slope <br> for <br> C-B |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | B-A | 535.214 | 0.081 | 0.204 | 0.128 | 0.291 |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | B-C | 668.004 | 0.085 | 0.214 | - | - |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | C-B | 668.004 | 0.214 | 0.214 | - | - |
| The slopes and intercepts shown above do NOT include any corrections or adjustments. <br> Streams may be combined <br> in which case capacity will be adjusted. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Values are shown for the first time segment only; they may differ for subsequent time segments. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Traffic Flows
Demand Set Data Options

| Default <br> Vehicle <br> Mix | Vehicle <br> Mix <br> Varies <br> Over <br> Time | Vehicle <br> Mix <br> Varies <br> Over <br> Turn | Vehicle <br> Mix <br> Varies <br> Over <br> Entry | Vehicle Mix <br> Source | PCU <br> Factor <br> for a <br> HV <br> (PCU) | Default <br> Turraning <br> Proportions | Estimate <br> from <br> entry/exit <br> counts | Turning <br> Proportions <br> Vary Over <br> Time | Turning <br> Proportions <br> Vary Over <br> Turn |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | Turning <br> Proportions <br> Vary Over <br> Entry |  |  |  |  |  |

## Entry Flows

## General Flows Data

| Arm | Profile Type | Use Turning Counts | Average Demand Flow (PCU/hr) | Flow Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 705.00 | 100.000 |
| B | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 13.00 | 100.000 |
| C | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 710.66 | 100.000 |

## Turning Proportions

Turning Counts or Proportions (PCU/hr) - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | A | B | C |
|  | A | 0.000 | 3.000 | 702.000 |
|  | B | 2.000 | 0.000 | 11.000 |
|  | C | 691.432 | 19.229 | 0.000 |

Turning Proportions (PCU) - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | B | C |
|  | A | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
|  | B | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.85 |
|  | C | 0.97 | 0.03 | 0.00 |

## Vehicle Mix

Average PCU Per Vehicle - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | B | C |
|  | A | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
|  | B | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
|  | C | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |

Heavy Vehicle Percentage

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| To |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | A | B | C |
|  | A | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
|  | B | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
|  | C | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |

Results

## Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Stream | Max RFC | Max Delay (s) | Max Queue (PCU) | Max LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 0.03 | 8.35 | 0.03 | A |
| C-AB | 0.04 | 7.47 | 0.04 | A |
| C-A | - | - | - | - |
| A-B | - | - | - | - |
| A-C | - | - | - | - |

## Main Results for each time segment

| Stream | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Entry Flow (PCU/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | End Queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 9.79 | 9.71 | 0.00 | 511.12 | 0.019 | 0.02 | 7.179 | A |
| C-AB | 14.49 | 14.38 | 0.00 | 554.78 | 0.026 | 0.03 | 6.659 | A |
| C-A | 520.54 | 520.54 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| A-B | 2.26 | 2.26 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - |  |
| A-C | 528.50 | 528.50 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | . |

Main results: (08:00-08:15)

| Stream | Total Demand <br> (PCU/hr) | Entry Flow <br> $(\mathbf{P C U} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $($ Ped/hr) | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{P C U} / \mathrm{hr})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $(\mathbf{P C U})$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ | LOS <br> B-AC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11.69 | 11.67 | 0.00 | 484.06 | 0.024 | 0.02 | 7.620 | A |  |
| C-AB | 17.31 | 17.28 | 0.00 | 532.98 | 0.032 | 0.03 | 6.980 | A |
| C-A | 621.56 | 621.56 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| A-B | 2.70 | 2.70 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| A-C | 631.08 | 631.08 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |

Main results: (08:15-08:30)

| Stream | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{P C U} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Entry Flow <br> $(\mathbf{P C U} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $($ Ped $/ \mathrm{hr})$ | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{P C U} / \mathrm{hr})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $(\mathbf{P C U})$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 14.31 | 14.28 | 0.00 | 445.35 | 0.032 | 0.03 | 8.351 | A |
| C-AB | 21.23 | 21.19 | 0.00 | 503.04 | 0.042 | 0.04 | 7.470 | A |
| C-A | 761.22 | 761.22 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| A-B | 3.30 | 3.30 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| A-C | 772.92 | 772.92 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |


| Main results: (08:30-08:45) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stream | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Entry Flow (PCU/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | End Queue (PCU) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Delay } \\ (\mathbf{s}) \end{gathered}$ | LOS |
| B-AC | 14.31 | 14.31 | 0.00 | 445.34 | 0.032 | 0.03 | 8.351 | A |
| C-AB | 21.23 | 21.23 | 0.00 | 503.04 | 0.042 | 0.04 | 7.470 | A |
| C-A | 761.22 | 761.22 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| A-B | 3.30 | 3.30 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| A-C | 772.92 | 772.92 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |

Main results: (08:45-09:00)

| Stream | Total Demand <br> $(\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Entry Flow <br> $(\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $(\mathrm{Ped} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Capacity <br> $(\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $(\mathrm{PCU})$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |


| B-AC | 11.69 | 11.72 | 0.00 | 484.06 | 0.024 | 0.02 | 7.621 | A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C-AB | 17.31 | 17.35 | 0.00 | 532.98 | 0.032 | 0.03 | 6.981 | A |
| C-A | 621.56 | 621.56 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| A-B | 2.70 | 2.70 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| A-C | 631.08 | 631.08 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |


| Main results: (09:00-09:15) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stream | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Entry Flow (PCU/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | End Queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | LOS |
| B-AC | 9.79 | 9.81 | 0.00 | 511.11 | 0.019 | 0.02 | 7.180 | A |
| C-AB | 14.49 | 14.51 | 0.00 | 554.78 | 0.026 | 0.03 | 6.662 | A |
| C-A | 520.54 | 520.54 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| A-B | 2.26 | 2.26 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| A-C | 528.50 | 528.50 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |

## - 2024 Base plus Development, PM

Data Errors and Warnings
No errors or warnings
Analysis Set Details

| Name | Description | Locked | Network Flow Scaling Factor (\%) | Reason For Scaling Factors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 100.000 |  |

Demand Set Details

| Name | Scenario <br> Name | Time <br> Period <br> Name | Description | Traffic <br> Profile <br> Type | Model <br> Start Time <br> (HH:mm) | Model <br> Finish <br> Time <br> (HH:mm) | Model <br> Time <br> Period <br> Length <br> (min) | Time <br> Segment <br> Length <br> (min) | Single <br> Time <br> Segment <br> Only | Locked |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2024 Base plus <br> Development, <br> PM | 2024 Base <br> plus <br> Development | PM |  | ONE <br> HOUR | $15: 30$ | $17: 00$ | 90 | 15 |  |  |

## Junction Network

Junctions

| Name | Junction Type | Major Road Direction | Arm Order | Junction Delay (s) | Junction Los |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (untitled) | T-Junction | Two-way | A,B,C | 8.44 | A |


| Junction Network Options |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Driving Side | Lighting |

AITS
Arms

| Arm | Name | Description | Arm Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | Ruthin N Link Road (n) |  | Major |
| B | Site Access |  | Minor |
| C | Ruthin N Link Road (s) |  | Major |


$\qquad$
$\qquad$ Width of kerbed turn bay

Minor Arm Geometry

| Arm | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Minor } \\ & \text { Arm } \\ & \text { Type } \end{aligned}$ | Lane Width (m) | Lane Width (Left) (m) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lane } \\ & \text { Width } \\ & \text { (Right) } \end{aligned}$ (m) | Width at giveway (m) | Width (m) | Width at 10 m (m) | Width (m) | Width at 20 m (m) | Estimate Flare Length | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Flare } \\ & \text { Length } \\ & \text { (PCUU) } \end{aligned}$ | Visibility To Left (m) | Visibility To Right (m) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B | One lane | 3.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 70 | 70 |

Pedestrian Crossings

| Arm | Crossing Type |
| :---: | :---: |
| A | None |
| B | None |
| C | None |

Slope / Intercept / Capacity
Priority Intersection Slopes and Intercepts
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Junction } \\ \text { Stream } \\ \hline \mathbf{1} \\ \hline \mathbf{B}\end{array} \begin{array}{l}\text { Intercept } \\ \text { (PCU/hr) }\end{array}\right)$
The slopes and intercepts shown above do NOT include any correct
Streams may be combined, in which case capacity will be adjusted.
Walues are shown for the first time segment only: they may differ for subsequent time segments.

## Traffic Flows

| Demand Set Data Options |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Default Vehicle Mix | Vehicle Mix Varies Over Time | Vehicle Mix Varies Over Turn | Vehicle Mix Varies Over Entry | Vehicle Mix Source | PCU Factor for a HV (PCU) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Default } \\ \text { Turning } \\ \text { Proportions } \end{gathered}$ |  | Turning Proportions Vary Over Time | Turning Proportions Vary Over Turn | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Turning } \\ & \text { Proportions } \\ & \text { Vary Over } \\ & \text { Entry } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { HV } \\ \text { Percentages } \end{gathered}$ | 2.00 |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |

## Entry Flows

## General Flows Data

| Arm | Profile Type | Use Turning Counts | Average Demand Flow (PCU/hr) | Flow Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 623.00 | 100.000 |
| B | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 71.00 | 100.000 |
| C | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 677.00 | 100.000 |

## Turning Proportions

Turning Counts or Proportions (PCU/hr) - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From | A | B | C |

C $616.000 \quad 61.000 \quad 0.000$
Turning Proportions (PCU) - Junction 1 (for whole period)

From | Fro | A | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.99 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | B | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.89 |
|  | C | 0.9 | 0.09 | 0.00 |

| C | 0.91 | 0.09 | 0.00 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Vehicle Mix

Average PCU Per Vehicle - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | B | C |
|  | A | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
|  | B | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
|  | C | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |

Heavy Vehicle Percentages - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | A | B | C |
|  | A | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
|  | B | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
|  | C | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |

## Results

| Results Summary for whole modelled period |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stream Max RFC Max Delay (s) Max Queue (PCU) Max Los <br> B-AC 0.16 8.98 0.19 A <br> C-AB 0.13 7.81 0.15 A <br> C-A - - - - <br> A-B - - - - <br> A-C - - - - |  |  |  |  |

## Main Results for each time segment

| Stream | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Entry Flow (PCU/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | $\begin{aligned} & \text { End Queue } \\ & \text { (PCU) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Delay <br> (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 53.45 | 53.01 | 0.00 | 535.48 | 0.100 | 0.11 | 7.455 | A |
| C-AB | 46.17 | 45.82 | 0.00 | 569.81 | 0.081 | 0.09 | 6.866 | A |
| C-A | 463.51 | 463.51 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| A-B | 5.27 | 5.27 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| A-C | 463.76 | 463.76 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |

Main results: (15:45-16:00)

| Stream | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{P C U} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Entry Flow <br> $(\mathbf{P C U} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $($ Ped/hr) | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{P C U} / \mathrm{hr})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $(\mathbf{P C U})$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 63.83 | 63.70 | 0.00 | 512.22 | 0.125 | 0.14 | 8.025 | A |
| C-AB | 55.39 | 55.30 | 0.00 | 552.11 | 0.100 | 0.11 | 7.246 | A |
| C-A | 553.22 | 553.22 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| A-B | 6.29 | 6.29 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| A-C | 553.77 | 553.77 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |


| Stream | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Entry Flow (PCU/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | End Queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 78.17 | 77.97 | 0.00 | 479.13 | 0.163 | 0.19 | 8.969 | A |
| C-AB | 68.61 | 68.46 | 0.00 | 529.31 | 0.130 | 0.15 | 7.809 | A |
| C-A | 676.78 | 676.78 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| A-B | 7.71 | 7.71 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| A-C | 678.23 | 678.23 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |

Main results: (16:15-16:30)

| Stream | Total Demand <br> (PCU/hr) | Entry Flow <br> (PCU/hr) | Pedestrian Demand <br> (Ped/hr) | Capacity <br> (PCU/hr) | RFC | End Queue <br> (PCU) | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 78.17 | 78.17 | 0.00 | 479.11 | 0.163 | 0.19 | 8.978 | A |
| C-AB | 68.61 | 68.61 | 0.00 | 529.31 | 0.130 | 0.15 | 7.814 | A |
| C-A | 676.78 | 676.78 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| A-B | 7.71 | 7.71 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| A-C | 678.23 | 678.23 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |

Main results: (16:30-16:45)

| Stream | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{P C U} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Entry Flow <br> $(\mathbf{P C U} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $($ Ped $/ \mathrm{hr})$ | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{P C U} / \mathrm{hr})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $(\mathbf{P C U})$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 63.83 | 64.03 | 0.00 | 512.20 | 0.125 | 0.14 | 8.037 | A |
| C-AB | 55.39 | 55.54 | 0.00 | 552.11 | 0.100 | 0.11 | 7.251 | A |
| C-A | 553.22 | 553.22 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| A-B | 6.29 | 6.29 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| A-C | 553.77 | 553.77 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |


| Stream | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Entry Flow (PCU/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | End Queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 53.45 | 53.58 | 0.00 | 535.44 | 0.100 | 0.11 | 7.472 | A |
| C-AB | 46.17 | 46.27 | 0.00 | 569.81 | 0.081 | 0.09 | 6.876 | A |
| C-A | 463.51 | 463.51 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| A-B | 5.27 | 5.27 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| A-C | 463.76 | 463.76 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |

## - 2024 Base plus Development, Saturday

Data Errors and Warnings
No errors or warnings

## Analysis Set Details

Name Description Locked Network Flow Scaling Factor (\%) Reason For Scaling Factors
Demand Set Details

| Name | Scenario <br> Name | Time <br> Period <br> Name | Description | Traffic <br> Protile <br> Type | Model <br> Start Time <br> (HH:mm) | Model <br> Finish <br> Time <br> $(H H: m m)$ | Model <br> Time <br> Peried <br> Length <br> (min) | Time <br> Segment <br> Length <br> (min) | Single <br> Time <br> Segment <br> Only | Locked |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2024 Base plus <br> Development, <br> Saturday | 2024 Base <br> plus <br> Development | Saturday |  | ONE | $11: 15$ | $12: 45$ | 90 | 15 |  |  |

## Junction Network

Junctions

| Name | Junction Type | Major Road Direction | Arm Order | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LoS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (untitled) | T-Junction | Two-way | A,B,C | 8.82 | A |

```
Junction Network Options
Driving Side Lighting
Left Normal/unknown
```


## Arms

Arms

| Arm | Name | Description | Arm Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | Ruthin N Link Road (n) |  | Major |
| B | Site Access |  | Minor |
| C | Ruthin N Link Road (s) |  | Major |

Major Arm Geometry

| Arm | Width of <br> carriageway $(\mathbf{m})$ | Has kerbed <br> central reserve | Width of kerbed <br> central reserve $(\mathbf{m})$ | Has right <br> turn bay | Width For <br> Right Turn <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | Visibility For <br> Right Turn $(\boldsymbol{m})$ | Blocks? | Blocking <br> Queue $($ PCU $)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 10.00 |  | 0.00 | $\checkmark$ | 3.00 | 70.00 | $\checkmark$ | 2.00 |


| Minor Arm Geometry |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arm | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Minor } \\ & \text { Arm } \\ & \text { Type } \end{aligned}$ | Lane (m) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lane } \\ & \text { Width } \\ & (\text { (Left) } \\ & \text { (m) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lane } \\ & \text { Width } \\ & \text { (Right) } \\ & (\mathrm{m}) \end{aligned}$ | Width at giveway (m) | Width at 5 m (m) | Width at 10 m (m) | Width at 15 m (m) | Width at 20 m (m) | Estimate Flare Length | Flare Length (PCU) | Visibility To Left (m) | Visibility To Right (m) |
| B | One lane | 3.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 70 | 70 |

## Pedestrian Crossings

| Arm | Crossing Type |
| :---: | :---: |
| A | None |
| B | None |
| C | None |

Slope / Intercept / Capacity
Priority Intersection Slopes and Intercepts

| Junction | Stream | Intercept <br> (PCU/hr) | Slope <br> for <br> A-B | Slope <br> for <br> A-C | Slope <br> for <br> C-A | Siope <br> (or <br> C-B |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | B-A | 535.214 | 0.081 | 0.204 | 0.128 | 0.291 |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | B-C | 668.004 | 0.085 | 0.214 | - | - |

The slopes and intercepts shown above do NOT include any corrections or adjustments. Values are shown for the first time segment only; they may differ for subsequent time segments.

## Traffic Flows

| Demand Set Data Options |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Default Vehicle Mix | Vehicle Mix Varies Over Time | Vehicle Mix Varies Over Turn | Vehicle Mix Varies Over Entry | Vehicle Mix Source | PCU Factor for a HV (PCU) | Default Turning Proportions |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Turning } \\ & \text { Proportions } \\ & \text { Vary Over } \\ & \text { Time } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Turning } \\ & \text { Proportions } \\ & \text { Vary Over } \\ & \text { Turn } \end{aligned}$ | $\qquad$ |
|  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HV} \\ \text { Percentages } \end{gathered}$ | 2.00 |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |

## Entry Flows

General Flows Data

| Arm | Profile Type | Use Turning Counts | Average Demand Flow (PCU/hr) | Flow Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 468.00 | 100.000 |
| B | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 127.00 | 100.000 |
| C | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 618.00 | 100.000 |

## Turning Proportions

Turning Counts or Proportions (PCU/hr) - Junction 1 (for whole period)

Turning Proportions (PCU) - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| To |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | A | B | C |
|  | A | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.97 |
|  | B | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.90 |
|  | C | 0.81 | 0.19 | 0.00 |

## Vehicle Mix

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | A | B | c |
|  | A | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
|  | B | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
|  | C | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |

Heavy Vehicle Percentages - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| From |  | A | B | C |

 c 0.0000 .0000 .000

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Stream | Max RFC | Max Delay (s) | Max Queue (PCU) | Max Los |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 0.27 | 9.45 | 0.36 | A |
| C-AB | 0.24 | 8.16 | 0.32 | A |
| C-A | - | - | - | - |
| A-B | - | - | - | - |
| A-C | - | - | - | - |

## Main Results for each time segment

Main results: (11:15-11:30)

| Stream | Total Demand <br> (PCU/hr) | Entry Flow <br> $($ PCU/hr) | Pedestrian Demand <br> $($ Ped/hr) | Capacity <br> $($ PCU/hr) | RFC | End Queue <br> $($ PCU $)$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 95.61 | 94.80 | 0.00 | 564.01 | 0.170 | 0.20 | 7.659 | A |
| C-AB | 90.10 | 89.40 | 0.00 | 598.33 | 0.151 | 0.18 | 7.066 | A |
| C-A | 375.16 | 375.16 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| A-B | 10.54 | 10.54 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| A-C | 341.80 | 341.80 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |


| Stream | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Entry Flow (PCU/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | End Queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 114.17 | 113.93 | 0.00 | 546.20 | 0.209 | 0.26 | 8.324 | A |
| C-AB | 108.86 | 108.65 | 0.00 | 588.25 | 0.185 | 0.23 | 7.502 | A |
| C-A | 446.71 | 446.71 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| A-B | 12.59 | 12.59 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| A-C | 408.14 | 408.14 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |


| Stream | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Entry Flow (PCU/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | $\begin{aligned} & \text { End Queue } \\ & \text { (PCU) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 139.83 | 139.43 | 0.00 | 520.95 | 0.268 | 0.36 | 9.425 | A |
| C-AB | 136.87 | 136.53 | 0.00 | 578.12 | 0.237 | 0.32 | 8.147 | A |
| C-A | 543.56 | 543.56 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| A-B | 15.41 | 15.41 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | . |
| A-C | 499.86 | 499.86 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |


| Stream | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Entry Flow (PCU/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | $\begin{aligned} & \text { End Queue } \\ & \text { (PCU) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 139.83 | 139.82 | 0.00 | 520.92 | 0.268 | 0.36 | 9.446 | A |
| C-AB | 136.87 | 136.87 | 0.00 | 578.12 | 0.237 | 0.32 | 8.159 | A |
| C-A | 543.56 | 543.56 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | . |

Main results: (11:15-11:30)

| Stream | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Entry Flow (PCU/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | End Queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 114.17 | 114.56 | 0.00 | 546.16 | 0.209 | 0.27 | 8.349 | A |
| C-AB | 108.86 | 109.20 | 0.00 | 588.25 | 0.185 | 0.23 | 7.522 | A |
| C-A | 446.71 | 446.71 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - |  |
| A-B | 12.59 | 12.59 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| A-C | 408.14 | 408.14 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |


| Stream | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Entry Flow (PCU/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | End Queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 95.61 | 95.86 | 0.00 | 563.96 | 0.170 | 0.21 | 7.694 | A |
| C-AB | 90.10 | 90.31 | 0.00 | 598.33 | 0.151 | 0.18 | 7.088 | A |
| C-A | 375.16 | 375.16 | 0.00 | - | . | . | - | - |
| A-B | 10.54 | 10.54 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| A-C | 341.80 | 341.80 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |

## $C \Delta$ <br> CAMERON ROSE

## APPENDIX H

A525 LON GWERNYDD/ RUTHIN NORTH LINK ROAD/ DENBIGH ROAD - MODEL OUTPUTS

## Junctions 8

## ARCADY 8 - Roundabout Module

ersion: 8.0.2.316 [14 Feb 2013] © Copyright TRL Limited, 2019
For sales and distribution information, program advice and maintenance, contact TRL

Tel: $+44(0) 1344770758$ E-mail: software@trl.co.uk Web: http://www.trisoftware.co.uk
The users of this computer program for the solution of an engineering problem are in no way relieved of their responsibility for the Correctness of the solution
Filename: A525 Lon Gwernydd_Ruthin North Link Road_Denbigh Road.arc8
Path: D:ICameron Rose Associates\Projects $\backslash 324$ _Ruthin N Link Rd, Ruthin\ANALYSIS\ARCADY
Report generation date: $31 / 01 / 201911: 17: 42$ Report generation date: 31/01/2019 11:17:42

## Summary of junction performance

|  | AM |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | RFC | LOS |
|  | A1 - 2016 Survey |  |  |  |
| Arm 1 | 0.59 | 3.01 | 0.37 | A |
| Arm 2 | 0.35 | 3.19 | 0.26 | A |
| Arm 3 | 0.39 | 3.41 | 0.28 | A |

Values shown are the maximum values over all time segments. Delay is the maximum value of average delay per arriving vehicle.


## Run using Junctions 802316 at 31012019 11:17:05

## File summary

| File Description |
| :--- |
| Title |
| A525 Lon Gwernydd/ Ruthin North Link Road/ Denbigh Road |
| Location |


| Analysis Options |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Vehicle } \\ & \text { Length }(m) \end{aligned}$ | Do Queue Variations | Calculate Residual Capacity | Residual Capacity Criteria Type | $\begin{gathered} \text { RFC } \\ \text { Threshold } \end{gathered}$ | Average Delay Threshold (s) | Queue Threshold (PCU) |
| 5.7 |  |  | N/A | 0.85 | 36.00 | 20.00 |


| Distance | Speed | Traffic Units | Traffic Units | Flow | Average Delay | Total Delay | Rate of Delay |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## (Default Analysis Set) - 2016 Survey, AM

Data Errors and Warnings
No errors or warnings
Analysis Set Details

| Name | Description | Locked | Network Flow Scaling Factor (\%) | Reason For Scaling Factors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | | (Default Analysis Set) |  |  | 100.000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Demand Set Details

| Name | Scenario | Time Period Name | Description | Traffic Profile Type | Model Start Time <br> (HH:mm) | Model Finish Time (HH:mm) | Model Time Period Length (min) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Time } \\ \text { Segment } \\ \text { Length (min) } \end{gathered}$ | Single Time Segment Only | Locked |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2016 <br> Survey, <br> AM | 2016 | AM |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ONE } \\ & \text { HOUR } \end{aligned}$ | 07:45 | 09:15 | 90 | 15 |  |  |

## Junction Network

Junctions

| Name | Junction Type | Arm Order | Grade Separated | Large Roundabout | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (untitled) | Roundabout | $1,2,3$ |  |  | 3.17 | A |

Junction Network Options
Driving Side Lighting
Left Normal/unknown

## Arms

| Arms |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Arm | Name | Description |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Lon Gwernydd |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Ruthin North Link Road |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Denbigh Road |  |

Roundabout Geometry

| Arm | V-Approach road <br> half-width $(\mathbf{m})$ | E-Entry <br> width $(\mathbf{m})$ | I' - Effective flare <br> length $(\mathbf{m})$ | R- Entry <br> radius $(\mathbf{m})$ | D- Inscribed circle <br> diameter $(\mathbf{m})$ | PHI - Conflict (entry) <br> angle $($ deg $)$ | Exit <br> Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 3.60 | 7.25 | 22.80 | 39.00 | 36.59 | 17.00 |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 3.60 | 6.99 | 16.00 | 18.00 | 37.35 | 31.00 |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 3.60 | 7.24 | 15.00 | 10.79 | 36.75 | 21.00 |  |

Pedestrian Crossings

| Arm | Crossing Type |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | None |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | None |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | None |


| 3 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Roundabout Slope and Intercept used in model

| Arm | Enter slope and intercept directly | Entered slope | Entered intercept (PCU/hr) | Final Slope | Final Intercept (PCU/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ |  | (calculated) | (calculated) | 0.720 | 1948.141 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ |  | (calculated) | (calculated) | 0.642 | 1687.991 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ |  | (calculated) | (calculated) | 0.644 | 1693.544 |

The slope and intercept shown above include any corrections and adjustments.

## Traffic Flows

Demand Set Data Options

| Default <br> Vehicle <br> Mix | Vehicle <br> Mix <br> Varies <br> Over <br> Time | Vehicle <br> Mix <br> Varies <br> Over <br> Turn | Vehicle <br> Mix <br> Varies <br> Over <br> Entry | Vehicle Mix <br> Source | PCU <br> Factor <br> for a <br> HV <br> (PCU) | Default <br> Turraning <br> Proportions | Estimate <br> from <br> entry/exit <br> counts | Turning <br> Proportions <br> Vary Over <br> Time | Turning <br> Proportions <br> Vary Over <br> Turn |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | Turning <br> Proportions <br> Vary Over <br> Entry |  |  |  |  |  |

## Entry Flows

## General Flows Data

| Arm | Profile Type | Use Turning Counts | Average Demand Flow (PCU/hr) | Flow Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 642.00 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 355.00 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 378.00 | 100.000 |

## Turning Proportions

Turning Counts or Proportions (PCU/hr) - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.000 | 405.000 | 237.000 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 315.000 | 0.000 | 40.000 |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 318.000 | 60.000 | 0.000 |


|  | то |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | 1 | 2 | 3 |
|  | 1 | 0.00 | 0.63 | 0.37 |
|  | 2 | 0.89 | 0.00 | 0.11 |
|  | 3 | 0.84 | 0.16 | 0.00 |

## Vehicle Mix

Average PCU Per Vehicle - Junction 1 (for whole period)


Heavy Vehicle Percentages - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  |  |  |  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |  |  |  |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

| $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.37 | 3.01 | 0.59 | A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.26 | 3.19 | 0.35 | A |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.28 | 3.41 | 0.39 | A |

## Main Results for each time segment

Main results: (07:45-08:00)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> (PCU/hr) | Entry Flow <br> $(\mathbf{P C U} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Circulating Flow <br> $(\mathbf{P C U} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $(\mathbf{P e d} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{P C U} / \mathrm{hr})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $(\mathbf{P C U})$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 483.33 | 481.99 | 45.03 | 0.00 | 1915.72 | 0.252 | 0.34 | 2.508 | A |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 267.26 | 266.45 | 177.93 | 0.00 | 1573.69 | 0.170 | 0.20 | 2.752 | A |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 284.58 | 283.68 | 236.43 | 0.00 | 1541.26 | 0.185 | 0.23 | 2.861 | A |

Main results: (08:00-08:15)

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Entry Flow (PCU/hr) | Circulating Flow (PCU/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | $\begin{aligned} & \text { End Queue } \\ & \text { (PCU) } \end{aligned}$ | Delay (s) | Los |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 577.14 | 576.76 | 53.90 | 0.00 | 1909.33 | 0.302 | 0.43 | 2.701 | A |
| 2 | 319.14 | 318.92 | 212.92 | 0.00 | 1551.22 | 0.206 | 0.26 | 2.921 | A |
| 3 | 339.81 | 339.56 | 282.99 | 0.00 | 1511.27 | 0.225 | 0.29 | 3.072 | A |

Main results: (08:15-08:30)

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Entry Flow (PCU/hr) | Circulating Flow (PCU/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | End Queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 706.86 | 706.22 | 66.00 | 0.00 | 1900.62 | 0.372 | 0.59 | 3.012 | A |
| 2 | 390.86 | 390.52 | 260.71 | 0.00 | 1520.52 | 0.257 | 0.34 | 3.186 | A |
| 3 | 416.19 | 415.77 | 346.51 | 0.00 | 1470.35 | 0.283 | 0.39 | 3.411 | A |

Main results: (08:30-08:45)

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Entry Flow (PCU/hr) | Circulating Flow (PCU/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | $\begin{aligned} & \text { End Queue } \\ & \text { (PCU) } \end{aligned}$ | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 706.86 | 706.85 | 66.06 | 0.00 | 1900.57 | 0.372 | 0.59 | 3.015 | A |
| 2 | 390.86 | 390.86 | 260.94 | 0.00 | 1520.37 | 0.257 | 0.35 | 3.186 | A |
| 3 | 416.19 | 416.18 | 346.82 | 0.00 | 1470.16 | 0.283 | 0.39 | 3.414 | A |

Main results: (08:45-09:00)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{P C U} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Entry Flow <br> $(\mathbf{P C U} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Circulating Flow <br> $(\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $(\mathbf{P e d} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{P C U} / \mathrm{hr})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $(\mathrm{PCU})$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 577.14 | 577.77 | 54.00 | 0.00 | 1909.25 | 0.302 | 0.44 | 2.704 | A |


| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Entry Flow (PCU/hr) | Circulating Flow (PCU/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | $\begin{aligned} & \text { End Queue } \\ & \text { (PCU) } \end{aligned}$ | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 483.33 | 483.72 | 45.21 | 0.00 | 1915.59 | 0.252 | 0.34 | 2.516 | A |
| 2 | 267.26 | 267.48 | 178.57 | 0.00 | 1573.28 | 0.170 | 0.21 | 2.758 | A |
| 3 | 284.58 | 284.83 | 237.34 | 0.00 | 1540.67 | 0.185 | 0.23 | 2.866 | A |

## (Default Analysis Set) - 2016 Survey, PM

Data Errors and Warnings
No errors or warning


## Junction Network

Junctions

| Name | Junction Type | Arm Order | Grade Separated | Large Roundabout | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LoS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (untitled) | Roundabout | $1,2,3$ |  |  | 3.01 | A |

## Junction Network Options

Driving Side Lighting
Left $\quad$ Normal/unknown

## Arms

| Arms |
| :--- |
| Arm |
| $\mathbf{1}$ |
| Lon Gwernydd |
| $\mathbf{2}$ |
| Ruthin North Link Road |
| $\mathbf{3}$ |
| Denbigh Road |


| Roundabout Geometry |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arm | V-Approach road <br> half-width $(\mathbf{m})$ | E-Entry <br> width $(\mathbf{m})$ | I' - Effective flare <br> length $(\mathbf{m})$ | R-Entry <br> radius $(\mathbf{m})$ | D- Inscribed circle <br> diameter $(\mathbf{m})$ | PHI - Conflict (entry) <br> angle $($ deg $)$ | Exit <br> Only |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 3.60 | 7.25 | 22.80 | 39.00 | 36.59 | 17.00 |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 3.60 | 6.99 | 16.00 | 18.00 | 37.35 | 31.00 |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 3.60 | 7.24 | 15.00 | 10.79 | 36.75 | 21.00 |  |

Geometries for Arm C are measured opposite Arm B. Geometries for Arm A (if relevant) are measured opposite Arm D.

## Turning Proportions

Turning Counts or Proportions (PCU/hr) - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.000 | 278.000 | 286.000 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 306.000 | 0.000 | 53.000 |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 259.000 | 34.000 | 0.000 |

Turning Proportions (PCU) - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.00 | 0.49 | 0.51 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.85 | 0.00 | 0.15 |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.88 | 0.12 | 0.00 |

## Vehicle Mix



Heavy Vehicle Percentages - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |

## Results

## Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Arm | Max RFC | Max Delay (s) | Max Queue (PCU) | Max LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.32 | 2.77 | 0.48 | A |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.27 | 3.30 | 0.36 | A |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.22 | 3.12 | 0.28 | A |

## Main Results for each time segment

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Entry Flow (PCU/hr) | Circulating Flow (PCU/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | End Queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 424.61 | 423.48 | 25.52 | 0.00 | 1929.77 | 0.220 | 0.28 | 2.389 | A |
| 2 | 270.27 | 269.43 | 214.75 | 0.00 | 1550.04 | 0.174 | 0.21 | 2.810 | A |
| 3 | 220.59 | 219.92 | 229.66 | 0.00 | 1545.62 | 0.143 | 0.17 | 2.714 | A |


| Main results: (15:45-16:00) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Entry Flow (PCU/hr) | Circulating Flow (PCU/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | $\begin{aligned} & \text { End Queue } \\ & \text { (PCU) } \end{aligned}$ | Delay (s) | LOS |
| 1 | 507.02 | 506.73 | 30.55 | 0.00 | 1926.15 | 0.263 | 0.36 | 2.536 | A |
| 2 | 322.73 | 322.50 | 256.96 | 0.00 | 1522.93 | 0.212 | 0.27 | 2.998 | A |
| 3 | 263.40 | 263.23 | 274.89 | 0.00 | 1516.49 | 0.174 | 0.21 | 2.872 | A |

Main results: (16:00-16:15)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{P C U} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Entry Flow <br> $(\mathbf{P C U} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Circulating Flow <br> $(\mathbf{P C U} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $($ Ped/hr) | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{P C U} / \mathbf{h r})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $(\mathbf{P C U})$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 620.98 | 620.50 | 37.40 | 0.00 | 1921.21 | 0.323 | 0.48 | 2.768 | A |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 395.27 | 394.89 | 314.65 | 0.00 | 1485.87 | 0.266 | 0.36 | 3.300 | A |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 322.60 | 322.32 | 336.60 | 0.00 | 1476.74 | 0.218 | 0.28 | 3.118 | A |

Main results: (16:15-16:30)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> (PCU/hr) | Entry Flow <br> (PCU/hr) | Circulating Flow <br> (PCU/hr) | Pedestrian Demand <br> $($ Ped/hr) | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{P C U} / \mathrm{hr})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $(\mathbf{P C U})$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 620.98 | 620.97 | 37.43 | 0.00 | 1921.19 | 0.323 | 0.48 | 2.768 | A |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 395.27 | 395.26 | 314.89 | 0.00 | 1485.72 | 0.266 | 0.36 | 3.300 | A |

Main results: (16:30-16:45)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> (PCU/hr) | Entry Flow <br> $(\mathbf{P C U / h r})$ | Circulating Flow <br> (PCU/hr) | Pedestrian Demand <br> (Ped/hr) | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{P C U} / \mathrm{hr})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $(\mathbf{P C U})$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 507.02 | 507.50 | 30.60 | 0.00 | 1926.11 | 0.263 | 0.36 | 2.540 | A |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 322.73 | 323.10 | 257.35 | 0.00 | 1522.68 | 0.212 | 0.27 | 3.001 | A |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 263.40 | 263.67 | 275.40 | 0.00 | 1516.16 | 0.174 | 0.21 | 2.874 | A |

Main results: (16:45-17:00)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{P C U} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Entry Flow <br> $(\mathbf{P C U} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Circulating Flow <br> $(\mathbf{P C U} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $(\mathbf{P e d} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{P C U} / \mathrm{hr})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $(\mathbf{P C U})$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 424.61 | 424.91 | 25.62 | 0.00 | 1929.69 | 0.220 | 0.28 | 2.394 | A |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 270.27 | 270.51 | 215.47 | 0.00 | 1549.58 | 0.174 | 0.21 | 2.816 | A |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 220.59 | 220.76 | 230.57 | 0.00 | 1545.03 | 0.143 | 0.17 | 2.718 | A |

## (Default Analysis Set) - 2016 Survey, Saturday

Data Errors and Warnings
No errors or warnings

## Analysis Set Details

| Name | Description | Locked | Network Flow Scaling Factor (\%) | Reason For Scaling Factors |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

(Default Analysis Set) 100.000

Demand Set Details

| Name | Scenario <br> Name | Time <br> Period <br> Name | Description | Traffic <br> Profile <br> Type | Model Start <br> Time <br> (HH:mm) | Model <br> Finish Time <br> (HH:mm) | Model Time <br> Period <br> Length <br> (min) | Time <br> Segment <br> Length <br> (min) | Single Time <br> Segment <br> Only | Locked |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2016 <br> Survey, <br> Saturday | 2016 <br> Survey | Saturday |  | ONE <br> OOUR | $11: 15$ | $12: 45$ | 90 | 15 |  |  |

## Junction Network

Junctions

| Name | Junction Type | Arm Order | Grade Separated | Large Roundabout | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LoS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (untitled) | Roundabout | $1,2,3$ |  |  | 2.75 | A |

## Junction Network Options

Driving Side
Lighting
Left

```
Norma//unknown
```


## Arms

| Arms |
| :--- |
| Arm |
| $\mathbf{1}$ |
| Lon Gwernydd |
| $\mathbf{2}$ |
| Ruthin North Link Road |
| $\mathbf{3}$ |
| Denbigh Road |
|  |

Roundabout Geometry

| Arm | V-Approach road half-width (m) | E-Entry width (m) | I' - Effective flare length $(\mathrm{m})$ | R-Entry radius (m) | D - Inscribed circle diameter (m) | PHI - Conflict (entry) angle (deg) | Exit Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3.60 | 7.25 | 22.80 | 39.00 | 36.59 | 17.00 |  |
| 2 | 3.60 | 6.99 | 16.00 | 18.00 | 37.35 | 31.00 |  |
| 3 | 3.60 | 7.24 | 15.00 | 10.79 | 36.75 | 21.00 |  |

## Pedestrian Crossings

| Arm | Crossing Type |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | None |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | None |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | None |

## Slope / Intercept / Capacity

Roundabout Slope and Intercept used in model

| Arm | Enter slope and intercept directly | Entered slope | Entered intercept (PCU/hr) | Final Slope | Final Intercept (PCU/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ |  | (calculated) | (calculated) | 0.720 | 1948.141 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ |  | (calculated) | (calculated) | 0.642 | 1687.991 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ |  | (calculated) | (calculated) | 0.644 | 1693.544 |

The slope and intercept shown above include any corrections and adjustments.

## Traffic Flows

Demand Set Data Options

| Default <br> Vehicle <br> Mix | Vehicle <br> Mix <br> Varies <br> Over <br> Time | Vehicle <br> Mix <br> Varies <br> Over <br> Turn | Vehicle <br> Mix <br> Varies <br> Over <br> Entry | Penicle Mix <br> Source | PCU <br> Factor <br> for a <br> HV <br> HCU) | Default <br> Turning <br> Proportions | Estimate <br> from <br> (ritryexit <br> counts | Turning <br> Proportions <br> Vary Over <br> Time | Turring <br> Proportions <br> Vary Over <br> Turn | Turning <br> Proportions <br> Vary Over <br> Entry |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | HV <br> Percentages | 2.00 |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |

## Entry Flows

## General Flows Data

| Arm | Profile Type | Use Turning Counts | Average Demand Flow (PCU/hr) | Flow Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 413.00 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 283.00 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 279.00 | 100.000 |

## Turning Proportions

Turning Counts or Proportions (PCU/hr) - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.000 | 179.000 | 234.000 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 229.000 | 0.000 | 54.000 |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 227.000 | 52.000 | 0.000 |

[^0]
## Vehicle Mix

Average PCU Per Vehicle - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |

Heavy Vehicle Percentages - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Arm | Max RFC | Max Delay (s) | Max Queue (PCU) | Max LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.24 | 2.48 | 0.31 | A |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.20 | 2.97 | 0.26 | A |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.20 | 2.94 | 0.25 | A |

## Main Results for each time segment

## Main results: (11:15-11:30)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{P C U} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Entry Flow <br> $(\mathbf{P C U} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Circulating Flow <br> $(\mathbf{P C U} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $(\mathbf{P e d} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{P C U} / \mathbf{h r})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $(\mathbf{P C U})$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ | LoS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 310.93 | 310.16 | 39.03 | 0.00 | 1920.03 | 0.162 | 0.19 | 2.235 | A |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 213.06 | 212.43 | 175.73 | 0.00 | 1575.11 | 0.135 | 0.16 | 2.640 | A |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 210.05 | 209.44 | 171.90 | 0.00 | 1582.82 | 0.133 | 0.15 | 2.619 | A |

Main results: (11:30-11:45)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{P C U} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Entry Flow <br> $(\mathbf{P C U} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Circulating Flow <br> $(\mathbf{P C U} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $(\mathbf{P e d} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{P C U} / \mathrm{hr})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $(\mathbf{P C U})$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 371.28 | 371.09 | 46.72 | 0.00 | 1914.50 | 0.194 | 0.24 | 2.332 | A |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 254.41 | 254.25 | 210.25 | 0.00 | 1552.93 | 0.164 | 0.20 | 2.771 | A |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 250.82 | 250.66 | 205.74 | 0.00 | 1561.03 | 0.161 | 0.19 | 2.747 | A |

Main results: (11:45-12:00)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> (PCU/hr) | Entry Flow <br> (PCU/hr) | Circulating Flow <br> $(\mathbf{P C U} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $($ Ped/hr) | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{P C U} / \mathrm{hr})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $(\mathbf{P C U})$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ | LoS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 454.72 | 454.43 | 57.21 | 0.00 | 1906.95 | 0.238 | 0.31 | 2.478 | A |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 311.59 | 311.34 | 257.48 | 0.00 | 1522.60 | 0.205 | 0.26 | 2.972 | A |


| Main results: (12:00-12:15) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Entry Flow (PCU/hr) | Circulating Flow (PCU/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | $\begin{aligned} & \text { End Queue } \\ & \text { (PCU) } \end{aligned}$ | Delay (s) | LOS |
| 1 | 454.72 | 454.72 | 57.25 | 0.00 | 1906.92 | 0.238 | 0.31 | 2.478 | A |
| 2 | 311.59 | 311.59 | 257.64 | 0.00 | 1522.49 | 0.205 | 0.26 | 2.972 | A |
| 3 | 307.18 | 307.18 | 252.13 | 0.00 | 1531.15 | 0.201 | 0.25 | 2.940 | A |


| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Entry Flow (PCU/hr) | Circulating Flow (PCU/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | End Queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 371.28 | 371.56 | 46.79 | 0.00 | 1914.45 | 0.194 | 0.24 | 2.335 | A |
| 2 | 254.41 | 254.65 | 210.52 | 0.00 | 1552.76 | 0.164 | 0.20 | 2.773 | A |
| 3 | 250.82 | 251.05 | 206.06 | 0.00 | 1560.82 | 0.161 | 0.19 | 2.750 | A |


| Main results: (12:30-12:45) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Entry Flow (PCU/hr) | Circulating Flow (PCU/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | End Queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| 1 | 310.93 | 311.12 | 39.18 | 0.00 | 1919.93 | 0.162 | 0.19 | 2.237 | A |
| 2 | 213.06 | 213.22 | 176.28 | 0.00 | 1574.76 | 0.135 | 0.16 | 2.643 | A |
| 3 | 210.05 | 210.20 | 172.53 | 0.00 | 1582.42 | 0.133 | 0.15 | 2.623 | A |

## (Default Analysis Set) - 2024 Base, AM

Data Errors and Warnings
No errors or warnings
Analysis Set Details

| Name | Description | Locked | Network Flow Scaling Factor (\%) | Reason For Scaling Factors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Default Analysis Set) |  |  | 100.000 |  |

Demand Set Details

| Name | Scenario <br> Name | Time <br> Period <br> Name | Description | Traffic <br> Profile <br> Type | Model Start <br> Time <br> (HH:mm) | Model Finish <br> Time <br> (HH:mm) | Model Time <br> Period <br> Length <br> (min) | Time <br> Sengment <br> Length (min) | Single Time <br> Segment <br> Only | Locked |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2024 <br> Base, <br> AM | 2024 Base | AM |  | ONE <br> HOUR | $07: 45$ | $09: 15$ | 90 | 15 |  |  |

## Junction Network

```
Junctions
Name 
(untitled) Roundabout 1,2,3
```

$\qquad$

```
Junction Network Options
Driving Side Lighting
Left Normal/unknown
```


## Arms



Roundabout Geometry

| Arm | v-Approach road half-width (m) | E-Entry width (m) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I' - Effective flare } \\ & \text { length ( } \mathrm{m} \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { R-Entry } \\ & \text { radius ( } \mathbf{m} \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { D - Inscribed circle } \\ & \text { diameter }(\mathrm{m}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PHI - Conflict (entry) } \\ & \text { angle (deg) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Exit } \\ & \text { Only } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3.60 | 7.25 | 22.80 | 39.00 | 36.59 | 17.00 |  |
| 2 | 3.60 | 6.99 | 16.00 | 18.00 | 37.35 | 31.00 |  |
| 3 | 3.60 | 7.24 | 15.00 | 10.79 | 36.75 | 21.00 |  |

Pedestrian Crossings

| Arm | Crossing Type |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | None |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | None |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | None |

## Slope / Intercept / Capacity

Roundabout Slope and Intercept used in model

| Arm | Enter slope and intercept directly | Entered slope | Entered intercept (PCU/hr) | Final Slope | Final Intercept (PCU/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ |  | (calculated) | (calculated) | 0.720 | 1948.141 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ |  | (calculated) | (calculated) | 0.642 | 1687.991 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ |  | (calculated) | (calculated) | 0.644 | 1693.544 |

The slope and intercept shown above include any corrections and adjustments.

## Traffic Flows

Demand Set Data Options

| Default <br> Vehicle <br> Mix | Vehicle <br> Mix |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Varies <br> Over <br> Time | Vehicle <br> Mix <br> Varies <br> Over <br> Turn | Vehicle <br> Mix <br> Varies <br> Over <br> Entry | Vehicle Mix <br> Source | PCU <br> Factor <br> for <br> HV <br> (PCU) | Default <br> Turning <br> Troportions | Estimate <br> ferom <br> fitry/exit <br> counts | Turning <br> Proportions <br> Vary Over <br> Time | Turning <br> Proportions <br> Vary Over <br> Turn | Turning <br> Proportions <br> Vary Over <br> Entry |
|  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | HV <br> Percentages | 2.00 |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |

## Entry Flows

General Flows Data

| Arm | Profile Type | Use Turning Counts | Average Demand Flow (PCU/hr) | Flow Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 701.00 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 388.00 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 413.00 | 100.000 |

## Turning Proportions

Turning Counts or Proportions (PCU/hr) - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.000 | 442.000 | 259.000 |

371.28
370.98
1494.50
0.248

0.33 | 3.204 |
| :--- | :--- |

Main results: (08:15-08:30)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> (PCU/hr) | Entry Flow <br> $(\mathbf{P C U / h r})$ | Circulating Flow <br> (PCU/hr) | Pedestrian Demand <br> $(\mathbf{P e d} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{P C U} / \mathrm{hr})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $(\mathbf{P C U})$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 771.82 | 771.05 | 72.59 | 0.00 | 1895.87 | 0.407 | 0.68 | 3.199 | A |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 427.20 | 426.79 | 284.88 | 0.00 | 1504.99 | 0.284 | 0.39 | 3.339 | A |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 454.72 | 454.22 | 378.39 | 0.00 | 1449.82 | 0.314 | 0.45 | 3.613 | A |

## Vehicle Mix

Average PCU Per Vehicle - Junction 1 (for whole period)

| Average |  |  |  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |  |  |  |

Heavy Vehicle Percentages - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Arm | Max RFC | Max Delay (s) | Max Queue (PCU) | Max LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.41 | 3.20 | 0.68 | A |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.28 | 3.34 | 0.40 | A |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.31 | 3.62 | 0.46 | A |

## Main Results for each time segment

| Main results: (07:45-08:00) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Entry Flow (PCU/hr) | Circulating Flow (PCU/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | $\begin{aligned} & \text { End Queue } \\ & \text { (PCU) } \end{aligned}$ | Delay (s) | LO |
| 1 | 527.75 | 526.23 | 49.53 | 0.00 | 1912.48 | 0.276 | 0.38 | 2.595 | A |
| 2 | 292.11 | 291.19 | 194.43 | 0.00 | 1563.10 | 0.187 | 0.23 | 2.829 | A |
| 3 | 310.93 | 309.91 | 258.17 | 0.00 | 1527.26 | 0.204 | 0.25 | 2.954 | A |

Main results: (08:00-08:15)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> $\mathbf{( P C U / h r})$ | Entry Flow <br> $(\mathbf{P C U} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Circulating Flow <br> $(\mathbf{P C U} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $(\mathbf{P e d} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{P C U} / \mathbf{h r})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $(\mathbf{P C U})$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 630.18 | 629.74 | 59.29 | 0.00 | 1905.45 | 0.331 | 0.49 | 2.822 | A |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 348.80 | 348.55 | 232.67 | 0.00 | 1538.53 | 0.227 | 0.29 | 3.025 | A |

Main results: (08:30-08:45)

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Entry Flow (PCU/hr) | Circulating Flow (PCU/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | End Queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 771.82 | 771.81 | 72.67 | 0.00 | 1895.82 | 0.407 | 0.68 | 3.202 | A |
| 2 | 427.20 | 427.19 | 285.16 | 0.00 | 1504.81 | 0.284 | 0.40 | 3.339 | A |
| 3 | 454.72 | 454.72 | 378.75 | 0.00 | 1449.59 | 0.314 | 0.46 | 3.617 | A |
| Main results: (08:45-09:00) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Entry Flow (PCU/hr) | Circulating Flow (PCU/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | End Queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | Los |
| 1 | 630.18 | 630.94 | 59.41 | 0.00 | 1905.36 | 0.331 | 0.50 | 2.825 | A |
| 2 | 348.80 | 349.21 | 233.11 | 0.00 | 1538.25 | 0.227 | 0.29 | 3.028 | A |
| 3 | 371.28 | 371.77 | 309.61 | 0.00 | 1494.13 | 0.248 | 0.33 | 3.208 | A |

Main results: (09:00-09:15)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> (PCU/hr) | Entry Flow <br> (PCU/hr) | Circulating Flow <br> (PCU/hr) | Pedestrian Demand <br> $(\mathbf{P e d} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{P C U} / \mathrm{hr})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> (PCU) | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 527.75 | 528.20 | 49.74 | 0.00 | 1912.33 | 0.276 | 0.38 | 2.601 | A |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 292.11 | 292.36 | 195.16 | 0.00 | 1562.63 | 0.187 | 0.23 | 2.834 | A |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 310.93 | 311.23 | 259.21 | 0.00 | 1526.59 | 0.204 | 0.26 | 2.962 | A |

## (Default Analysis Set) - 2024 Base, PM

## Data Errors and Warnings

No errors or warnings
Analysis Set Details

| Name | Description | Locked | Network Flow Scaling Factor (\%) | Reason For Scaling Factors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Default Analysis Set) |  |  | 100.000 |  |


| Demand Set Details |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name | Scenario | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Time } \\ & \text { Period } \\ & \text { Name } \end{aligned}$ | Description | Traffic Profile Type | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Model Start } \\ & \text { Time } \\ & (H H: m m) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { Model Finish } \\ \text { Time } \\ (H H: m m) \end{array}$ | Model Time Period Length (min) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Time } \\ \text { Segment } \\ \text { Length }(\mathrm{min}) \end{gathered}$ | Single Time Segment Only | Locked |
| $\begin{gathered} 2024 \\ \text { Base, } \\ \text { PM } \end{gathered}$ | 2024 Base | PM |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ONE } \\ & \text { HOUR } \end{aligned}$ | 15:30 | 17:00 | 90 | 15 |  |  |

## Junction Network

## Junctions

Name Junction Type Arm Order Grade Separated Large Roundabout Junction Delay (s) Junction LOS | (untitled) | Roundabout | $1,2,3$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

Junction Network Options

## Arms

Arms

| Arm | Name | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Lon Gwernydd |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Ruthin North Link Road |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Denbigh Road |  |

## Roundabout Geometry

| Arm | V-Approach road <br> half-width $(\mathbf{m})$ | E-Entry <br> width $(\mathbf{m})$ | I' - Effective flare <br> length $(\mathbf{m})$ | R-Entry <br> radius $(\mathbf{m})$ | D- Inscribed circle <br> diameter $(\mathbf{m})$ | PHI - Conflict (entry) <br> angle $($ deg $)$ | Exit <br> Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 3.60 | 7.25 | 22.80 | 39.00 | 36.59 | 17.00 |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 3.60 | 6.99 | 16.00 | 18.00 | 37.35 | 31.00 |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 3.60 | 7.24 | 15.00 | 10.79 | 36.75 | 21.00 |  |

Pedestrian Crossings

| Arm | Crossing Type |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | None |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | None |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | None |

## Slope / Intercept / Capacity

Roundabout Slope and Intercept used in model

| Arm | Enter slope and intercept directly | Entered slope | Entered intercept (PCU/hr) | Final Slope | Final Intercept (PCU/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ |  | (calculated) | (calculated) | 0.720 | 1948.141 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ |  | (calculated) | (calculated) | 0.642 | 1687.991 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ |  | (calculated) | (calculated) | 0.644 | 1693.544 |

The slope and intercept shown above include any corrections and adjustments.

## Traffic Flows

| Demand Set Data Options |
| :--- |
| Default <br> Vehicle <br> Mix Vehicle <br> Mix <br> Varies <br> Over <br> Time Vehicle <br> Mix <br> Varies <br> Over <br> Turn Vehicle <br> Mix <br> Varies <br> Over <br> Entry PCU <br> Vehicle Mix <br> Source Pactor <br> fara <br> for <br> HV <br> (PCU) Default <br> Turning <br> Troportions Estimate <br> from <br> entry/exit <br> cunts Turning <br> Proportions <br> Vary Over <br> Time Turning <br> Proportions <br> Vary <br> TurnTurning <br> Proportions <br> Vary Over <br> Entry |

## Entry Flows

General Flows Data

| Arm | Profile Type | Use Turning Counts | Average Demand Flow (PCU/hr) | Flow Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 616.00 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 392.00 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 319.00 | 100.000 |

## Main Results for each time segment

Main results: (15:30-15:45)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> $($ PCU/hr) | Entry Flow <br> $(\mathbf{P C U} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Circulating Flow <br> $($ PCU/hr) | Pedestrian Demand <br> $($ Ped/hr) | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{P C U} / \mathrm{hr})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $(\mathbf{P C U})$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ | Los |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 463.76 | 462.49 | 27.77 | 0.00 | 1928.15 | 0.241 | 0.32 | 2.454 | A |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 295.12 | 294.17 | 234.25 | 0.00 | 1537.52 | 0.192 | 0.24 | 2.894 | A |


| Main results: (15:45-16:00) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Entry Flow (PCU/hr) | Circulating Flow (PCU/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | End Queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Los |
| 1 | 553.77 | 553.42 | 33.24 | 0.00 | 1924.21 | 0.288 | 0.40 | 2.626 | A |
| 2 | 352.40 | 352.13 | 280.31 | 0.00 | 1507.93 | 0.234 | 0.30 | 3.114 | A |
| 3 | 286.77 | 286.57 | 300.03 | 0.00 | 1500.29 | 0.191 | 0.24 | 2.965 | A |

Main results: (16:00-16:15)

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Entry Flow (PCU/hr) | Circulating Flow (PCU/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | End Queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 678.23 | 677.66 | 40.70 | 0.00 | 1918.83 | 0.353 | 0.54 | 2.898 | A |
| 2 | 431.60 | 431.16 | 343.23 | 0.00 | 1467.51 | 0.294 | 0.41 | 3.471 | A |
| 3 | 351.23 | 350.90 | 367.36 | 0.00 | 1456.93 | 0.241 | 0.32 | 3.255 | A |

Main results: (16:15-16:30)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{P C U} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Entry Flow <br> $(\mathbf{P C U} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Circulating Flow <br> $(\mathbf{P C U} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $(\mathbf{P e d} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{P C U} / \mathrm{hr})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $(\mathbf{P C U})$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ | Los <br> $\mathbf{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 678.23 | 678.22 | 40.74 | 0.00 | 1918.81 | 0.353 | 0.55 | 2.901 | A |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 431.60 | 431.60 | 343.52 | 0.00 | 1467.33 | 0.294 | 0.42 | 3.474 | A |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 351.23 | 351.22 | 367.74 | 0.00 | 1456.68 | 0.241 | 0.32 | 3.255 | A |


| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Entry Flow (PCU/hr) | Circulating Flow (PCU/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | $\begin{aligned} & \text { End Queue } \\ & \text { (PCU) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 553.77 | 554.33 | 33.30 | 0.00 | 1924.16 | 0.288 | 0.41 | 2.630 | A |
| 2 | 352.40 | 352.84 | 280.76 | 0.00 | 1507.64 | 0.234 | 0.31 | 3.117 | A |
| 3 | 286.77 | 287.09 | 300.63 | 0.00 | 1499.91 | 0.191 | 0.24 | 2.968 | A |

Main results: (16:45-17:00)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{P C U} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Entry Flow <br> $(\mathbf{P C U} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Circulating Flow <br> $(\mathbf{P C U} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $($ Ped/hr) | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{P C U} / \mathbf{h r})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $(\mathbf{P C U})$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 463.76 | 464.11 | 27.88 | 0.00 | 1928.07 | 0.241 | 0.32 | 2.461 | A |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 295.12 | 295.39 | 235.07 | 0.00 | 1536.99 | 0.192 | 0.24 | 2.899 | A |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 240.16 | 240.36 | 251.68 | 0.00 | 1531.43 | 0.157 | 0.19 | 2.790 | A |

## (Default Analysis Set) - 2024 Base, Saturday

Data Errors and Warnings
No errors or warnings
Analysis Set Details

| Name | Description | Locked | Network Flow Scaling Factor (\%) | Reason For Scaling Factors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Default Analysis Set) |  |  | 100.000 |  |

Demand Set Details

| Name | Scenario <br> Name | Time <br> Period <br> Name | Description | Traffic <br> Profile <br> Type | Model Start <br> Thime <br> (HH:mm) | Model <br> Finish Time <br> (HH:mm) | Model Time <br> Period <br> Length <br> (min) | Time <br> Segment <br> Length <br> (min) | Single Time <br> Segment <br> Only | Locked |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2024 <br> Base, <br> Saturday | 2024 Base | Saturday |  | ONE <br> HOUR | $11: 15$ | $12: 45$ | 90 | 15 |  |  |

## Junction Network

## Junction Network Options <br> Driving Side $\quad$ Lighting

## Arms

Arms

| Arm | Name | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Lon Gwernydd |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Ruthin North Link Road |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Denbigh Road |  |

## Roundabout Geometry

| Arm | V-Approach road <br> half-width $(\mathbf{m})$ | E-Entry <br> width $(\mathbf{m})$ | $\mathbf{I}$ - Effective flare <br> length $(\mathbf{m})$ | R-Entry <br> radius $(\mathbf{m})$ | D- Inscribed circle <br> diameter $(\mathbf{m})$ | PHI - Conflict (entry) <br> angle $($ deg $)$ | Exit <br> Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 3.60 | 7.25 | 22.80 | 39.00 | 36.59 | 17.00 |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 3.60 | 6.99 | 16.00 | 18.00 | 37.35 | 31.00 |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 3.60 | 7.24 | 15.00 | 10.79 | 36.75 | 21.00 |  |

## Pedestrian Crossings

| Arm | Crossing Type |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | None |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | None |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | None |

## Slope / Intercept / Capacity

Roundabout Slope and Intercept used in model

| Arm | Enter slope and intercept directly | Entered slope | Entered intercept (PCU/hr) | Final Slope | Final Intercept (PCU/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ |  | (calculated) | (calculated) | 0.720 | 1948.141 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ |  | (calculated) | (calculated) | 0.642 | 1687.991 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ |  | (calculated) | (calculated) | 0.644 | 1693.544 |

## Traffic Flows

| Demand Set Data Options |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Default Vehicle Mix | Vehicle Mix Varies Over Time | Vehicle Mix Varies Over Turn | Vehicle Mix Varies Over Entry | Vehicle Mix Source | PCU Factor for a (PCU) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Default } \\ \text { Turning } \\ \text { Proportions } \end{gathered}$ | Estimate from entry/exit counts | Turning Proportions Vary Over Time | Turning Proportions Vary Over Turn | Turning Proportions Vary Over Entry |
|  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | HV Percentages | 2.00 |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |

## Entry Flows

## General Flows Data

| Arm | Profile Type | Use Turning Counts | Average Demand Flow (PCU/hr) | Flow Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 454.00 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 311.00 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 305.00 | 100.000 |

## Turning Proportions

Turning Counts or Proportions (PCU/hr) - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.000 | 197.000 | 257.000 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 251.000 | 0.000 | 60.000 |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 248.000 | 57.000 | 0.000 |

Turning Proportions (PCU) - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.00 | 0.43 | 0.57 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.81 | 0.00 | 0.19 |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.81 | 0.19 | 0.00 |

## Vehicle Mix

Average PCU Per Vehicle - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |


| Heavy |  | hicle | Perc | ntage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | то |  |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 |
|  | 1 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
|  | 2 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
|  | 3 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |

## Results

## Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Arm | Max RFC | Max Delay (s) | Max Queue (PCU) | Max LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.26 | 2.56 | 0.36 | A |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.23 | 3.09 | 0.29 | A |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.22 | 3.05 | 0.28 | A |


| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Entry Flow (PCU/hr) | Circulating Flow (PCU/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | End Queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 341.80 | 340.93 | 42.79 | 0.00 | 1917.33 | 0.178 | 0.22 | 2.282 | A |
| 2 | 234.14 | 233.44 | 192.99 | 0.00 | 1564.02 | 0.150 | 0.18 | 2.704 | A |
| 3 | 229.62 | 228.94 | 188.40 | 0.00 | 1572.20 | 0.146 | 0.17 | 2.678 | A |
| Main results: (11:30-11:45) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Entry Flow (PCU/hr) | Circulating Flow (PCU/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | End Queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| 1 | 408.14 | 407.92 | 51.21 | 0.00 | 1911.27 | 0.214 | 0.27 | 2.394 | A |
| 2 | 279.58 | 279.40 | 230.91 | 0.00 | 1539.66 | 0.182 | 0.22 | 2.856 | A |
| 3 | 274.19 | 274.01 | 225.50 | 0.00 | 1548.30 | 0.177 | 0.21 | 2.824 | A |

Main results: (11:45-12:00)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> $\mathbf{( P C U / h r})$ | Entry Flow <br> $(\mathbf{P C U} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Circulating Flow <br> $\mathbf{( P C U / h r )}$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $(\mathbf{P e d} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{P C U} / \mathbf{h r})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $(\mathbf{P C U})$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 499.86 | 499.53 | 62.71 | 0.00 | 1902.99 | 0.263 | 0.35 | 2.565 | A |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 342.42 | 342.13 | 282.77 | 0.00 | 1506.35 | 0.227 | 0.29 | 3.092 | A |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 335.81 | 335.54 | 276.12 | 0.00 | 1515.69 | 0.222 | 0.28 | 3.050 | A |

Main results: (12:00-12:15)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> (PCU/hr) | Entry Flow <br> (PCU/hr) | Circulating Flow <br> $(\mathbf{P C U} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $(\mathbf{P e d} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{P C U} / \mathrm{hr})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $(\mathbf{P C U})$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ | LOS <br> $\mathbf{1}$ $4^{499.86}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 499.86 | 62.76 | 0.00 | 1902.95 | 0.263 | 0.36 | 2.565 | A |  |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 342.42 | 342.41 | 282.96 | 0.00 | 1506.23 | 0.227 | 0.29 | 3.092 | A |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 335.81 | 335.81 | 276.35 | 0.00 | 1515.54 | 0.222 | 0.28 | 3.050 | A |

Main results: (12:15-12:30)

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Entry Flow (PCU/hr) | Circulating Flow (PCU/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | $\begin{aligned} & \text { End Queue } \\ & \text { (PCU) } \end{aligned}$ | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 408.14 | 408.47 | 51.29 | 0.00 | 1911.21 | 0.214 | 0.27 | 2.397 | A |
| 2 | 279.58 | 279.87 | 231.23 | 0.00 | 1539.46 | 0.182 | 0.22 | 2.860 | A |
| 3 | 274.19 | 274.46 | 225.87 | 0.00 | 1548.06 | 0.177 | 0.22 | 2.826 | A |

Main results: (12:30-12:45)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> (PCU/hr) | Entry Flow <br> (PCU/hr) | Circulating Flow <br> (PCU/hr) | Pedestrian Demand <br> (Ped/hr) | Capacity <br> (PCU/hr) | RFC | End Queue <br> (PCU) | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 341.80 | 342.01 | 42.95 | 0.00 | 1917.22 | 0.178 | 0.22 | 2.287 | A |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 234.14 | 234.32 | 193.61 | 0.00 | 1563.62 | 0.150 | 0.18 | 2.708 | A |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 229.62 | 229.80 | 189.11 | 0.00 | 1571.74 | 0.146 | 0.17 | 2.684 | A |

## (Default Analysis Set) - 2024 Base plus Development, AM

## Data Errors and Warnings

No errors or warnings

## Analysis Set Details

| Name | Description | Locked | Network Flow Scaling Factor (\%) | Reason For Scaling Factors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Default Analysis Set) |  |  | 100.000 |  |

## Demand Set Details



## Junction Network

Junctions

| Name | Junction Type | Arm Order | Grade Separated | Large Roundabout | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LoS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (untitled) | Roundabout | $1,2,3$ |  |  | 3.40 | A |


| Junction Network Options |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Driving Side |  |  |

## Arms

Arms

| Arm | Name | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Lon Gwernydd |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Ruthin North Link Road |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Denbigh Road |  |

Roundabout Geometry

| Arm | V-Approach road <br> half-width $(\mathbf{m})$ | E-Entry <br> width $(\mathbf{m})$ | I' - Effective flare <br> length $(\mathbf{m})$ | R-Entry <br> radius $(\mathbf{m})$ | D- Inscribed circle <br> diameter $(\mathbf{m})$ | PH - Conflict (entry) <br> angle $($ deg $)$ | Exit <br> Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 3.60 | 7.25 | 22.80 | 39.00 | 36.59 | 17.00 |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 3.60 | 6.99 | 16.00 | 18.00 | 37.35 | 31.00 |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 3.60 | 7.24 | 15.00 | 10.79 | 36.75 | 21.00 |  |

## Pedestrian Crossings

| Arm | Crossing Type |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | None |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | None |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | None |

## Slope / Intercept / Capacity

Roundabout Slope and Intercept used in model

| Arm | Enter slope and intercept directly | Entered slope | Entered intercept (PCU/hr) | Final Slope | Final Intercept (PCU/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ |  | (calculated) | (calculated) | 0.720 | 1948.141 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ |  | (calculated) | (calculated) | 0.642 | 1687.991 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ |  | (calculated) | (calculated) | 0.644 | 1693.544 |

## Traffic Flows

| Default Vehicle Mix | Vehicle Mix Varies Over | Vehicle Mix Varies Over | Vehicle Mix Varies Over | Vehicle Mix Source | PCU Factor for a HV | $\begin{gathered} \text { Default } \\ \text { Turning } \\ \text { Proportions } \end{gathered}$ | Estimate from entry/exit counts | Turning Proportions Vary Over Time | Turning Proportions Vary Over Turn | Turning Proportions Vary Over Entry |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Entry Flows

General Flows Data

| Arm | Profile Type | Use Turning Counts | Average Demand Flow (PCU/hr) | Flow Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 712.00 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 398.00 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 423.00 | 100.000 |

## Turning Proportions

Turning Counts or Proportions (PCU/hr) - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.000 | 449.000 | 263.000 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 354.000 | 0.000 | 44.000 |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 357.000 | 66.000 | 0.000 |

Turning Proportions (PCU) - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.00 | 0.63 | 0.37 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.89 | 0.00 | 0.11 |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.84 | 0.16 | 0.00 |

## Vehicle Mix

Average PCU Per Vehicle - Junction $\mathbf{1}$ (for whole period)

|  |  |  |  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |  |  |  |

Heavy Vehicle Percentages - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period | Arm | Max RFC | Max Delay (s) | Max Queue (PCU) | Max LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.41 |  |  |  |

$\qquad$

## Analysis Set Details

| Name | Description | Locked | Network Flow Scaling Factor (\%) | Reason For Scaling Factors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Default Analysis Set) |  | 100.000 |  |  |

## Demand Set Details

| Name | Scenario <br> Name | Time <br> Period <br> Name | Description | Traffic <br> Profile <br> Type | Model <br> Sart Time <br> (HH:mm) | Model <br> Finish <br> Time <br> (HH:mm) | Model <br> Time <br> Period <br> Length <br> (min) | Time <br> Segment <br> Length <br> (min) | Single <br> Time <br> Segment <br> Only | Locked |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2024 Base plus <br> Development, <br> PM | 2024 Base <br> plus <br> Development | PM |  | ONE <br> HOUR | $15: 30$ | $17: 00$ | 90 | 15 |  |  |

## Junction Network

Junctions

| Name | Junction Type | Arm Order | Grade Separated | Large Roundabout | Junction Delay (s) | Junction Los |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (untitled) | Round |  |  |  |  |  |


| (untitled) | Roundabout | $1,2,3$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

Junction Network Options
Driving Side Lighting
Left Normal/unknown

## Arms

| Arms |
| :--- |
| Arm |
| $\mathbf{1}$ |
| Name |
| $\mathbf{2}$ |
| Ruthin Gorth Link Road |
| $\mathbf{3}$ |

Roundabout Geometry

| Arm | V-Approach road <br> half-width $(\mathbf{m})$ | E-Entry <br> width $(\mathbf{m})$ | I' - Effective flare <br> length $(\mathbf{m})$ | R-Entry <br> radius $(\mathbf{m})$ | D- Inscribed circle <br> diameter $(\mathbf{m})$ | PHI - Conflict (entry) <br> angle $($ deg $)$ | Exit <br> Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 3.60 | 7.25 | 22.80 | 39.00 | 36.59 | 17.00 |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 3.60 | 6.99 | 16.00 | 18.00 | 37.35 | 31.00 |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 3.60 | 7.24 | 15.00 | 10.79 | 36.75 | 21.00 |  |
| Geometries for Arm C are measured opposite Arm B. Geometries for Arm A(fifrelevant) are measured opposite Arm D. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Pedestrian Crossings

| Arm | Crossing Type |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | None |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | None |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | None |

## Slope / Intercept / Capacity

## (Default Analysis Set) - 2024 Base plus Development, PM

## Data Errors and Warnings

Roundabout Slope and Intercept used in model

| Arm | Enter slope and intercept directly | Entered slope | Entered intercept (PCU/hr) | Final Slope | Final Intercept (PCU/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ |  | (calculated) | (calculated) | 0.720 | 1948.141 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ |  | (calculated) | (calculated) | 0.642 | 1687.991 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ |  | (calculated) | (calculated) | 0.644 | 1693.544 |

## Traffic Flows

Demand Set Data Options

| Defautr <br> Vehicle <br> Mix | Vehicle <br> Mix <br> Varies <br> Over <br> Time | Vehicle <br> Mix <br> Varies <br> Over <br> Turn | Vehicle <br> Mix <br> Varies <br> Over <br> Entry | Venicle Mix <br> Source | PCU <br> Factor <br> for a <br> HV <br> (PCU) | Default <br> Turning <br> Proportions | Estimate <br> from <br> entry/exit <br> counts | Turning <br> Poportions <br> Vary <br> Time | Turning <br> Proportions <br> Vary Over <br> Turn |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | Turning <br> Proportions <br> Vary Over <br> Entry |  |  |  |  |  |

## Entry Flows

## General Flows Data

| Arm | Profile Type | Use Turning Counts | Average Demand Flow (PCU/hr) | Flow Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 679.00 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 425.00 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 347.00 | 100.000 |

## Turning Proportions

Turning Counts or Proportions (PCU/hr) - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.000 | 335.000 | 344.000 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 367.000 | 0.000 | 58.000 |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 310.000 | 37.000 | 0.000 |

Turning Proportions (PC

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.00 | 0.49 | 0.51 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.86 | 0.00 | 0.14 |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.89 | 0.11 | 0.00 |

## Vehicle Mix



Heavy Vehicle Percentages - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period | Arm | Max RFC | Max Delay (s) | Max Queue (PCU) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

| $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.39 | 3.07 | 0.64 | A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.32 | 3.68 | 0.48 | A |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.27 | 3.42 | 0.36 | A |

## Main Results for each time segment

Main results: (15:30-15:45)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{P C U} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Entry Flow <br> $(\mathbf{P C U} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Circulating Flow <br> $(\mathbf{P C U} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $(\mathbf{P e d} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{P C U} / \mathbf{h r})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $(\mathbf{P C U})$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 511.19 | 509.75 | 27.77 | 0.00 | 1928.15 | 0.265 | 0.36 | 2.536 | A |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 319.96 | 318.90 | 258.25 | 0.00 | 1522.10 | 0.210 | 0.27 | 2.989 | A |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 261.24 | 260.41 | 275.38 | 0.00 | 1516.17 | 0.172 | 0.21 | 2.865 | A |

Main results: (15:45-16:00)

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Entry Flow (PCU/hr) | Circulating Flow (PCU/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | End Queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 610.41 | 609.99 | 33.24 | 0.00 | 1924.21 | 0.317 | 0.46 | 2.739 | A |
| 2 | 382.07 | 381.75 | 309.04 | 0.00 | 1489.47 | 0.257 | 0.34 | 3.250 | A |
| 3 | 311.95 | 311.71 | 329.65 | 0.00 | 1481.21 | 0.211 | 0.27 | 3.078 | A |

Main results: (16:00-16:15)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> $\mathbf{( P C U / h r})$ | Entry Flow <br> $(\mathbf{P C U} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Circulating Flow <br> $(\mathbf{P C U} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $(\mathbf{P e d} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{P C U} / \mathbf{h r})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $(\mathbf{P C U})$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 747.59 | 746.90 | 40.70 | 0.00 | 1918.84 | 0.390 | 0.63 | 3.070 | A |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 467.93 | 467.40 | 378.40 | 0.00 | 1444.92 | 0.324 | 0.48 | 3.681 | A |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 382.05 | 381.67 | 403.62 | 0.00 | 1433.57 | 0.267 | 0.36 | 3.422 | A |

Main results: (16:15-16:30)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> $\mathbf{( P C U / h r})$ | Entry Flow <br> $(\mathbf{P C U} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Circulating Flow <br> $(\mathbf{P C U} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $(\mathbf{P e d} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{P C U} / \mathrm{hr})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $(\mathbf{P C U})$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 747.59 | 747.59 | 40.74 | 0.00 | 1918.81 | 0.390 | 0.64 | 3.073 | A |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 467.93 | 467.93 | 378.75 | 0.00 | 1444.69 | 0.324 | 0.48 | 3.684 | A |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 382.05 | 382.05 | 404.07 | 0.00 | 1433.28 | 0.267 | 0.36 | 3.423 | A |

Main results: (16:30-16:45)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{P C U} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Entry Flow <br> $(\mathbf{P C U} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Circulating Flow <br> $(\mathbf{P C U} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $(\mathbf{P e d} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{P C U} / \mathrm{hr})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $(\mathbf{P C U})$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 610.41 | 611.09 | 33.30 | 0.00 | 1924.16 | 0.317 | 0.47 | 2.744 | A |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 382.07 | 382.59 | 309.59 | 0.00 | 1489.12 | 0.257 | 0.35 | 3.254 | A |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 311.95 | 312.32 | 330.38 | 0.00 | 1480.75 | 0.211 | 0.27 | 3.083 | A |

Main results: (16:45-17:00)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{P C U} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Entry Flow <br> $(\mathbf{P C U} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Circulating Flow <br> $(\mathbf{P C U} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $(\mathbf{P e d} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{P C U} / \mathrm{hr})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $(\mathbf{P C U})$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ | Los <br> $\mathbf{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 511.19 | 511.60 | 27.88 | 0.00 | 1928.07 | 0.265 | 0.36 | 2.541 | A |  |

## None

## (Default Analysis Set) - 2024 Base plus Development, Saturday

Data Errors and Warnings
No errors or warnings
Analysis Set Details

| Name | Description | Locked | Network Flow Scaling Factor (\%) | Reason For Scaling Factors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Default Analysis Set) |  |  | 100.000 |  |

Demand Set Details

| Name | Scenario <br> Name | Time <br> Period <br> Name | Description | Traffic <br> Profile <br> Type | Model <br> Start Time <br> (HH:mm) | Model <br> Finish <br> Time <br> (HH:mm) | Model <br> Time <br> Peried <br> Length <br> (min) | Time <br> Segment <br> Length <br> (min) | Single <br> Time <br> Segment <br> Only | Locked |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2024 Base plus <br> Development, <br> Saturday | 2024 Base <br> pus <br> Development | Saturday |  | ONE <br> HOUR | $11: 15$ | $12: 45$ | 90 | 15 |  |  |

## Junction Network

Junctions

| Name | Junction Type | Arm Order | Grade Separated | Large Roundabout | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (untitled) | Roundabout | $1,2,3$ |  |  | 3.14 | A |

## Junction Network Options

```
Driving Side Lighting
Left Norma/unknown
```


## Arms

| Arms |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Arm | Name | Description |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Lon Gwernydd |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Ruthin North Link Road |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Denbigh Road |  |

Roundabout Geometry

| Arm | V-Approach road <br> half-width $(\mathbf{m})$ | E-Entry <br> width $(\mathbf{m})$ | I' - Effective flare <br> length $(\mathbf{m})$ | R-Entry <br> radius $(\mathbf{m})$ | D- Inscribed circle <br> diameter $(\mathbf{m})$ | PHI - Conflict (entry) <br> angle $($ deg $)$ | Exit <br> Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 3.60 | 7.25 | 22.80 | 39.00 | 36.59 | 17.00 |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 3.60 | 6.99 | 16.00 | 18.00 | 37.35 | 31.00 |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 3.60 | 7.24 | 15.00 | 10.79 | 36.75 | 21.00 |  |


\section*{Pedestrian Crossings <br> | Arm | Crossing Type |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | None |
| 2 | None |}

Heavy Vehicle Percentages - Junction 1 (for whole period)
$\qquad$
1911.2

| RFC |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0.267 |
| 4 | 0.221 |


| $\begin{array}{c}\text { Delay } \\ (\mathbf{s})\end{array}$ | LOS |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2.570 | A |
| 3.078 | A |
| 3.037 | A |

Main results: (12:30-12:45)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> $\mathbf{( P C U / h r})$ | Entry Flow <br> $(\mathbf{P C U} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Circulating Flow <br> $(\mathbf{P C U} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $(\mathbf{P e d} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{P C U} / \mathrm{hr})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $(\mathbf{P C U})$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 426.87 | 427.18 | 42.95 | 0.00 | 1917.21 | 0.223 | 0.29 | 2.418 | A |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 278.56 | 278.81 | 241.84 | 0.00 | 1532.64 | 0.182 | 0.22 | 2.871 | A |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 274.04 | 274.28 | 233.59 | 0.00 | 1543.09 | 0.178 | 0.22 | 2.837 | A |

## Results

## Results Summary for whole modelled period

Arm Max RFC Max Delay (s) Max Queue (PCU) Max Los

| Arm | Max RFC | Max Delay (s) | Max Queue (PCU) | Max LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.33 | 2.81 | 0.49 | A |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.28 | 3.42 | 0.39 | A |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.27 | 3.35 | 0.37 | A |

## Main Results for each time segment

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Entry Flow (PCU/hr) | Circulating Flow (PCU/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | End Queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 426.87 | 425.73 | 42.78 | 0.00 | 1917.34 | 0.223 | 0.29 | 2.413 | A |
| 2 | 278.56 | 277.67 | 241.02 | 0.00 | 1533.17 | 0.182 | 0.22 | 2.866 | A |
| 3 | 274.04 | 273.18 | 232.64 | 0.00 | 1543.70 | 0.178 | 0.22 | 2.832 | A |

Main results: (11:30-11:45)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{P C U} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Entry Flow <br> $(\mathbf{P C U} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Circulating Flow <br> $(\mathbf{P C U} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $(\mathbf{P e d} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{P C U} / \mathrm{hr})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $(\mathbf{P C U})$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 509.72 | 509.41 | 51.20 | 0.00 | 1911.27 | 0.267 | 0.36 | 2.568 | A |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 332.62 | 332.37 | 288.40 | 0.00 | 1502.73 | 0.221 | 0.28 | 3.075 | A |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 327.23 | 326.99 | 278.48 | 0.00 | 1514.18 | 0.216 | 0.27 | 3.032 | A |

Main results: (11:45-12:00)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> (PCU/hr) | Entry Flow <br> $(\mathbf{P C U} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Circulating Flow <br> $(\mathbf{P C U} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $(\mathbf{P e d} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{P C U} / \mathrm{hr})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $(\mathbf{P C U})$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ | Los <br> $\mathbf{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 624.28 | 623.78 | 62.70 | 0.00 | 1902.99 | 0.328 | 0.49 | 2.814 | A |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 407.38 | 406.97 | 353.15 | 0.00 | 1461.14 | 0.279 | 0.38 | 3.415 | A |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 400.77 | 400.38 | 340.98 | 0.00 | 1473.92 | 0.272 | 0.37 | 3.353 | A |

Main results: (12:00-12:15)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{P C U} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Entry Flow <br> $(\mathbf{P C U} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Circulating Flow <br> $(\mathbf{P C U / h r})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $(\mathbf{P e d} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{P C U} / \mathrm{hr})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $(\mathbf{P C U})$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 624.28 | 624.27 | 62.76 | 0.00 | 1902.95 | 0.328 | 0.49 | 2.814 | A |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 407.38 | 407.37 | 353.43 | 0.00 | 1460.96 | 0.279 | 0.39 | 3.416 | A |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 400.77 | 400.77 | 341.31 | 0.00 | 1473.70 | 0.272 | 0.37 | 3.354 | A |

Main results: (12:15-12:30)


[^0]:    Turning Proportions (PCU) - Junction 1 (for whole period)

    |  | To |  |  |  |
    | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
    | From |  | 1 | 2 | 3 |

